

Correlation of increased *MALAT1* expression with pathological features and prognosis in cancer patients: a meta-analysis

X.S. Shi^{1*}, J. Li^{2*}, R.H. Yang¹, G.R. Zhao¹, H.P. Zhou¹, W.X. Zeng¹ and M. Zhou¹

¹Department of Thoracic Surgery, Cancer Center of Guangzhou Medical University, Guangzhou, Guangdong, China ²State Key Laboratory of Respiratory Disease, The First Affiliated Hospital of Guangzhou Medical University, National Clinical Research Center for Respiratory Disease, China

*These authors contributed equally to this study. Corresponding author: M. Zhou E-mail: gzzmyp@126.com

Genet. Mol. Res. 14 (4): 18808-18819 (2015) Received August 18, 2015 Accepted October 11, 2015 Published December 28, 2015 DOI http://dx.doi.org/10.4238/2015.December.28.30

ABSTRACT. Metastasis-associated lung adenocarcinoma transcript 1 (*MALAT1*) has been identified as a potential cancer biomarker, yet the mechanism by which it influences the development of cancer remains unknown. In this study, we aimed to correlate *MALAT1* expression with pathological features and prognosis in cancer patients. Several databases were searched using combinations of keywords relating to *MALAT1* and cancer. After selection of relevant cohort studies according to strict criteria, a meta-analysis was conducted. Twelve studies were analyzed, involving 958 cancer patients. Elevated *MALAT1* expression was associated with poor prognosis and larger tumors [prognosis: hazard ratio = 3.11, 95% confidence interval (CI) = 1.98-4.23, P = 0.000; tumor size: odds ratio (OR) = 0.40, 95%CI = 0.21-0.74, P = 0.003]. However, no connection with histological grade, T-stage, lymph node (LN) metastasis, or distant metastasis was established (all P > 0.05). A correlation between increased

©FUNPEC-RP www.funpecrp.com.br

Genetics and Molecular Research 14 (4): 18808-18819 (2015)

expression and poor prognosis was observed in the large and small sample-size subgroups (all P< 0.05), as was a relationship with large tumor size (OR = 0.30, 95%Cl = 0.13-0.71, P = 0.006). Expression was correlated with T-stage and distant metastasis in the small sample-size subgroup (all P < 0.05), but no association was detected regarding histological grade, LN metastasis in either subgroup (all P > 0.05). Our findings demonstrate that elevated *MALAT1* expression correlates with large tumor size, advanced tumor stage, and poor prognosis, and might therefore be utilized to evaluate clinical pathological features and prognostic out come for cancer patients.

Key words: *MALAT1*; Protein expression; Cancer; Pathological features; Prognosis; Meta-analysis

INTRODUCTION

Cancer, with its potential to develop in any organ or location in the human body, is considered a malignant disease owing to the fact that cancerous cells grow at an abnormal speed within tissues (Yamashita and Wang, 2013; Lapunzina et al., 2014). Due to the diversity of cancer types, signs and symptoms differ between tumors (Axon, 2006; Astin et al., 2011). Cancer has long been recognized as a serious threat to human health (Leong and Ng, 2014). For example, lung cancers are the fifth most common cause of death worldwide of any disease, and gastric cancer has been categorized as the second most common cause of cancer-related death worldwide (Okugawa et al., 2014). Despite the application of significant systematic and sensitive medical care, the prognosis for patients with certain cancers remains poor, owing to unavoidable invasion and metastasis (Pang et al., 2015; Zhang et al., 2015). Therefore, medical research has focused on identifying factors that contribute to poor cancer prognosis (Yan et al., 2008; Gao et al., 2011). In recent years, long noncoding RNAs (IncRNAs) have been implicated in regulating the growth and apoptosis of human cells, and thus may contribute to carcinogenesis (Zhang et al., 2015). As a classic IncRNA, metastasis-associated lung adenocarcinoma transcript 1 (*MALAT1*) has been reported as a potential factor associated with unfavorable cancer prognosis (Dong et al., 2015; Pang et al., 2015).

MALAT1, also known as NEAT2, is approximately 8000 nucleotides in length and has been shown to be commonly expressed in humans (Tripathi et al., 2010; Zhang et al., 2015). It has previously been demonstrated that this IncRNA is capable of interacting with the demethylated form of chromo box homolog 4 (CBX4, also known as PC2), and that such interaction can regulate the re-localization of genes controlling growth. In addition, MALAT1 has been found to localize to subnuclear structures, areas of active or silent gene expression, and thus may be able to activate expression by interfering with the assembly of coactivator complexes (Gutschner et al., 2013). Recently, it has been proposed that MALAT1 expression may be involved in the progression and prognosis of various cancers, including pancreatic and colorectal malignancies (Zheng et al., 2014; Pang et al., 2015). Some reports also indicate that its differential expression may be linked with metastasis and recurrence of certain cancers (Liu et al., 2014; Zhang et al., 2015). These proposals may be based on the fact that MALAT1 is able to control the activity of the transcription factor E2F1, which plays a key role in cell cycle progression and tumorigenesis (Tripathi et al., 2013; Zheng et al., 2014). Moreover, MALAT1 has been observed to enhance the proliferation and migration of human cells by mediating the expression of pre-mRNA, demonstrating effects conducive to metastasis and transformation in tumor cells (Lai et al., 2012). When MALAT1 expression

