Modeling how substitution of sedentary behavior with standing or physical activity is associated with health-related quality of life in colorectal cancer survivors

Eline H. van Roekel, Martijn J.L. Bours, José J.L. Breedveld-Peters, Paul J.B. Willems, Kenneth Meijer, IJmert Kant, Piet A. van den Brandt, Geerard L. Beets, Silvia Sanduleanu, and Matty P. Weijenberg

Corresponding author: Eline H. van Roekel, Maastricht University, Department of Epidemiology, GROW School for Oncology and Developmental Biology, P.O. Box 616, 6200 MD Maastricht, The Netherlands. Phone: +31 43 38 83428. Fax: +31 43 38 84128. E-mail: eline.vanroekel@maastrichtuniversity.nl.

Cancer Causes & Control

Supplementary Table 1. Calculated cutoffs for regression coefficients from isotemporal substitution models reported within this article being described as "meaningful", based on minimally important differences in health-related quality of life outcomes^a

Health-related quality of life outcomes ^b	Cutoff for substituting sedentary time with standing or physical activity	Cutoff for substituting standing time with physical activity
Global quality of life	2.9	3.8
Physical functioning	4.1	5.4
Role functioning	5.6	7.3
Social functioning	3.2	4.2
Disability	2.3	3.0
Fatigue	4.0	5.2
Depression	0.5	0.7
Anxiety	0.5	0.7

^aCalulation based on definition that regression coefficients from isotemporal substitution models presented in this article were described as "meaningful" if the mean difference in health-related quality of life outcome associated with a difference of 2 standard deviations (SDs) of the substituted activity variable (i.e., sedentary [SD, 1.7 hours/day] or standing [SD, 1.3 hours/day] time) with time in another activity variable (i.e., standing or physical activity) exceeded the minimally important difference cutoff for a medium effect size (according to published guidelines for medium cross-sectional differences in the EORTC QLQ-C30 subscales global health status/quality of life [10], physical functioning [14], role functioning [19], social functioning [11]; or 0.5 times the SD of the outcome score for disability [7.8], fatigue [13.6], depression [1.7], and anxiety [1.8] for which no guidelines are available).

^bScales are 0-100 (global quality of life, physical, role and social functioning, and disability), 20-140 (fatigue), and 0-21 (depression and anxiety), with higher scores indicating higher global quality of life, physical, role and social functioning, disability, fatigue, depression and anxiety.

Supplementary Table 2. Analyses of isotemporal substitution models^a for analyzing associations of substituting sedentary time by standing or physical activity, and for substituting standing time with physical activity, with health-related quality of life scores^b in colorectal cancer survivors in confounder-adjusted linear regression models^c

		Substituting		Substituting		Substituting	
Health-related		1 hour/day of		1 hour/day of		our/day of	
	seden	sedentary time with		sedentary time with		standing time with	
quality of life outcomes		standing	phys	physical activity		physical activity	
	β	95% CI	β	95% CI	β	95% CI	
Global quality of life $(n = 136)$	1.0	-1.6, 3.5	3.2	-1.6, 7.9	2.2	-3.9, 8.4	
Physical functioning $(n = 136)$	3.1	0.5, 5.7	5.6	0.7, 10.6	2.6	-3.9, 9.0	
Role functioning ($n = 136$)	3.1	-0.4, 6.6	1.7	-4.9, 8.3	-1.4	-9.9, 7.2	
Social functioning $(n = 136)$	1.3	-1.4, 3.9	0.4	-4.6, 5.4	-0.8	-7.3, 5.7	
Disability ($n = 132$)	-3.0	-4.9, -1.1	-3.3	-6.9, 0.3	-0.4	-5.0, 4.3	
Fatigue ($n = 134$)	-4.0	-7.6, -0.3	-3.6	-10.5, 3.3	0.3	-8.5, 9.2	
Depression $(n = 135)$	-0.1	-0.5, 0.4	-0.5	-1.4, 0.4	-0.4	-1.6, 0.7	
Anxiety $(n = 135)$	0.0	-0.5, 0.5	-0.7	-1.7, 0.3	-0.7	-2.0, 0.5	

