Revi	ewer Shae Karger		Date_	08/0	2/2021		
Auth	nor Bertilone		Year_	2015	_Record	l Number_	——.
				Yes	No	Unclear	Not applicable
1.	Were the two groups simi same population?	lar and recruited fron	n the	□ ′			
2.	Were the exposures meas to both exposed and unex		gn people	Ø			
3.	Was the exposure measur	ed in a valid and relia	ble way?	\Box			
4.	Were confounding factors	identified?		\square			
5.	Were strategies to deal wi stated?	th confounding facto	rs	abla			
6.	Were the groups/participa the start of the study (or a			\checkmark			
7.	Were the outcomes meas way?	ured in a valid and re	liable	Ø			
8.	Was the follow up time re long enough for outcomes	•	to be	abla			
9.	Was follow up complete, a loss to follow up described		easons to	◩			
10.	Were strategies to addres utilized?	s incomplete follow u	ip.				
11.	. Was appropriate statistica	l analysis used?					
Overall appraisal: Include 🗹 Exclude 🗆 Seek further info							
Com	ments (Including reason fo	r exclusion)					

Revi	ewer	Shae Karger	Date_	08/02	2/2021			
Auth	or	Byrskog	Year_	2020	_Record	d Number_	 .	
				Yes	No	Unclear	Not applicable	
1.		two groups similar and recruited from oulation?	the	☑′				
2.		exposures measured similarly to assig exposed and unexposed groups?	n people		☑			
3.	Was the	exposure measured in a valid and relia	ble way?	\Box				
4.	Were cor	nfounding factors identified?		abla				
5.	Were strated?	ategies to deal with confounding facto	rs	abla				
6.		groups/participants free of the outco of the study (or at the moment of exp		\square				
7.	Were the way?	outcomes measured in a valid and rel	iable	◩				
8.		follow up time reported and sufficient ugh for outcomes to occur?	to be	\square				
9.		w up complete, and if not, were the re llow up described and explored?	asons to	Ø				
10.	Were strautilized?	ategies to address incomplete follow u	p	\square				
11.	Was app	ropriate statistical analysis used?		\square				
	Overall appraisal: Include Exclude Seek further info Comments (Including reason for exclusion)							

Revi	ewer Shae Karger	Date_	08/0	2/2021		
Auth	or Coughlin	Year	2013	_Record	l Number_	—
			Yes	No	Unclear	Not applicable
1.	Were the two groups similar and recruited fr same population?	om the	□			
2.	Were the exposures measured similarly to as to both exposed and unexposed groups?	sign people		abla		
3.	Was the exposure measured in a valid and re	liable way?	\Box			
4.	Were confounding factors identified?		\Box			
5.	Were strategies to deal with confounding factorized stated?	tors	Ø			
6.	Were the groups/participants free of the out the start of the study (or at the moment of e		\square			
7.	Were the outcomes measured in a valid and way?	reliable	◩			
8.	Was the follow up time reported and sufficie long enough for outcomes to occur?	nt to be	\square			
9.	Was follow up complete, and if not, were the loss to follow up described and explored?	reasons to	Ø			
10.	Were strategies to address incomplete follow utilized?	v up				
11.	Was appropriate statistical analysis used?					
	rall appraisal: Include	Seek f	urther in	fo 🗌		

Revie	werShae Karger	Date_	08/0	2/2021			
Auth	or <u>Hartz</u>	Year_	2019	_Record	d Number_	—	
			Yes	No	Unclear	Not applicable	
	Were the two groups similar and recruited fr same population?	om the	□ ′				
	Were the exposures measured similarly to as to both exposed and unexposed groups?	ssign people		☑			
3.	Was the exposure measured in a valid and re	eliable way?	\Box				
4.	Were confounding factors identified?		V				
	Were strategies to deal with confounding fac stated?	ctors	\Box				
	Were the groups/participants free of the out the start of the study (or at the moment of e		\square				
	Were the outcomes measured in a valid and way?	reliable	◩				
	Was the follow up time reported and sufficie long enough for outcomes to occur?	nt to be	Ø				
	Was follow up complete, and if not, were the loss to follow up described and explored?	e reasons to	Ø				
	Were strategies to address incomplete follow utilized?	w up					
11.	Was appropriate statistical analysis used?						
	Overall appraisal: Include Exclude Seek further info Comments (Including reason for exclusion)						