Genetics and Molecular Research 14 (4): 18808-18819 (2015)

X.S. Shi et al.

is knocked down, migration and invasion cell processes are repressed and G2/M cell-cycle arrest and cell apoptosis can subsequently be induced (Pang et al., 2015). Therefore, expression of this IncRNA can be seen to be correlated with the clinical features and prognosis of certain cancers. Nevertheless, recent studies related to its role in carcinogenesis have generated conflicting results (Okugawa et al., 2014; Zheng et al., 2014; Ma et al., 2015; Zhang et al., 2015). Therefore, in this meta-analysis we endeavored to evaluate the effect of *MALAT1* expression on clinical pathological features and prognosis in various cancers.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Literature search

Relevant studies published as of April 2015 were retrieved using Embase, PubMed, EB-SCO, Ovid, and Web of Science databases, as well as manual searching. No restriction was placed on geographic origin, but publication language was limited to Chinese or English. The search strategy involved a combination of keywords related to *MALAT1* and cancer. The following search terms were used in combinations of keywords and free words: ("long non-coding RNA, human" OR "*MALAT1*" OR "metastasis associated lung adenocarcinoma transcript 1" OR "*MALAT-1*" OR "*NEAT2*") and ("cancer*" OR "tumor*" OR "tumour*" OR "carcinoma*" OR "neoplas*" OR "malignan").

Inclusion and exclusion criteria

The following inclusion criteria were taken into account when collecting published articles for the present study: 1) patient diagnoses were confirmed and no restriction was placed on cancer type; 2) data included sex, age, tumor size and stage, World Health Organization differentiation grade, metastasis status, and overall survival (OS); 3) the study focus should consist of the relationship between *MALAT1* expression and the clinical pathological features and prognosis of cancers; and 4) the investigation should be in the form of a cohort study. Articles that did not meet the above criteria were excluded. In addition, studies were excluded if they: 1) consisted of abstracts only; 2) were case reports; 3) were based on animal models or cell lines; (3) were duplicate publications (only the most recent or complete study was included); or 4) provided insufficient information relating to our topic of interest.

Data extraction and quality assessment

Descriptive information related to the key focus of this article was collected using a standard form containing the following fields: first author name, year of publication, country/ethnicity, cancer type(s), sample size, age, sex, tumor size, differentiation grade, tumor stage, metastasis status, and OS. The above data were extracted from each study by two independent researchers, to reduce the probability of selection bias and strengthen the reliability of this meta-analysis. Disagreement regarding the inclusion of data was settled by consultation with a third investigator.

We assessed risk of bias by examining the random sequence generation, allocation concealment, blinding (of participants, personnel, and outcome assessment), and selective outcome reporting used in each trial (Higgins and Green, 2011). Two authors (X.S. Shi and J. Li) independently assessed the included studies and rated each as having low, high, or unclear risk of bias.

Genetics and Molecular Research 14 (4): 18808-18819 (2015)

Statistical analysis

Statistical calculation was performed with Stata statistical software version 12.0 (Stata-Corp, College Station, TX, USA). Odds ratios (ORs) and hazards ratios (HRs) with 95% confidence intervals (95%Cls) were estimated using fixed- or random-effects models to evaluate the association between *MALAT1* expression and the clinical pathological features and prognosis of cancers. AZ-test was employed to assess the significance of the pooled effect size (Chen et al., 2012) and forest plots were generated to display between-group comparisons of HRs and ORs with 95%Cls. The *Q* (Jackson et al., 2012) and *I*² tests were applied to assess between-study heterogeneity (Peters et al., 2006). When values of P value < 0.05 or *I*²> 50% revealed significant heterogeneity, the random-effects model was implemented. The fixed-effects model was employed in all other cases (Zintzaras and Ioannidis, 2005). Sensitivity analysis was used to determine whether the removal of a single study influenced the overall outcome. Funnel plots and Egger's linear regression test were carried out to assess publication bias, thereby ensuring the reliability of our results (Egger et al., 1997; Sterne and Egger, 2001). Two-tailed tests were conducted, with P < 0.05 signifying statistical significance.

RESULTS

Selection of eligible studies

The initial search yielded 227 articles and after screening titles and abstracts, 168 citations were excluded. Fifty-nine potentially relevant articles were selected for full-text review (Figure1). Finally, 12 primary studies, including 958 patients, met the inclusion criteria (Ji et al., 2003; Lai et al., 2012; Cho et al., 2014; Liu et al., 2014; Okugawa et al., 2014; Zheng et al., 2014; Dong et al., 2015; Hirata et al., 2015; Li et al., 2015; Ma et al., 2015; Pang et al., 2015; Zhang et al., 2015). Details regarding the participants of these studies are summarized in Table 1. Figure 2 provides an overall picture of the methodological quality of the selected investigations, as evaluated by the quality assessment tool for diagnostic accuracy studies (QUADAS; Whiting et al., 2011).



