Eur J Endosc Laparosc Surg 2014;1(3):124-127

Comparison of Endo-Loop and Polymer Locking Clip in Ligating Appendiceal Stump During Laparoscopic Appendectomy

Osman Şimşek¹, İsmail Ahmet Bilgin¹, Sezgin Uludağ¹, Fatih Dal¹, Mehmet Velidedeoğlu¹, Kaya Sarıbeyoğlu¹, Salih Pekmezci¹

¹ Emergency Surgery Unit, Department of General Surgery, Faculty of Cerrahpasa Medicine, Istanbul University, Istanbul, Turkey

ABSTRACT

Introduction: This study aimed to compare endo-loop and polymer locking clip (Hem-o-lok) for the closure of the appendix base during laparoscopic appendectomy.

Materials and Methods: In this prospective clinical study, two groups (Group 1: endo-loop and Group 2: polymeric locking clip) were formed and the results were compared regarding demographics, operating time, stump closure time, postoperative hospital stay, cost, and complications.

Results: No perioperative or major postoperative complications were encountered. There was no mortality. The operating time was 50 ± 10.6 minutes for Group 1 and 40 ± 12.6 minutes for Group 2. The appendiceal base closure time was found 187 ± 102 seconds and 69 ± 30 seconds in Group 1 and 2, respectively. Hospital stay was found 53 ± 33.4 hours and 47.5 ± 34 hours for Group 1 and 2, respectively. The comparison of operating time revealed statistically significant difference (t= 3.22, p= 0.002) and regarding the base closure time, the values of Group 1 were significantly longer (z= 6.388, p< 0.001). The remaining statistical comparisons did not reveal any significance.

Conclusion: Polymeric clip application of the appendiceal base revealed statistically significant better results regarding technical comfort and operating time in comparison to endo-loop application. Further clinical studies are required to reach an ultimate conclusion.

Key words: Appendectomy, laparoscopic appendectomy, endo-loop, hem-o-lok, polymeric clip

Received: 05.07.2014 • Accepted: 15.08.2014 • doi: 10.5578/ejels.8733

INTRODUCTION

Appendectomy is the most effective treatment of acute appendicitis and mostly performed operation of emergency surgery worldwide. Since the introduction of laparoscopy, this minimally invasive technique was quickly adapted to appendectomy. Laparoscopic appendectomy (LA) is a safe and easy operation offering well-known advantages of laparoscopy, such as faster postoperative recovery, good cosmetics, less wound complications, less postoperative pain, and etc.^(1,2). A recent meta-analysis comparing LA and open appendectomy (OA) has revealed that LA is associated with less postoperative pain, quicker postoperative rehabilitation, shorter hospital stay, and fewer postoperative complications than OA⁽³⁾. Moreover, LA is more advantageous in complicated appendicitis without any additional risk of intraabdominal abscess. This positive aspect has been shown by the systematic review of Markides G, et al.⁽⁴⁾

Address for Correspondence

Osman Şimşek, MD

Emergency Surgery Unit, Department of General Surgery, Faculty of Cerrahpasa Medicine, Istanbul University, Cerrahpasa, İstanbul, Turkey

e-mail osmansmsek76@yahoo.com.tr Another advantage of LA is the decrease of postoperative bowel obstruction rate, a benefit reported by Markar SR, et al. in their systematic review⁽⁵⁾.

LA technique has well-established steps and ligation of the base of the appendix is the critical maneuver since inappropriate closure may lead to severe postoperative surgical infections. Various techniques, including ligation by surgical knots, ENDOLOOP[®] Ligature (ETHICON; New Jersey, USA), surgical clips, endoscopic staplers, and etc. have been used so far in order to achieve appendix base closure⁽⁶⁾.

The results of our study, comparing ENDOLOOP[®] Ligature (ETHICON; New Jersey, USA) and polymer locking clip for the closure of the appendix base during LA, were reported in this study.

MATERIALS and METHODS

Patients who underwent laparoscopic appendectomy for acute appendicitis in Istanbul University, Cerrahpasa Medical Faculty, Emergency Unit between 01.01.2013 and 01.01.2014 were included into the study. Those who underwent appendectomy without a histopathological proof of acute appendicitis were excluded.