Abbreviations: β, unstandardized regression coefficient (representing the difference in mean health-related quality of life score per substitution of 1 hour/day of sedentary or standing time with standing or physical activity, while keeping total time constant); CI, confidence interval.

bScales are 0-100 (global quality of life, physical, role and social functioning, and disability), 20-140 (fatigue), and 0-21 (depression and anxiety), with higher scores indicating higher global quality of life, physical, role and social functioning, disability, fatigue, depression and anxiety. cModels were adjusted for age (years), gender, number of comorbidities (0/1/≥2), smoking status (current/previous or never), time since diagnosis (years), cancer stage (I/II/III), body mass index (kg/m²), perceived deficiency in social support score (continuous), chemotherapy received (yes/no; only models with physical functioning, fatigue and depression as outcome), stoma (yes/no; only models with physical and role functioning, and disability as outcome), tumor subsite (colon/rectum, with rectosigmoid classified as rectum; only models with physical and role functioning, and disability as outcome), education level (low/medium/high; only models with fatigue and depression as outcome), having a partner (yes/no; only models with anxiety as outcome).

^aAll activity categories except for the category to be substituted (i.e., sedentary or standing time), and total waking wear time were entered in a single model, to estimate associations of substituting 1 hour/day of sedentary or standing time (the excluded variable) with standing or physical activity, while keeping total time constant.

Supplementary Table 3. Results of logistic isotemporal substitution models with dichotomized health-related quality of life scores^a in colorectal cancer survivors^b

Health-related	Substituting 1 hour/day of sedentary with standing		Substituting 1 hour/day of sedentary with		Substituting 1 hour/day of standing with physical	
quality of life outcomes				physical activity		activity
•	OR	95% CI	OR	95% CI	OR	95% CI
Global quality of life $(n = 136)$	1.0	0.7, 1.5	1.2	0.6, 2.5	1.2	0.5, 3.1
Physical functioning $(n = 136)$	1.1	0.7, 1.7	1.7	0.8, 3.7	1.5	0.5, 4.3
Role functioning $(n = 136)$	1.2	0.8, 1.8	0.7	0.3, 1.5	0.6	0.2, 1.6
Social functioning $(n = 136)$	1.2	0.8, 1.8	0.6	0.3, 1.3	0.5	0.2, 1.4
Disability $(n = 132)$	0.6	0.4, 0.9	0.9	0.4, 1.9	1.6	0.6, 4.2
Fatigue $(n = 134)$	1.0	0.6, 1.4	0.6	0.3, 1.3	0.7	0.3, 1.7
Depression $(n = 135)$	1.1	0.7, 1.6	0.8	0.4, 1.6	0.8	0.3, 1.9
Anxiety $(n = 135)$	1.1	0.7, 1.5	0.7	0.4, 1.5	0.7	0.3, 1.7

Abbreviations: CI, confidence interval; OR, odds ratio.

aScales are 0-100 (global quality of life, physical, role and social functioning, and disability), 20-140 (fatigue), and 0-21 (depression and anxiety), with higher scores indicating higher global quality of life, physical, role and social functioning, disability, fatigue, depression and anxiety; dichotomized with gender-specific medians as cutoff values for global quality of life (men: ≥83.3 vs <83.3; women: ≥83.3 vs <83.3), physical functioning (men: ≥93.3 vs <93.3; women: ≥80.0 vs <80.0), role functioning (men: 100.0 vs <100.0; women: 100.0 vs <100.0) and social functioning (men: 100.0 vs <100.0; women: ≥54.0 vs <54.0; women: ≥50.0 vs <50.0), depression (men: ≥4.0 vs <4.0; women: ≥4.0 vs <4.0), and anxiety (men: ≥4.0 vs <4.0; women: ≥5.0 vs <5.0); with odds ratios for high vs low levels of quality of life, physical, role and social functioning, and disability, fatigue, depression, and anxiety.