Figure 1. Flow chart of study identification and inclusion.

Genetics and Molecular Research 14 (4): 18808-18819 (2015)

High MALATT Low MALATT <thlow malatt<="" th=""> Low MALATT Low MA</thlow>	First author	Year	Country	Cancer type	Num	ber	Gende	er (M/F)	Age (years)	Type of intervention	Included	Follow-up
Zhang et al. Z015 China coRCC 46 60 26/20 32/28 NR Underwent action without propertial vithout vithout vithout vithout vithout vithout vithout vithout vithout vithout vithout vith				Ī	igh <i>MALAT1</i> expression	Low MALAT1 expression	High MALAT1 expression	Low MALAT1 expression			period	perioa (montns)
Pang et al. 2015 China Pancreatic cancer 63 37/26 32/31 28-71 cardinategy of addinategy of addi	Zhang et al.	2015	China	ccRCC	46	60	26/20	32/28	NR	Underwent radical surgical resection without preoperative	2006-2008	RN
Mattal. 2015 China Gloma 59 59 28/31 35/24 NR Didenvent racial additerapy or without peoperativescion Lietal. 2015 China Fluutary adenoma 32 20 14/18 11/9 51 (26-71) Undervent racial additerapy or mithout peoperative chemofrerapy or mithout peoperative chemofrerapy or mithout peoperative chemofrerapy or mithout peoperative chemofrerapy or mithout peoperative chemofrerapy or mithout peoperative peoperative chemofrerapy or mithout peoperative peopeopeoperative peoperative peopeoperative peopeopeopeoper	Pang et al.	2015	China	Pancreatic cancer	03	63	37/26	32/31	28-71	cnemonnerapy or radiotherapy Underwent radical surgical resection without preoperative chemotheranv or	NR	5-60
Lietal. 2015 China Pituliary adenoma 32 20 14/18 119 51 (26-71) Chemotherapy or adiotherapy or	Ma et al.	2015	China	Glioma	59	20	28/31	35/24	NR	underwent radical Underwent radical surgical resectio without preoperative	NR	N
Dong et al. 2015 China Osteosarcoma 14 5 9/5 3/2 NR Indervent radical calcuterapy or indicaterapy or indicaterapy or indicaterapy or indicaterapy. Zheng et al. 2014 China Csteosarcoma 14 5 9/5 3/2 NR Undervent radical surgical resection vithout preoperativation in tradical surgical resection vithout metoden in tradical surgical resection vitet al. 20	Li et al.	2015	China	Pituitary adenoma	32	20	14/18	11/9	51 (26-71)	chemotherapy or radiotherapy Underwent surgical resection without preoperative	2010-2012	N
Zheng et al. 2014 China CRC 73 53/20 36/37 NR Surgical resection without preoperative chemotherapy or radiotherapy or radiotherapy or surgical resection Okugawa et al. 2014 USA Gastric cancer 88 62 68/20 51/11 NR Underwent radical without preoperative chemotherapy or radiotherapy or surgical resection Uu et al. 2014 China Pancreatic cancer 26 19 15/11 11/8 NR Underwent radical surgical resection Lu et al. 2014 China Hepatocellular canciona 33 27 30/3 34/16 NR Underwent radical surgical resection Lai et al. 2014 China Hepatocellular canciona 33 27 30/3 34/16 60.2 (37-77) NR Underwent radical surgical resection 21/15 21/15 NR NR Underwent radical surgical resection Ji et al. 2003 Germany NSCLC 22 28 NR NR	Dong et al.	2015	China	Osteosarcoma	4	ى ى	9/5	3/2	NR	chemotherapy or radiotherapy Underwent radical	2009-2011	NR
Okugawa et al. 2014 USA Gastric cancer 88 62 68/20 51/11 NR radiotherapy or radiotherapy or adiotherapy or ludetwart radial Lu et al. 2014 China Pancreatic cancer 26 19 15/11 11/8 NR Undetwart radial surgical resection Lu et al. 2014 China Pancreatic cancer 26 19 15/11 11/8 NR Undetwart radical surgical resection Lai et al. 2012 China Hepatocellular cancinoma 33 27 30/3 34/16 60.2 (37-77) NR Lai et al. 2015 Undetwart radical cancany 21 21 60.2 (37-77) NR Undetwart radical fiet al. 2003 Germany NSCLC 22 28 NR NR Undetwart radical surgical resection	Zheng et al.	2014	China	CRC	73	73	53/20	36/37	NR	underwent radical surgical resection without preoperative	2007-2009	56.2
Lu et al. 2014 China Pancreatic cancer 26 19 15/11 11/8 NR Underwent adical adical adical adical adical adical adical adical Lai et al. 2012 China Hepatocellular carcinoma 33 27 30/3 25/2 NR NR NR NR Lai et al. 2015 USA ccRCC 25 25 34/16 60.2 (37-77) NR Kinata et al. 2014 China Multiple myeloma 20 16 21/15 61.3 ± 8.3 Underwent adical underwent adical underwent adical underwent adical underwent adical Ji et al. 2003 Germany NSCLC 22 28 NR NR NR Underwent adical	Okugawa et al.	2014	NSA	Gastric cancer	88	62	68/20	51/11	NR	chemotherapy or radiotherapy Underwent radical surgical resection without preoperative chemotherapy or	2000-2009	ХN
Lai et al. 2012 China Hepatocellular carcinoma 33 27 30/3 25/2 NR NR sugcar resection Hirata et al. 2015 USA ccRCC 25 25 34/16 60.2 (37-77) NR Cho et al. 2014 China Multiple myeloma 20 16 21/15 61.3 ± 8.3 Underwent radical Ji et al. 2003 Germany NSCLC 22 28 NR NR NR NR NR NR Underwent radical	Liu et al.	2014	China	Pancreatic cancer	26	19	15/11	11/8	NR	radiotherapy Underwent radical	2010-2011	NR
Uno et al. 2014 China Muttiple myeloma 20 16 21/15 61.3 ± 8.3 Underwent adical ul et al. 2003 Germany NSCLC 22 28 NR NR NR NR Underwent adical	Lai et al. Hirata et al.	2012 2015	China USA	Hepatocellular carcinoma ccRCC	33 25	27 25	30/3 34/	25/2 16	NR 60.2 (37-77)	sugra resector NR NR	2003-2005 NR	18.6 NR
surgical resection	Cho et al. Ji et al.	2014	Germany	Multiple myeloma NSCLC	22 20	16 28	NR 217	UN NR	61.3 ± 8.3 NR	Underwent radical surgical resection Underwent radical surgical resection	2007-2012 NR	12-48 ≥ 60