Eighty-seven patients with acute appendicitis underwent laparoscopic appendectomy. Appendix stumps were closed by ENDOLOOP[®] Ligature (ETHICON; New Jersey, USA) and Hem-o-lok[®] (Teleflex Medical; New York City, USA) polymer locking clip in fifty-seven and thirty patients, respectively. In order to compare the groups equally, thirty patients were randomly selected in the "endo-loop group" with envelope manner. Two groups (Group 1: endo-loop and Group 2: locking clip) were formed and the results were compared regarding demographics, operating time, stump closure time, postoperative hospital stay, cost, and complications. The results were statistically evaluated by SPSS software and Pearson chi-square, Shapiro Wilk, Mann-Whitney U, and Aspin Welch tests were used.

Surgical Technique

All operations were performed under general anesthesia. The monitor was placed at patient's right and the surgical team, including the operating surgeon and camera assistant, stood at patient's left. A Foley catheter was placed in each patient and removed at the end of the operation. The operating time was started by the initial incision of the first port. Laparoscopic appendectomy was performed through three ports. An infraumbilical 10 mm port was inserted by blind (Veress needle) in patients with no previous abdominal operations or by open (Hasson) technique in those with prior abdominal surgery. A 30° laparoscope was used to explore the abdomen. The patients were put in slight Trendelenburg and left decubitus positions.

An additional second port of 10 mm was inserted under direct vision from left iliac fossa and the third port of 5 mm was placed above the pubis. The appendix was identified and freed from inflammatory adhesions. The mesoappendix was divided by Ligasure (Ligasure[™], Vessel Sealing System, Covidien, MA, USA).

Appendiceal base was ligated by a single non-absorbable polymeric Hem-o-lok[®] (Teleflex Medical; New York City, USA) clip or ENDOLOOP[®] Ligature (ETHICON; New Jersey, USA). The appendix was divided by Ligasure just above the ligature. The appendix was removed from the left lower quadrant port and taken either altogether within the port or in a surgical glove, depending on the diameter of the appendix. The appendix specimen sizes were noted after their removal. The entire abdomen was inspected for any intra-abdominal fluid and irrigated vigorously. Subsequent to bleeding control, a Jackson-Pratt drain was placed in right lower quadrant and the port sites were closed. At the end of the last port site closure, operating time was stopped.

All patients received a single dose of broad-spectrum intravenous antibiotic at induction of anesthesia. Postoperative antibiotic administration was dependent on operative findings and postoperative complications.

RESULTS

Group 1 (16 male patients) and Group 2 (18 male patients) consisted of thirty patients each. The median age was 27.5 \pm 13.4 and 32.8 \pm 13.8 in Group 1 and Group 2, respectively.

The operating time was 50 ± 10.6 minutes for Group 1 and 40 ± 12.6 minutes for Group 2. Moreover, appendiceal base closure time was found 187 ± 102 seconds and 69 ± 30 seconds in Group 1 and 2, respectively.

Appendix diameters were measured as 10 ± 4.1 mm (Group 1) and 7.5 ± 2.4 mm (Group 2). Hospital stay was found 53 ± 33.4 hours and 47.5 ± 34 hours in Group 1 and 2, respectively.

No perioperative or major postoperative complications were encountered.

The comparison of operating time revealed statistically significant difference (t= 3.22, p= 0.002). As for the base closure time, Mann-Whitney U test was used to assess the findings and the values of Group 1 were significantly longer (z= 6.388, p< 0.001).

Remaining statistical comparisons did not reveal any significance.

DISCUSSION

In this present study, ENDOLOOP[®] Ligature (ETHICON; New Jersey, USA) and Hem-o-lok[®] (Teleflex Medical; New York City, USA) polymeric clips were compared regarding their use in laparoscopic appendectomy. There was a significant difference regarding surgical time and base closure time in the favor of polymeric Hem-o-lok Group.

During LA, base closure of the appendix is performed by different manners including intra-abdominal knotting, extracorporeal knotting, ENDOLOOP[®] Ligature (ETHICON; New Jersey, USA), endoclip, polymeric clip, staplers, and etc⁽⁶⁾. All techniques have some advantages and disadvantages related to the material itself or technique⁽⁷⁾. Safety, application comfort, foreign body effects of the materials, operating time, and etc. are t key points defining the variety of the methods. The ideal method would be the fastest and safest way to close the base of the appendix associated with no long term complications.