bAll activity categories except for the category to be substituted (i.e., sedentary or standing time), and total waking wear time were entered in a single model, to estimate associations of substituting 1 hour/day of sedentary or standing time (the excluded variable) with standing or physical activity, while keeping total time constant. Models were adjusted for age (years), gender, number of comorbidities (0/1/≥2), smoking status (current/previous or never), time since diagnosis (years), cancer stage (I/II/III), body mass index (kg/m²), perceived deficiency in social support score (continuous), chemotherapy received (yes/no; only models with physical functioning, fatigue and depression as outcome), stoma (yes/no; only models with physical and role functioning, disability and anxiety as outcome), tumor subsite (colon/rectum, with rectosigmoid classified as rectum; only models with physical and role functioning, and disability as outcome), education level (low/medium/high; only models with fatigue and depression as outcome), having a partner (yes/no; only models with anxiety as outcome).

Supplementary Table 4. Results of subgroup analyses for associations of substituting sedentary time by standing or physical activity, and for substituting standing time with physical activity, with health-related quality of life scores^a in colorectal cancer survivors in isotemporal substitution linear regression models^b stratified for gender, age, number of

comorbidities, body mass index and perceived deficiency in social support

		bstituting		bstituting	Substituting		
	1 hour/day of sedentary time with standing			lay of sedentary	1 hour/day of standing time with physical		
	time v	vith standing		with physical		1 2	
	β	95% CI	β	activity 95% CI	β	activity 95% CI	
Condor	р	95% CI	р	95% CI	р	95% CI	
Gender Global quality of life							
Total group $(n = 136)$	1.0	-1.6, 3.5	3.2	-1.6, 7.9	2.2	-3.9, 8.4	
Men $(n = 82)$	-0.8	-4.1, 2.4	2.2	-3.8, 8.3	3.1	-5.0, 11.2	
Women $(n = 54)$	2.4	-1.8, 6.6	3.7	-3.8, 8.3 -4.2, 11.7	1.3	-8.8, 11.5	
Physical functioning	2.4	-1.8, 0.0	3.7	-4.2, 11.7	1.3	-0.0, 11.3	
	3.1	0557	5.6	0.7.10.6	2.6	20.00	
Total group $(n = 136)$	1.3	0.5, 5.7	0.3	0.7, 10.6	-0.9	-3.9, 9.0	
Men (n = 82) $Warran (n = 54)$		-2.2, 4.7		-6.2, 6.9		-9.6, 7.7	
Women $(n = 54)$	5.2	1.1, 9.3	9.8	2.0, 17.5	4.5	-5.5, 14.5	
Role functioning	2.1	0.4.6.6	1.7	40.02	1.4	0.0.7.2	
Total group $(n = 136)$	3.1	-0.4, 6.6	1.7	-4.9, 8.3	-1.4	-9.9, 7.2	