Genetics and Molecular Research 14 (4): 18808-18819 (2015)

©FUNPEC-RP www.funpecrp.com.br

X.S. Shi et al.



Figure 2. An overall picture of the methodological quality of the included studies, as evaluated by the quality assessment tool for diagnostic accuracy studies.

Meta-analysis of the association between MALAT1 expression and human cancers

Heterogeneity was observed in some of the data used in our meta-analysis, thus both random- and fixed-effects models were employed. We found that expression of *MALAT1* was connected with tumor prognosis (HR = 3.11, 95%CI = 1.98-4.23, P = 0.000), implying that it may be useful in predicting cancer outcome (Figure 3A). With respect to clinical pathological features, patients overexpressing *MALAT1* had larger tumors than those showing low expression (OR = 0.40, 95%CI = 0.21-0.74, P = 0.003; Figure 3B), but no connection was found between *MALAT1* level and tumor differentiation, T-stage, lymph node (LN) metastasis, or distant metastasis (all P > 0.05; Figures 3C to 3F).

Subgroup analysis of the association between *MALAT1* expression and human cancers

Subgroup analysis was performed based on sample size. We observed a clear correlation between increased *MALAT1* expression and poor cancer prognosis in both the large (HR = 2.75, 95%CI = 1.92-3.57, P = 0.000; Figure 4A), and small sample-size subgroup (HR = 4.22, 95%CI = 0.04-8.40, P = 0.048). Concerning clinical features, increased expression was found to be related to tumor size in patients from studies with larger sample sizes (OR = 0.30, 95%CI = 0.13-0.71, P = 0.006; Figure 4B), but no such connection was discerned using the small sample-size dataset (P = 0.246). In addition, elevated *MALAT1* expression was associated with T-stage in the small sample-size subset (OR = 0.14, 95%CI = 0.04-0.55, P = 0.005; Figure 4D). Elevated *MALAT1* expression

Genetics and Molecular Research 14 (4): 18808-18819 (2015)

X.S. Shi et al.

was also associated with distant metastasis in the small sample-size subset (OR = 0.13, 95%CI = 0.03-0.70, P = 0.017; Figure 4F). However, no link between *MALAT1* levels and tumor differentiation, LN metastasis was apparent in either subgroup (all P > 0.05; Figures 4C, 4E).