Revie	ewer Shae Karger	Date	08/0	2/2021		
Auth	or Kildea	Year_	2019	_Record	d Number_	 .
			Yes	No	Unclear	Not applicable
1.	Were the two groups similar and recruited fro same population?	m the	□ ⁄			
2.	Were the exposures measured similarly to ass to both exposed and unexposed groups?	ign people	\square			
3.	Was the exposure measured in a valid and rel	iable way?	\Box			
4.	Were confounding factors identified?		\square			
5.	Were strategies to deal with confounding fact stated?	ors	abla			
6.	Were the groups/participants free of the outo the start of the study (or at the moment of ex		\square			
7.	Were the outcomes measured in a valid and r way?	eliable	Ø			
8.	Was the follow up time reported and sufficier long enough for outcomes to occur?	it to be	\square			
9.	Was follow up complete, and if not, were the loss to follow up described and explored?	reasons to	Ø			
10.	Were strategies to address incomplete follow utilized?	up	\square			
11.	Was appropriate statistical analysis used?		\square			
Overall appraisal: Include						

Revi	ewer Shae Karger	Date	08/0	2/2021				
Auth	or Kildea	Year	2016	_Record	l Number_			
			Yes	No	Unclear	Not applicable		
1.	Were the two groups similar and recruited from the same population?	ie	₽					
2.	Were the exposures measured similarly to assign p to both exposed and unexposed groups?	eople	☑					
3.	Was the exposure measured in a valid and reliable	way?	\Box					
4.	Were confounding factors identified?		\square					
5.	Were strategies to deal with confounding factors stated?			\square				
6.	Were the groups/participants free of the outcome the start of the study (or at the moment of exposu		\square					
7.	Were the outcomes measured in a valid and reliab way?	le	◩					
8.	Was the follow up time reported and sufficient to long enough for outcomes to occur?	be	Ø					
9.	Was follow up complete, and if not, were the reas loss to follow up described and explored?	ons to	Ø					
10.	Were strategies to address incomplete follow up utilized?							
11.	Was appropriate statistical analysis used?							
	Overall appraisal: Include Exclude Seek further info Comments (Including reason for exclusion)							

Revi	ewer Shae Karger	Date	08/0	2 <u>/2021</u>		
Auth	or Kildea	Year_	2012	_Record	d Number_	
			Yes	No	Unclear	Not applicable
1.	Were the two groups similar and recruited same population?	from the	□ ⁄			
2.	Were the exposures measured similarly to a to both exposed and unexposed groups?	assign people	\square			
3.	Was the exposure measured in a valid and r	eliable way?	\Box			
4.	Were confounding factors identified?		abla			
5.	Were strategies to deal with confounding fastated?	ectors	abla			
6.	Were the groups/participants free of the ou the start of the study (or at the moment of		\square			
7.	Were the outcomes measured in a valid and way?	d reliable	Ø			
8.	Was the follow up time reported and suffici long enough for outcomes to occur?	ent to be	\square			
9.	Was follow up complete, and if not, were the loss to follow up described and explored?	ne reasons to	Ø			
10.	Were strategies to address incomplete folloutilized?	w up	\square			
11.	Was appropriate statistical analysis used?		Ø			
	rall appraisal: Include	☐ Seek	further in	fo 🗆		

Revie	ewer Shae Karger	Date_	08/0	2/2021			
Auth	or O'Connell	Year_	2010	_Record	l Number_	 .	
			Yes	No	Unclear	Not applicable	
1.	Were the two groups similar and recruited fro same population?	m the	□ ′				
2.	Were the exposures measured similarly to ass to both exposed and unexposed groups?	ign people	Ø				
3.	Was the exposure measured in a valid and rel	iable way?	\square				
4.	Were confounding factors identified?		\square				
5.	Were strategies to deal with confounding fact stated?	ors	abla				
6.	Were the groups/participants free of the outcome the start of the study (or at the moment of ex		Ø				
7.	Were the outcomes measured in a valid and r way?	eliable	◩				
8.	Was the follow up time reported and sufficient long enough for outcomes to occur?	t to be	\square				
9.	Was follow up complete, and if not, were the loss to follow up described and explored?	reasons to	Ø				
10.	Were strategies to address incomplete follow utilized?	up	\square				
11.	Was appropriate statistical analysis used?						
	Overall appraisal: Include Exclude Seek further info Comments (Including reason for exclusion)						

Revie	ewerShae Karger	Date	08/0	2/2021			
Auth	or Swartz	Year_	2017	_Record	d Number_	 .	
			Yes	No	Unclear	Not applicable	
	Were the two groups similar and recruite same population?	ed from the	□				
2.	Were the exposures measured similarly to both exposed and unexposed groups?		Ø				
3.	Was the exposure measured in a valid an	nd reliable way?	\Box				
4.	Were confounding factors identified?		☑				
5.	Were strategies to deal with confounding stated?	g factors	abla				
6.	Were the groups/participants free of the the start of the study (or at the moment		Ø				
7.	Were the outcomes measured in a valid way?	and reliable	◩				
8.	Was the follow up time reported and suf long enough for outcomes to occur?	ficient to be	Ø				
9.	Was follow up complete, and if not, were loss to follow up described and explored		◩				
10.	Were strategies to address incomplete foutilized?	ollow up	\square				
11.	Was appropriate statistical analysis used	?					
	Overall appraisal: Include						