Safety of the closure methods were evaluated and confirmed by numerous studies and they have shown acceptable rates of complications⁽⁸⁻¹⁴⁾. Operating time is one of the mostly studied criteria and the application method usually defines the length of the application. Clip applications seem to be the easiest and fastest method for the closure of the base of the appendix⁽¹³⁾.

Endo-loops have been used in laparoscopic appendectomy for a long time in order to close the base of the appendix and divide the mesoappendix. ENDOLOOP[®] Ligature (ETHICON; New Jersey, USA) is designed to ensure a secure knot with relatively faster and easier way in comparison to intra-corporeal knotting technique. It has been shown that it is a practical and safe way to reach this goal⁽¹²⁾. Polymeric clips are used to close the vessels in laparoscopic surgery and the base of the appendix in LA^(7,8,15,16). The clip has a locking tip providing a very secure clipping. The applications of polymeric Hem-o-lok[®] (Teleflex Medical; New York City, USA) clips and endo-loops were previously studied in a rat model by Delibegovic et al. regarding their tissue reactions in the intestine⁽¹⁵⁾. The results of the study revealed both materials had acceptable tissue foreign body reaction and tissue reaction degree of the polymeric clips were slightly less than endo-loops. Moreover, the use of polymeric clips has been found to be safe and effective by the study of Hanssen et al. with a special emphasize on cost effectiveness⁽¹⁶⁾.

Another related study is from Hue et al., comparing EN-DOLOOP[®] Ligature (ETHICON; New Jersey, USA) and polymeric clip in LA⁽¹⁷⁾. The authors have found no statistically significant difference between these two techniques regarding the feasibility and safety of the operation. The operating time-which was found to be significantly shorter in our study-hasn't been assessed in this report unfortunately.

Our results showed superior results of the polymeric clip applications regarding technical comfort and operating time. More clinical studies are needed regarding especially the long term safety of this method in order to reach an ultimate conclusion.

REFERENCES

- Chung RS, Rowland DY, Li P, Diaz J. A meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials of laparoscopic versus conventional appendectomy. Am J Surg 1999; 177: 250-6.
- Golub R, Siddiqui F, Pohl D. Laparoscopic versus open appendectomy: a metaanalysis. J Am Coll Surg 1998; 186: 545-53.
- Wei B, Qi CL, Chen TF, Zheng ZH, Huang JL, Hu BG, Wei HB. Laparoscopic versus open appendectomy for acute appendicitis: a metaanalysis. SurgEndosc 2011; 25: 1199-208.
- Markides G, Subar D, Riyad K. Laparoscopic versus open appendectomy in adults with complicated appendicitis: systematic review and meta-analysis.World J Surg 2010; 34: 2026-40.
- Markar SR, Penna M, Harris A. Laparoscopic approach to appendectomy reduces the incidence of short- and long-term post-operative bowel obstruction: systematic review and pooled analysis. J Gastro Intest Surg 2014; 18: 1683-92.
- Gomes CA, Nunes TA, Soares C Jr, Gomes CC. The appendiceal stump closure during laparoscopy: historical, surgical, and future perspectives. Surg Laparosc Endosc Percutan Tech 2012; 22: 1-4.
- Gorter RR, Heij HA, Eker HH, Kazemier G. Laparoscopic appendectomy: State of the art. Tailored approach to the application of laparoscopic appendectomy? Best Pract Res Clin Gastroenterol 2014; 28: 211-24.
- Sahm M, Kube R, Schmidt S, Ritter C, Pross M, Lippert H. Current analysis of endoloops in appendiceal stump closure. Surg Endosc 2011; 25: 124-9.