A		HR 62%-C0	% Weight
ecROC Zhang HM 2015 Mode HI 2015	<u> </u>	152 (245, 850)	£.18 7.41
Budated (2010) Budated (2011) 21 - 3.00 Bind of CRC1 22 - 3.50, pr 0.000 Personaliti cancer Personali 2, 2015	÷	4.55 (2.04, 7.06)	15.59
Test of CR1-1: 2- 4 30, p= 0.000 Glorus MarXX 2015	4	3-00 (1.82, 4.94)	20.54
Set of CR11 z1 3 77, pr 6 000 CRC Zheng HT 2014	÷.	3-81 (1.74, 8400)	6.63
Geodelia CRent 24 1130, pri 0.002 Geodelia concer Geogene Y 2014 Test el CRent 24 173, pri 0.002		2 83 (1 10, 7 75)	8.59
Multiple myslome Che SF 2014 Test of CRL-1, y= 0.012	*	0.70-(0.11, 4.43)	15.10
NSCLC AP 2903 Test of CR1+1: 21 3.82, p= 0.000		6.04 (0.76, 10.77)	7.94
Histoposely X* 12.05 (dr-7) p-0.056 Overall (7* 42.9%, p= 6.008) Test of OR+1: 2* 5.39, p= 0.000 -10.8	\$	3.11 (198, 423)	100.00
В		Derts Derts	
Study Year coROC 2hang Her 2015	0R (85% C0)	10atment Control 1010 5 38/41	13.99
Percevels carcor Pang EJ 2015	0.19 (0.09, 0.42) 0.21 (0.05, 0.51)	1554 46/72 5/15 21/00	15.09
Subtasti ² -6 3%, p-0.093) Tot: of OR: 1: 2=4.77, p= 0.000 Oliene Ma KX 2015	0.20 (0.10, 0.36) 0.36 (0.17, 0.76)	2049 69102 9946 4372	25.45
Phatany activities and the second sec	0.48 (0.15, 1.56)	15/28 17/24	11.73
Oskosstons Dog Y 205	1.60 (8.13, 19.05)	4/5 10/14	4.75
Oussis concer Ologone V 2014	0.03 (0.45, 1.84)	4274 4676	16.19
Hepatocellular carcinoria Lai MC 2012 Test of CRC+1: zr: 0.37, p=0.714	121(0-43, 3.3%)	1926 1834	12.77
Holosogeneity X*-22.41(8-7) p=0.002 Oreality*+65.0%, p=0.002) Test of OR=1 z=2.02, p=0.003	0.43 (0.21, 0.74)	125/213 229/96	3 100.00
C	1 20		
Stady Year	OR (SSN CI)	Events, Events, Treatment Control	weight
Zhang HM 2015 List of Celent: 211.58, pril 236	1.63 (2.73, 3.67)	32/67 54/39	18.67
Parcelaid: cancer Parcy 5J 2015 Laulet 2014 Suttouil (P=0.0%, p=0.355) Test of CR11 211 (0), p=0.235	1.31 (2.64, 2.09) 313 (2.57, 37.18 > 1.50 (0.77, 2.90)	26/48 37/78 7.9 1936 33/57 56/14	29.60 7.76 28.45
MarkX 2015	0.34 (0.16, 0.76)	16/42 45/76	18.32
ORC 20mg H1 2014 Test of OR-1: 2=0.20, p=0.043	1.09 (0.50, 2.35)	12:00 56/112	19.57
Hepsbookuler carolinoma Lai MC 2012 Test of ORe-11 2+ 0.04, p=0.955	183(0.34, 3.14)	15/13 2342	13.79
Helenopreely X*H0 73(dt 5) pol/057 Oxeast (# = 53.4%, p = 0.057) Test of Ofici 1: 21 0.18, pic0.855	1.05 (0.01, 1.01)	106/217 194/30	H 199.00
D	172		
Study Year	OR 195% CD TH	Ineeds, Events, adment Control	% Weight
79wrg HM 2015 Test of OR-1: 2-271, p=0.007	0.33 (0.15, 0.74)	20102 2014	20.65
Presente center Parg EJ 2015 Lau JH 2014 Subwelth=0 (%p=0 538)	0.23 (0.10, 0.53) 0.34 (0.04, 0.50) 0.20 (0.10, 0.41)	1191 52/95 904 17/21 29/65 69/106	20.51 15.10 35.61
Test of OR+1: 2+4.44, p+0.000 CRC Zheng HT 2014 Test of OR+1: 2+1.19, p+0.200	1.51 (0.77, 2.96)	31.55 4291	21.91
Gestric cancer Otogane Y 2014 best of Cent 2:0 16, pro.060	- 0.94 (0.46, 1.06)	33952 58/90	21.83
Hotorogenety XP/20.45(dtr4) p=0.000 Oversit(P=60.4%, p=0.000) Intel of ORest: z=1.27, p=0.077	0.47 (0.21, 1.00)	101/234 196/330	100.00
E	27.0		
Study Year	OR (65% CI)	Events, Events, Treatment Control	Weght
edicc Zhang HM 2015	0.28 (0.10, 0.88)	33988 1319	95.32
Party Li 2015	0.22(0.09, 0.42) 0.53(0.18, 1.77) 0.29(0.11, 0.75)	1058 4668 11/22 1523 26/90 61/61	19.69 54.86 34.55
Dong Y 2015 Test of ORen1 2m0.00, pm1.000	1.00-(2.13, 7.80)	66 912	8.21
Zheng HT 2014 Tester CRit 1 2-110, pel 233 Gastris concer Ocuziere Y 2014	054 (0.26, 1.00)	31/55 42/91	20.00
Test or CRE11: 211-72, pril 005 Historygeneity X1: 27,45(81:5) pril 004 Oversid(7: 71,4%, pril 005) Test of CRE11: 211.50, pril 071	0.52 (0.25, 1.00)	120/27 6 191/2	100:00
_{dior} i		0.0	
Study Your	OR (19% CD	Fuerts Events, Treetweet Control	Woght
20mg HM 2015 Tool of CRI-1: 2-0.52, p=0.535	> 1.45 (0.45, 4.85)	41/92 5/14	28.05
Party Ed. 2015	0.04 (0.00, 0.70) 0.19 (0.02, 1.90) 0.19 (0.02, 0.90)	53116 1510 2358 67 23154 1517	12.58 17.15 29.73
Test of OR+1: 2+2:53, p+0.011 Objectarroma Deeg Y 2015 Sect of OR+1: 2+1:90, p+0.012	0.09 (0.01, 1.99)	48 1912	15.11
Geslik cencer Okogens Y 2014 Text el CR-1 (r-0.58, p-0.59)	0.09 (0.20, 2.40)	00/130 0/12	27.12
Hatesogenety X ⁰ -0.45(d7-45) p= 0.050 Ovess8(7-07.5%, p= 0.050) Tost of OR-11:2-1.06, p=0.090	6.35 (6.13, 1.21)	149392 4355	100.00