Men (n = 82)	-1.2	-5.7, 3.3	2.1	-6.3, 10.5	3.3	-7.9, 14.5	
Women $(n = 54)$	5.7	-0.4, 11.7	3.6	-7.7, 15.0	-2.0	-16.7, 12.6	
Social functioning					0.0	.	
Total group $(n = 136)$	1.3	-1.4, 3.9	0.4	-4.6, 5.4	-0.8	-7.3, 5.7	
Men (n = 82)	0.3	-4.4, 5.1	3.1	-5.7, 11.9	2.7	-9.0, 14.5	
Women $(n = 54)$	1.3	-1.3, 3.9	-1.5	-6.3, 3.4	-2.8	-9.0, 3.4	
Disability							
Total group $(n = 132)$	-3.0	-4.9, -1.1	-3.3	-6.9, 0.3	-0.4	-5.0, 4.3	
Men (n = 81)	-2.2	-4.7, 0.4	-0.3	-5.0, 4.4	1.8	-4.4, 8.0	
Women $(n = 51)$	-3.0	-6.1, 0.1	-7.2	-12.9, -1.4	-4.2	-11.6, 3.3	
Fatigue							
Total group $(n = 134)$	-4.0	-7.6, -0.3	-3.6	-10.5, 3.3	0.3	-8.5, 9.2	
Men $(n = 82)$	0.2	-4.9, 5.4	-4.2	-13.7, 5.3	-4.4	-16.9, 8.1	
Women $(n = 52)$	-5.7	-11.9, 0.6	-3.1	-14.1, 7.8	2.6	-11.5, 16.7	
Depression							
Total group $(n = 135)$	-0.1	-0.5, 0.4	-0.5	-1.4, 0.4	-0.4	-1.6, 0.7	
Men (n = 81)	0.1	-0.6, 0.8	0.4	-1.0, 1.7	0.3	-1.5, 2.0	
Women $(n = 54)$	0.2	-0.4, 0.8	-1.0	-2.0, 0.1	-1.2	-2.6, 0.2	
Anxiety		, , , , , ,		,		,	
Total group $(n = 135)$	0.0	-0.5, 0.5	-0.7	-1.7, 0.3	-0.7	-2.0, 0.5	
Men $(n = 81)$	-0.2	-1.0, 0.6	0.0	-1.5, 1.4	0.1	-1.8, 2.1	
Women $(n = 54)$	0.2	-0.5, 0.9	-1.0	-2.4, 0.4	-1.2	-3.0, 0.6	
women (w 3 t)	0.2	0.0, 0.5	1.0	2.1, 0.1	1.2	3.0, 0.0	
Age							
Global quality of life							
Total group $(n = 136)$	1.0	-1.6, 3.5	3.2	-1.6, 7.9	2.2	-3.9, 8.4	
<70 years of age $(n = 68)$	-0.2	-3.8, 3.5	3.3	-3.4, 10.0	3.5	-5.7, 12.6	
\geq 70 years of age $(n = 68)$	2.5	-1.5, 6.6	3.9	-4.4, 12.2	1.3	-8.8, 11.4	
Physical functioning	2.3	1.5, 0.0	3.7	1.1, 12.2	1.5	0.0, 11.1	
Total group $(n = 136)$	3.1	0.5, 5.7	5.6	0.7, 10.6	2.6	-3.9, 9.0	
<70 years of age $(n = 68)$	6.2	2.3, 10.0	1.5	-5.4, 8.4	-4.6	-14.1, 4.9	
\geq 70 years of age ($n = 68$)	1.4	-2.6, 5.3	6.8	-1.6, 15.3	5.5	-4.8, 15.7	
	1.4	-2.0, 3.3	0.0	-1.0, 13.3	5.5	-4.0, 13.7	
Role functioning $Total group (n = 136)$	2.1	0466	1 7	-4.9, 8.3	1 /	00.72	
Total group $(n = 136)$	3.1	-0.4, 6.6	1.7		-1.4	-9.9, 7.2	
<70 years of age $(n = 68)$	3.9	-1.3, 9.2	1.8	-7.6, 11.2	-2.1	-15.1, 10.8	
\geq 70 years of age ($n = 68$)	2.7	-2.8, 8.1	-0.2	-11.7, 11.3	-2.9	-17.0, 11.2	
Social functioning		1.4.2.2	0.4	4 6 7 4	0.0	72.5	
Total group $(n = 136)$	1.3	-1.4, 3.9	0.4	-4.6, 5.4	-0.8	-7.3, 5.7	
<70 years of age $(n = 68)$	1.0	-2.6, 4.5	-1.0	-7.5, 5.5	-2.0	-10.8, 6.9	
\geq 70 years of age ($n = 68$)	0.4	-4.0, 4.7	2.6	-6.2, 11.4	2.2	-8.5, 12.9	

(Table continues on next page)