- Alis H, Gonenc M, Deniztas C, Kapan S, Turhan AN. Metal endoclips for the closure of the appendiceal stump in laparoscopic appendectomy. Tech Coloproctol 2012; 16: 139-41.
- Arcovedo R, Barrera H, Reyes HS. Securing the appendiceal stump with the Gea extracorporeal sliding knot during laparoscopic appendectomy is safe and economical. Surg Endosc 2007; 21: 1764-7.
- Kazemier G, in't Hof KH, Saad S, Bonjer HJ, Sauerland S. Securing the appendiceal stump in laparoscopic appendectomy: evidence for routine stapling? Surg Endosc 2006; 20: 1473-6.
- Beldi G, Muggli K, Helbling C, Schlumpf R. Laparoscopic appendectomy using endoloops: a prospective, randomized clinical trial. Surg Endosc 2004; 18: 749-50.
- Ates M, Dirican A, Ince V, Ara C, Isik B, Yilmaz S. Comparison of intracorporeal knot-tying suture (polyglactin) and titanium endoclips in laparoscopic appendiceal stump closure: a prospective randomized study. Surg Laparosc Endosc Percutan Tech 2012; 22: 226-31.

- Rickert A, Bönninghoff R, Post S, Walz M, Runkel N, Kienle P. Appendix stump closure with titanium clips in laparoscopic appendectomy. Langenbecks Arch Surg 2012; 397: 327-31.
- Delibegović S, Iljazović E, Katica M, Koluh A. Tissue reaction to absorbable endoloop, nonabsorbable titanium staples, and polymer Hem-o-lok clip after laparoscopic appendectomy. JSLS 2011; 15: 70-6.
- Hanssen A, Plotnikov S, Dubois R. Laparoscopic appendectomy using a polymeric clip to close the appendicular stump. JSLS 2007; 11: 59-62.
- Hue CS, Kim JS, Kim KH, Nam SH, Kim KW. The usefulness and safety of Hem-o-lok clips for the closure of appendicular stump during laparoscopic appendectomy. J Korean Surg Soc 2013; 84: 27-32.

ARAŞTIRMA-ÖZET

Laparoskopik Apendektomide Apendiks Güdüğü Kapatılmasında Polimer Klip ve Endoloop Kullanımının Karşılaştırılması Osman Şimşek¹, İsmail Ahmet Bilgin¹, Sezgin Uludağ¹, Fatih Dal¹, Mehmet Velidedeoğlu¹, Kaya Sarıbeyoğlu¹, Salih Pekmezci¹ ¹ İstanbul Üniversitesi Cerrahpaşa Tıp Fakültesi, Genel Cerrahi Anabilim Dalı, Acil Cerrahi Ünitesi, İstanbul, Türkiye Giriş: Laparoskopik apendektomi sırasında apendiks güdüğünü kapayan endo-lup ve polimer klipleme tekniklerini karşılaştırmayı amaçladık. Materyal ve Metod: Bu prospektif klinik çalışmada iki grup oluşturuldu (Grup 1: endo-lup; Grup 2: polimerik klip) ve sonuçlar demografi, ameliyat süresi, apendiks kökü kapama süresi, hastanede yatış süresi, maliyet ve komplikasyonlar açısından incelendi. Bulgular: Peroperatif veya majör postoperatif komplikasyon görülmedi. Seride mortalite saptanmadı. Ameliyat süreleri Grup 1 için 50 ± 10.6 dakika, Grup 2 içinse 40 ± 12.6 dakika olarak hesaplandı. Apendiks güdüğü kapama süresi Grup 1 ve Grup 2 için sırasıyla 187 ± 102 saniye, 69 ± 30 saniye olarak saptandı. Hastanede yatış süreleri Grup 1 için 53 ± 33.4 saat, Grup 2 içinse 47.5 ± 34 saattir. Ameliyat sürelerinin (t= 3.22, p= 0.002) ve apendiks güdüğü kapama sürelerinin karşılaştırmalarında (z= 6.388, p< 0.001) istatistiksel olarak anlamlı fark görüldü. Diğer istatistiksel incelemelerde anlamlı fark görülmedi. Sonuç: Laparoskopik apendektomide apendiks güdüğünün polimerik kliple kapatılması teknik konfor ve ameliyat süresi açısından anlamlı şekilde daha olumlu sonuçlanmıştır. Kesin sonuçlara ulaşmak için yeni klinik çalışmalara gereksinim vardır. Anahtar Kelimeler: Apendektomi, laparoskopik apendektomi, endo-lup, hem-o-lok, polimerik klip Geliş Tarihi: 05.07.2014 • Kabul Tarihi: 15.08.2014 • doi: 10.5578/ejels.8733