Figure 3. Forest plots of the relationship between *MALAT1* expression and clinical pathological features and prognosis of cancers. Individual and pooled hazard ratios (HRs) and odds ratios (ORs) with 95% confidence intervals (Cls) regarding the association between *MALAT1* expression and (A) prognosis, (B) tumor size, (C) histological grade, (D) T-stage, (E) lymph node metastasis, and (F) distant metastasis are shown. ccRCC = clear cell renal cell carcinoma; CRC = colorectal cancer; NSCLC = non-small cell lung cancer; df = degrees of freedom.

Genetics and Molecular Research 14 (4): 18808-18819 (2015)



Figure 4. Sample-size subgroup analysis of the relationship between *MALAT1* expression and clinical pathological features and prognosis of cancers. Individual and pooled hazard ratios (HRs) and odds ratios (ORs) with 95% confidence intervals (CIs) regarding the association between *MALAT1* expression and (A) prognosis, (B) tumor size, (C) histological grade, (D) T-stage, (E) lymph node metastasis, and (F) distant metastasis are shown. df = degrees of freedom.

Genetics and Molecular Research 14 (4): 18808-18819 (2015)

©FUNPEC-RP www.funpecrp.com.br

18815

X.S. Shi et al.

Publication bias

No obvious asymmetry was distinguished in the funnel plots, and in accordance with these results, Egger's regression test revealed no publication bias in all six analyses (all P > 0.05; Figure 5).



Figure 5. Funnel plots assessing publication bias in our meta-analysis of the association between *MALAT1* expression and (A) cancer prognosis, (B) tumor size, (C) histological grade, (D) T-stage, (E) lymph node metastasis, and (F) distant metastasis. HR = hazard ratio; OR = odds ratio; SE = standard error.

DISCUSSION

In the current meta-analysis, we systematically investigated the link between *MALAT1* expression and the clinical pathological characteristics and prognosis of various malignancies. Our results suggest that the expression of *MALAT1* is correlated with tumor prognosis, implying that it might constitute an important indicator of cancer out come. However, the mechanism behind this association has not yet been elucidated. Therefore, we suggest here some of the processes that may be responsible. Previous studies have indicated that *MALAT1* may enhance cell proliferation and plays a key role in tumorigenesis, and when its expression is knocked down in cancer cells, ontogenesis is significantly impaired (Tano et al., 2010; Zheng et al., 2014). Furthermore, elevated expression of this lncRNA appears to accelerate the migration and epithelial to mesenchymal transition of tumor cells by activating the Wnt pathway (Ying et al., 2012). Considering this, it is possible to conclude that *MALAT1* expression exerts an important influence on cancer outcome. Consistent with our results, Pang et al. (2015) also suggested that overexpression of *MALAT1* might be a reliable biomarker of unfavorable prognosis in cancer patients.

The results of our investigation into the relationship between *MALAT1* and clinical pathological features revealed that patients over expressing this gene had larger tumors than those demonstrating low expression, but no connection was established with histological grade, T-stage, LN metastasis, or distant metastasis. This may be partially explained by the fact that *MALAT1*

Genetics and Molecular Research 14 (4): 18808-18819 (2015)

regulates the levels of active serine/argentine splicing factors, and thus modulates the splicing of pre-mRNAs, thereby performing significant part in cancer formation and invasion (Dong et al., 2015). It has been shown that *MALAT1* participates in the regulation of cell cycle progression and the genesis and growth of tumors by interfering with E2F1 activity (Tripathi et al., 2013; Zheng et al., 2014). Another possible explanation was provided by a previous study in which knockdown of *MALAT1* appeared to strongly reduce PI3Kp85 α and phosphorylatedAkt expression (Dong et al., 2015). It is widely accepted that the PI3K/Akt pathway is a vital signal transduction mechanism, and by activating the PI3K/Akt signaling cascade, *MALAT1* may promote rapid tumor growth and invasion (Liu et al., 2008; Dong et al., 2015).

