Additional file 3: Supplementary results: Correlation analyses.

1. Correlations in distinct experimental contexts

Relationship between the amount of exploration of social stimuli and USVs production in distinct experimental contexts, as presented in Figure 6B in the paper, shows that USV production was strongly modulated by the social context. However, long time spent vocalizing was not systematically associated with long time spent investigating social stimuli across tests (see legend of Figure 6B). As shown in the table below, there was no significant correlation between percent time sniffing and percent time vocalizing across tests in both genotypes. However, note that *mdx* mice displayed inverse correlations as compared to WT mice when confronted to female bedding and during encounters with *mdx* male intruders (highlighted in bold letters).

TASK	Genotype	Correlation
	WT	,523
URINE	MDX	,846
REDDING	WT	,716
BEDDING	MDX	-,511
	WT	,224
ANEOTHETIZED	MDX	,527
	WT	-,606
MALE-FEMALE	MDX	-,075
	WT	-,119
	MDX	,411
	WT	-,635
	MDX	,696

Percent time sniffing vs percent time vocalizing (all p>0.05; N.S.)

2. Detailed correlations in response to social stimuli

As shown in the tables below, during exposure to olfactory stimuli and anesthetized females, call amplitude (intensity) correlated with the number of sniffing episodes in WT mice (positive correlation in bedding and anesthetized female tasks, negative correlation in the urine task). In contrast, no significant correlation was found between behavioral and acoustic variables in *mdx* mice in these tasks.

Additional file 3: Supplementary results: Correlation analyses.

ANESTHETIZED FEMALE

Genotype		Sniffing episodes	Time sniffing (%)
	Call rate	,590	-,130
	Call duration	,245	-,159
wт	Pfreq(st)	,181	-,007
VV I	Pfreq(end)	,292	,036
	Pamp(st)	,536	-,289
	Pamp(end)	,708(*)	-,415
MDX	Call rate	,209	-,220
	Call duration	-,066	,146
	Pfreq(st)	-,183	-,065
	Pfreq(end)	-,094	-,064
	Pamp(st)	,325	-,220
	Pamp(end)	,284	-,321

* Correlation is significant at the 0.05 level (2-tailed).

FEMALE BEDDING

Genotype		Sniffing episodes	Time sniffing (%)
	Call rate	-,508	,412
	Call duration	-,182	,291
wт	Pfreq(st)	,067	-,019
** 1	Pfreq(end)	,291	-,394
	Pamp(st)	,481	,055
	Pamp(end)	,819(*)	-,677
MDX	Call rate	,131	-,336
	Call duration	,454	-,474
	Pfreq(st)	,467	-,302
	Pfreq(end)	,478	-,097
	Pamp(st)	-,258	,253
	Pamp(end)	-,162	,164

FEMALE URINE

Genotype		Sniffing episodes	Time sniffing (%)
	Call rate	-,040	-,474
	Call duration	-,466	,693
wт	Pfreq(st)	-,328	-,381
	Pfreq(end)	,336	-,040
	Pamp(st)	-,916(*)	-,349
	Pamp(end)	-,788	-,564
MDX	Call rate	,212	,022
	Call duration	,300	,114
	Pfreq(st)	-,058	-,136
	Pfreq(end)	-,584	,261
	Pamp(st)	,145	-,012
	Pamp(end)	,211	-,030

Additional file 3: Supplementary results: Correlation analyses.

3. Detailed correlations during forced encounters with intruders

During social interactions (tables below), call rate was positively correlated with the number of pursuits performed by WT residents confronted with WT intruders. However, these parameters were uncorrelated in *mdx* residents. The number of dominant acts was positively correlated with call frequency and negatively correlated with call duration in WT mice, whereas in *mdx* mice dominant acts were only positively correlated with call amplitude (intensity).

Resident genotype		Contacts (n)	Pursuits (n)	Dominant acts (n)
	Call rate	,508	,682(*)	-,300
	Call duration	,002	,270	-,847(**)
wт	Pfreq(st)	,369	,109	,638
	Pfreq(end)	,553	,333	,848(**)
	Pamp(st)	,138	,159	-,497
	Pamp(end)	-,390	-,361	-,614
	Call rate	,451	,245	,556
MDX	Call duration	,478	,413	,696
	Pfreq(st)	-,514	-,355	-,601
	Pfreq(end)	-,260	-,022	-,282
	Pamp(st)	,712	,699	,582
	Pamp(end)	,561	,643	,815(*)

MALE VS. WT INTRUDERS

** Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed).

* Correlation is significant at the 0.05 level (2-tailed).

In encounters with *mdx* intruders (below), measures in WT mice were uncorrelated whereas for *mdx* residents call frequency was negatively correlated with the number of contacts and pursuits.

MALE VS. MDX INTRUDER

Resident genotype		Contacts (n)	Pursuits (n)	Dominant acts (n)
	Call rate	,203	,196	,133
	Call duration	,229	,650	,695
wт	Pfreq(st)	-,597	-,012	-,353
	Pfreq(end)	-,390	-,338	-,538
	Pamp(st)	-,363	,030	-,273
	Pamp(end)	-,428	-,055	-,465
	Call rate	-,005	,291	,221
MDX	Call duration	,103	,315	,311
	Pfreq(st)	-,672(*)	-,700(*)	-,491
	Pfreq(end)	-,464	-,512	-,502
	Pamp(st)	-,350	,218	,415
	Pamp(end)	-,656	-,459	-,399

* Correlation is significant at the 0.05 level (2-tailed).

Additional file 3: Supplementary results: Correlation analyses.

In male-female encounters (below) all variables in WT and *mdx* mice were not significantly correlated.

MALE VS. FEMALE

Resident genotype		Contacts (n)	Time in contact (%)	Latency 1st contact
	Call rate	,559	,306	-,071
	Call duration	,476	,266	,064
wт	Pfreq(st)	-,284	-,420	-,186
	Pfreq(end)	,061	-,102	-,452
	Pamp(st)	,260	-,032	,318
	Pamp(end)	,176	-,324	-,110
MDX	Call rate	-,228	-,552	-,061
	Call duration	-,208	-,465	-,034
	Pfreq(st)	-,271	-,378	,069
	Pfreq(end)	-,361	-,501	,101
	Pamp(st)	,402	,513	-,338
	Pamp(end)	,456	,440	-,416

4. Conclusion

Several significant correlations detected in the WT mice were not found in *mdx* mice. This suggests a disorganization of the relationships between social behavior and ultrasonic communication in *mdx* mice.