	Substituting 1 hour/day of sedentary time with standing		1 hour/d	bstituting ay of sedentary vith physical	Substituting 1 hour/day of standing time with physical		
				activity		activity	
	β	95% CI	β	95% CI	β	95% CI	
Disability							
Total group $(n = 132)$	-3.0	-4.9, -1.1	-3.3	-6.9, 0.3	-0.4	-5.0, 4.3	
<70 years of age $(n = 68)$	-4.2	-7.0, -1.4	-2.1	-7.1, 2.9	2.1	-4.9, 9.0	
\geq 70 years of age ($n = 64$)	-2.0	-5.0, 1.0	-2.5	-8.8, 3.9	-0.5	-8.3, 7.4	
Fatigue							
Total group $(n = 134)$	-4.0	-7.6, -0.3	-3.6	-10.5, 3.3	0.3	-8.5, 9.2	
<70 years of age ($n = 66$)	-3.1	-9.2, 3.0	-5.5	-16.3, 5.3	-2.4	-17.2, 12.3	
\geq 70 years of age ($n = 68$)	-5.3	-10.6, -0.0	-1.5	-12.4, 9.3	3.8	-9.3, 16.9	
Depression							
Total group $(n = 135)$	-0.1	-0.5, 0.4	-0.5	-1.4, 0.4	-0.4	-1.6, 0.7	
<70 years of age ($n = 68$)	-0.1	-0.8, 0.6	-0.7	-2.0, 0.6	-0.6	-2.4, 1.2	
\geq 70 years of age ($n = 67$)	-0.1	-0.8, 0.7	-0.3	-1.9, 1.3	-0.2	-2.1, 1.7	
Anxiety							
Total group $(n = 135)$	0.0	-0.5, 0.5	-0.7	-1.7, 0.3	-0.7	-2.0, 0.5	
<70 years of age $(n = 68)$	-0.1	-0.8, 0.6	-0.6	-1.9, 0.7	-0.5	-2.3, 1.3	
\geq 70 years of age ($n = 67$)	0.0	-0.8, 0.8	-0.9	-2.6, 0.9	-0.9	-3.0, 1.2	
_		,		,		,	
Number of comorbidities							
Global quality of life							
Total group $(n = 136)$	1.0	-1.6, 3.5	3.2	-1.6, 7.9	2.2	-3.9, 8.4	
<2 comorbidities ($n = 64$)	0.1	-3.0, 3.2	4.3	-0.9, 9.5	4.2	-2.9, 11.2	
≥ 2 comorbidities $(n = 72)$	1.6	-2.6, 5.7	2.0	-7.1, 11.0	0.4	-10.8, 11.6	
Physical functioning		_,,,,,,		,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		,	
Total group $(n = 136)$	3.1	0.5, 5.7	5.6	0.7, 10.6	2.6	-3.9, 9.0	
<2 comorbidities ($n = 64$)	3.0	-0.9, 6.9	4.1	-2.4, 10.5	1.1	-7.7, 9.9	
≥ 2 comorbidities $(n = 72)$	2.5	-1.2, 6.2	5.1	-3.9, 14.1	2.6	-8.1, 13.3	
Role functioning	2.3	-1.2, 0.2	3.1	-5.7, 14.1	2.0	-0.1, 13.3	
Total group $(n = 136)$	3.1	-0.4, 6.6	1.7	-4.9, 8.3	-1.4	-9.9, 7.2	
<2 comorbidities ($n = 64$)	3.4	-1.0, 7.8	4.1	-3.2, 11.3	0.7	-9.2, 10.6	
\geq 2 comorbidities ($n = 04$) \geq 2 comorbidities ($n = 72$)	2.9	-2.5, 8.4	-7.9	-20.7, 4.8	-10.9		
	2.9	-2.3, 6.4	-7.9	-20.7, 4.8	-10.9	-26.1, 4.4	
Social functioning	1.2	1 4 2 0	0.4	1651	0.0	72.57	
Total group $(n = 136)$	1.3	-1.4, 3.9	0.4	-4.6, 5.4	-0.8	-7.3, 5.7	
<2 comorbidities ($n = 64$)	1.4	-1.2, 3.9	-1.0	-5.3, 3.2	-2.4	-8.2, 3.3	
\geq 2 comorbidities ($n = 72$)	2.0	-2.5, 6.5	0.8	-8.9, 10.4	-1.2	-13.3, 10.8	
Disability	2.0	40 11	2.2	(0.02	0.4	5.0.42	
Total group $(n = 132)$	-3.0	-4.9, -1.1	-3.3	-6.9, 0.3	-0.4	-5.0, 4.3	
<2 comorbidities ($n = 64$)	-2.9	-5.3, -0.5	-2.4	-6.4, 1.5	0.5	-4.9, 5.9	
\geq 2 comorbidities ($n = 68$)	-3.3	-6.4, -0.3	-2.2	-9.2, 4.8	1.2	-7.3, 9.7	
Fatigue				40			
Total group $(n = 134)$	-4.0	-7.6, -0.3	-3.6	-10.5, 3.3	0.3	-8.5, 9.2	
<2 comorbidities ($n = 64$)	-1.7	-7.2, 3.8	-3.8	-13.2, 5.5	-2.1	-14.7, 10.5	
\geq 2 comorbidities ($n = 70$)	-5.3	-11.1, 0.4	-3.7	-15.7, 8.3	1.7	-13.2, 16.5	
Depression							
Total group $(n = 135)$	-0.1	-0.5, 0.4	-0.5	-1.4, 0.4	-0.4	-1.6, 0.7	
<2 comorbidities ($n = 63$)	0.1	-0.6, 0.8	-0.3	-1.5, 0.9	-0.4	-2.1, 1.2	
≥ 2 comorbidities $(n = 72)$	-0.1	-0.8, 0.7	-1.1	-2.6, 0.5	-1.0	-2.9, 0.9	
Anxiety		•		•		•	
Total group $(n = 135)$	0.0	-0.5, 0.5	-0.7	-1.7, 0.3	-0.7	-2.0, 0.5	
<2 comorbidities ($n = 64$)	0.6	-0.1, 1.4	-0.9	-2.1, 0.4	-1.5	-3.2, 0.2	
≥ 2 comorbidities ($n = 71$)	-0.5	-1.1, 0.2	0.3	-1.2, 1.8	0.8	-1.0, 2.6	
		, -		,	•	,	
Body mass index							
Global quality of life							
Total group $(n = 136)$	1.0	-1.6, 3.5	3.2	-1.6, 7.9	2.2	-3.9, 8.4	
BMI<30 kg/m ² ($n = 98$)	0.2	-2.4, 2.8	6.5	1.2, 11.8	6.3	-0.6, 13.1	