In order to further understand the role of *MALAT1* expression in cancer, subgroup analysis was conducted based on sample size. We observed a close correlation between *MALAT1* expression and poor prognosis in the large and small sample-size subgroups. With regard to clinical features, we found that the level of this lncRNA was related to tumor size in patients from studies with larger sample sizes, and with patient T-stage and distant metastasis in small sample-size investigations. In addition, no significant association with histological grade, LN metastasis was established in either subgroup. In conclusion, the overall results of our meta-analysis were in accordance with the principal findings of previous studies, suggesting that measurement of *MALAT1* expression may be useful in evaluating the prognosis and clinical pathological characteristics of cancer patients.

Some limitations need to be taken into account when interpreting our results. First, the cutoff values used to define categories of *MALAT1* expression differed between studies, potentially introducing bias. All factors that may influence the pooled results should therefore be carefully considered. Second, although no publication bias was evident in this analysis; most studies tend to report positive, rather than negative, results. In addition, as we restricted the publication languages to English and Chinese, relevant articles that may have met our inclusion criteria could have been overlooked, representing a potential source of selection bias. Third, the majorities of studies included (12) were performed in Asia, which may have led to selection bias, and influences the broader applicability of our results. Finally, although various clinical pathological measurements were included, only tumor size was confirmed to be positively correlated with *MALAT1* expression. Moreover, the statistical analysis for several clinical parameters incorporated only one or two studies, possibly affecting the reliability of the final results. The heterogeneity of study designs may be responsible for this issue.

Taken together, our findings clarify the significance of *MALAT1* as an important clinical biomarker of poor prognosis and adverse pathological features in cancer patients. These results could have significant value in pathological examination and outcome prediction, as well as providing a new insight for the selection of therapeutic approaches in clinical application. However, the present results should be interpreted cautiously due to the above limitations, and the implementation of further large sample-size, multicenter studies would assist in clarifying this matter.

Conflicts of interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Research supported in part by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (#81201845). We would like to acknowledge the reviewers for their helpful comments concerning this paper.

Genetics and Molecular Research 14 (4): 18808-18819 (2015)