(Table continues on next page)

	Substituting 1 hour/day of sedentary time with standing		1 hour/d time v	bstituting ay of sedentary with physical	Substituting 1 hour/day of standing time with physical	
	β	95% CI	β	activity 95% CI	β	ectivity 95% CI
Physical functioning	Р	9370 CI	Р	9370 CI	Р	9370 CI
Total group $(n = 136)$	3.1	0.5, 5.7	5.6	0.7, 10.6	2.6	-3.9, 9.0
BMI<30 kg/m ² $(n = 98)$	2.7	-0.3, 5.7	6.0	-0.2, 12.2	3.3	-4.7, 11.3
BMI \ge 30 kg/m ² ($n = 38$)	4.0	-3.9, 11.8	7.4	-7.0, 21.7	3.4	-14.0, 20.8
Role functioning		2.5, 11.0	,	7.0, =1.7	J	10, 20.0
Total group $(n = 136)$	3.1	-0.4, 6.6	1.7	-4.9, 8.3	-1.4	-9.9, 7.2
BMI<30 kg/m ² $(n = 98)$	2.3	-1.5, 6.2	7.8	-0.0, 15.7	5.5	-4.7, 15.7
BMI $\ge 30 \text{ kg/m}^2 (n = 38)$	1.6	-9.1, 12.2	-9.3	-24.2, 5.5	-10.9	-30.6, 8.8
Social functioning		, , , , , , , , ,	, . .			2,
Total group $(n = 136)$	1.3	-1.4, 3.9	0.4	-4.6, 5.4	-0.8	-7.3, 5.7
BMI<30 kg/m ² ($n = 98$)	0.8	-2.4, 4.1	2.3	-4.2, 8.8	1.5	-6.9, 9.9
BMI $\ge 30 \text{ kg/m}^2 (n = 38)$	2.9	-3.8, 9.5	-2.3	-12.9, 8.4	-5.1	-18.8, 8.6
Disability		,		,		,
Total group $(n = 132)$	-3.0	-4.9, -1.1	-3.3	-6.9, 0.3	-0.4	-5.0, 4.3
BMI $<30 \text{ kg/m}^2 (n = 96)$	-2.9	-5.1, -0.7	-4.3	-8.8, 0.2	-1.4	-7.3, 4.5
BMI $\ge 30 \text{ kg/m}^2 (n = 36)$	-1.9	-7.9, 4.1	-3.7	-11.9, 4.4	-1.8	-12.8, 9.2
Fatigue		,		,		,
Total group $(n = 134)$	-4.0	-7.6, -0.3	-3.6	-10.5, 3.3	0.3	-8.5, 9.2
BMI $<30 \text{ kg/m}^2 (n = 96)$	-3.2	-7.2, 0.8	-7.9	-15.8, 0.1	-4.7	-15.0, 5.6
BMI $\ge 30 \text{ kg/m}^2 (n = 38)$	-6.2	-18.3, 5.8	-0.5	-21.0, 20.0	5.7	-19.0, 30.5
Depression		,		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		,
Total group $(n = 135)$	-0.1	-0.5, 0.4	-0.5	-1.4, 0.4	-0.4	-1.6, 0.7
BMI<30 kg/m ² $(n = 97)$	0.2	-0.3, 0.7	-1.5	-2.4, -0.5	-1.7	-2.9, -0.5
BMI $\ge 30 \text{ kg/m}^2 (n = 38)$	-0.4	-2.1, 1.3	0.0	-2.8, 2.9	0.4	-3.0, 3.8