REFERENCES

- Astin M, Griffin T, Neal RD, Rose P, et al. (2011). The diagnostic value of symptoms for colorectal cancer in primary care: a systematic review. *Br. J. Gen. Pract.* 61: e231-243.
- Axon A (2006). Symptoms and diagnosis of gastric cancer at early curable stage. Best Pract. Res. Clin. Gastroenterol. 20: 697-708.
- Chen H, Manning AK and Dupuis J (2012). A method of moments estimator for random effect multivariate meta-analysis. *Biometrics* 68: 1278-1284.
- Cho SF, Chang YC, Chang CS, Lin SF, et al. (2014). *MALAT1* long non-coding RNA is overexpressed in multiple myeloma and may serve as a marker to predict disease progression. *BMC Cancer* 14: 809.
- Dong Y, Liang G, Yuan B, Yang C, et al. (2015). MALAT1 promotes the proliferation and metastasis of osteosarcoma cells by activating the PI3K/Akt pathway. *Tumour Biol.* 36: 1477-1486.
- Egger M, Davey Smith G, Schneider M and Minder C (1997). Bias in meta-analysis detected by a simple, graphical test. *BMJ* 315: 629-634.
- Gao W, Shen H, Liu L, Xu J, et al. (2011). MiR-21 overexpression in human primary squamous cell lung carcinoma is associated with poor patient prognosis. J. Cancer Res. Clin. Oncol. 137: 557-566.
- Gutschner T, Hammerle M, Eissmann M, Hsu J, et al. (2013). The noncoding RNA *MALAT1* is a critical regulator of the metastasis phenotype of lung cancer cells. *Cancer Res.* 73: 1180-1189.
- Higgins JPT and Green S (editors) 2011. Cochrane handbook for systematic reviews of interventions. Version 5.1.0. The Cochrane Collaboration. Available from www.cochrane-handbook.org.
- Hirata H, Hinoda Y, Shahryari V, Deng G, et al. (2015). Long noncoding RNA *MALAT1* promotes aggressive renal cell carcinoma through Ezh2 and interacts with miR-205. *Cancer Res.* 75: 1322-1331.
- Jackson D, White IR and Riley RD (2012). Quantifying the impact of between-study heterogeneity in multivariate metaanalyses. *Stat. Med.* 31: 3805-3820.
- Ji P, Diederichs S, Wang W, Boing S, et al. (2003). MALAT-1, a novel noncoding RNA, and thymosin beta4 predict metastasis and survival in early-stage non-small cell lung cancer. Oncogene 22: 8031-8041.
- Lai MC, Yang Z, Zhou L, Zhu QQ, et al. (2012). Long non-coding RNA MALAT-1 overexpression predicts tumor recurrence of hepatocellular carcinoma after liver transplantation. Med. Oncol. 29: 1810-1816.
- Lapunzina P, Lopez RO, Rodriguez-Laguna L, Garcia-Miguel P, et al. (2014). Impact of NGS in the medical sciences: Genetic syndromes with an increased risk of developing cancer as an example of the use of new technologies. *Genet. Mol. Biol.* 37: 241-249.
- Leong DT and Ng KW (2014). Probing the relevance of 3D cancer models in nanomedicine research. Adv. Drug Deliv. Rev. 79-80: 95-106.
- Li Z, Li C, Liu C, Yu S, et al. (2015). Expression of the long non-coding RNAs MEG3, HOTAIR, and MALAT-1 in non-functioning pituitary adenomas and their relationship to tumor behavior. *Pituitary* 18: 42-47.
- Liu B, Shi ZL, Feng J and Tao HM (2008). Celecoxib, a cyclooxygenase-2 inhibitor, induces apoptosis in human osteosarcoma cell line MG-63 via down-regulation of PI3K/Akt. *Cell Biol. Int.* 32: 494-501.
- Liu JH, Chen G, Dang YW, Li CJ, et al. (2014). Expression and prognostic significance of IncRNA *MALAT1* in pancreatic cancer tissues. *Asian Pac. J. Cancer Prev.* 15: 2971-2977.
- Ma KX, Wang HJ, Li XR, Li T, et al. (2015). Long noncoding RNA *MALAT1* associates with the malignant status and poor prognosis in glioma. *Tumour Biol.* 36: 3355-3359.
- Okugawa Y, Toiyama Y, Hur K, Toden S, et al. (2014). Metastasis-associated long non-coding RNA drives gastric cancer development and promotes peritoneal metastasis. *Carcinogenesis* 35: 2731-2739.
- Pang EJ, Yang R, Fu XB and Liu YF (2015). Overexpression of long non-coding RNA MALAT1 is correlated with clinical progression and unfavorable prognosis in pancreatic cancer. *Tumour Biol.* 36: 2403-2407.
- Peters JL, Sutton AJ, Jones DR, Abrams KR, et al. (2006). Comparison of two methods to detect publication bias in metaanalysis. *JAMA* 295: 676-680.
- Sterne JA and Egger M (2001). Funnel plots for detecting bias in meta-analysis: guidelines on choice of axis. J. Clin. Epidemiol. 54: 1046-1055.
- Tano K, Mizuno R, Okada T, Rakwal R, et al. (2010). MALAT-1 enhances cell motility of lung adenocarcinoma cells by influencing the expression of motility-related genes. FEBS Lett. 584: 4575-4580.
- Tripathi V, Ellis JD, Shen Z, Song DY, et al. (2010). The nuclear-retained noncoding RNA *MALAT1* regulates alternative splicing by modulating SR splicing factor phosphorylation. *Mol. Cell* 39: 925-938.
- Tripathi V, Shen Z, Chakraborty A, Giri S, et al. (2013). Long noncoding RNA MALAT1 controls cell cycle progression by regulating the expression of oncogenic transcription factor B-MYB. *PLoS Genet.* 9: e1003368.

Genetics and Molecular Research 14 (4): 18808-18819 (2015)

Whiting PF, Rutjes AW, Westwood ME, Mallett S, et al. (2011). QUADAS-2: a revised tool for the quality assessment of diagnostic accuracy studies. *Ann. Intern. Med.* 155: 529-536.

Yamashita T and Wang XW (2013). Cancer stem cells in the development of liver cancer. J. Clin. Invest. 123: 1911-1918.

Yan LX, Huang XF, Shao Q, Huang MY, et al. (2008). MicroRNA miR-21 overexpression in human breast cancer is associated with advanced clinical stage, lymph node metastasis and patient poor prognosis. *RNA* 14: 2348-2360.

- Ying L, Chen Q, Wang Y, Zhou Z, et al. (2012). Upregulated *MALAT-1* contributes to bladder cancer cell migration by inducing epithelial-to-mesenchymal transition. *Mol. Biosyst.* 8: 2289-2294.
- Zhang HM, Yang FQ, Chen SJ, Che J, et al. (2015). Upregulation of long non-coding RNA MALAT1 correlates with tumor progression and poor prognosis in clear cell renal cell carcinoma. *Tumour Biol.* 36: 2947-2955.
- Zheng HT, Shi DB, Wang YW, Li XX, et al. (2014). High expression of IncRNA *MALAT1* suggests a biomarker of poor prognosis in colorectal cancer. *Int. J. Clin. Exp. Pathol.* 7: 3174-3181.

Zintzaras E and Ioannidis JP (2005). Heterogeneity testing in meta-analysis of genome searches. Genet. Epidemiol. 28: 123-137.

Genetics and Molecular Research 14 (4): 18808-18819 (2015)