Anxiety		, , , ,		, , ,		,
Total group $(n = 135)$	0.0	-0.5, 0.5	-0.7	-1.7, 0.3	-0.7	-2.0, 0.5
BMI<30 kg/m ² $(n = 98)$	0.3	-0.3, 0.9	-1.5	-2.8, -0.3	-1.8	-3.4, -0.3
BMI $\ge 30 \text{ kg/m}^2 (n = 37)$	-0.5	-1.6, 0.5	0.7	-0.9, 2.3	1.3	-0.9, 3.5
Perceived deficiency in social support ^c						
Global quality of life	1.0	1.6.2.5	2.2	1 6 7 0	2.2	20.04
Total group $(n = 136)$	1.0	-1.6, 3.5	3.2	-1.6, 7.9	2.2	-3.9, 8.4
No deficiency $(n = 76)$	-3.1	-7.1, 0.9	2.3	-4.5, 9.1	5.4	-3.7, 14.4
Deficiency $(n = 60)$	4.1	0.5, 7.8	3.7	-4.5, 12.0	-0.4	-10.6, 9.8
Physical functioning	2.1	0.5.5.7	<i>5.6</i>	0.7.10.6	2.6	20.00
Total group $(n = 136)$	3.1	0.5, 5.7	5.6	0.7, 10.6	2.6	-3.9, 9.0
No deficiency $(n = 76)$	2.0	-1.4, 5.5	4.5	-1.3, 10.2	2.4	-5.2, 10.0
Deficiency $(n = 60)$	4.3	0.2, 8.5	5.8	-3.5, 15.0	1.4	-10.0, 12.9
Role functioning	2 1	0466	1.7	40.92	1 /	00.72
Total group $(n = 136)$	3.1	-0.4, 6.6	1.7	-4.9, 8.3	-1.4	-9.9, 7.2
No deficiency $(n = 76)$	-0.9	-5.5, 3.8	-2.4	-10.2, 5.4	-1.6	-11.9, 8.8
Deficiency $(n = 60)$	4.6	-0.8, 10.0	9.6	-2.5, 21.7	5.0	-10.0, 20.0
Social functioning	1.2	1 4 2 0	0.4	1651	0.0	7257
Total group $(n = 136)$	1.3	-1.4, 3.9	0.4	-4.6, 5.4	-0.8	-7.3, 5.7
No deficiency $(n = 76)$	-0.0	-3.7, 3.6	0.6	-5.5, 6.8	0.7	-7.5, 8.8
Deficiency $(n = 60)$	2.0	-2.7, 6.6	0.0	-10.6, 10.6	-1.9	-15.0, 11.1
Disability $Total group (n = 132)$	2.0	40 11	2.2	60.02	0.4	50.42
Total group $(n = 132)$	-3.0	-4.9, -1.1	-3.3	-6.9, 0.3	-0.4	-5.0, 4.3
No deficiency $(n = 76)$	-0.7	-3.1, 1.8	-3.1	-7.2, 1.0	-2.4	-7.9, 3.0
Deficiency $(n = 56)$	-4.2	-7.1, -1.3	-5.0	-11.6, 1.7	-0.8	-9.0, 7.5
Fatigue Total group (n = 124)	4.0	7.6 0.2	2.6	10 5 2 2	0.2	05.00
Total group $(n = 134)$	-4.0	-7.6, -0.3	-3.6	-10.5, 3.3	0.3	-8.5, 9.2
No deficiency $(n = 76)$	-1.0	-6.8, 4.7	-3.5	-13.3, 6.3	-2.5	-15.4, 10.5
Deficiency $(n = 58)$	-8.2	-13.4, -3.0	-1.2	-11.9, 9.5	7.0	-6.4, 20.4

(Table continues on next page)

	1 hour/d	Substituting 1 hour/day of sedentary time with standing		bstituting ay of sedentary vith physical activity	Substituting 1 hour/day of standing time with physical activity	
	β	95% CI	β	95% CI	β	95% CI
Depression						
Total group $(n = 135)$	-0.1	-0.5, 0.4	-0.5	-1.4, 0.4	-0.4	-1.6, 0.7
No deficiency $(n = 75)$	0.3	-0.3, 1.0	-0.6	-1.7, 0.6	-0.9	-2.3, 0.6
Deficiency $(n = 60)$	-0.4	-1.2, 0.4	0.1	-1.6, 1.8	0.5	-1.6, 2.6
Anxiety						
Total group $(n = 135)$	0.0	-0.5, 0.5	-0.7	-1.7, 0.3	-0.7	-2.0, 0.5
No deficiency $(n = 76)$	0.5	-0.2, 1.2	-1.1	-2.2, 0.1	-1.5	-3.1, -0.0
Deficiency $(n = 59)$	-0.3	-1.1, 0.5	-0.4	-2.4, 1.5	-0.1	-2.5, 2.3

Abbreviations: β, unstandardized regression coefficient (representing the difference in mean health-related quality of life score per substitution of 1 hour/day of sedentary or standing time with standing or physical activity, while keeping total time constant); CI, confidence interval.

aScales are 0-100 (global quality of life, physical, role and social functioning, and disability), 20-140 (fatigue), and 0-21 (depression and anxiety), with higher scores indicating higher global quality of life, physical, role and social functioning, disability, fatigue, depression and anxiety.

bAll activity categories except for the category to be substituted (i.e., sedentary or standing time), and total waking wear time were entered in a single model, to estimate associations of substituting 1 hour/day of sedentary or standing time (the excluded variable) with standing or physical activity, while keeping total time constant. Models were adjusted for age (years), gender, number of comorbidities (0/1/≥2), smoking status (current/previous or never), time since diagnosis (years), cancer stage (I/II/III), body mass index (kg/m²), perceived deficiency in social support score (continuous), chemotherapy received (yes/no; only models with physical functioning, fatigue and depression as outcome), stoma (yes/no; only models with physical and role functioning, and disability as outcome), tumor subsite (colon/rectum, with rectosigmoid classified as rectum; only models with physical and role functioning, and disability as outcome), education level (low/medium/high; only models with fatigue and depression as outcome), having a partner (yes/no; only models with anxiety as outcome).

^cDichotomized based on 6-item Social Support List score (scale: 6-18, with higher score indicating higher deficiency); categorized into no deficiency (score=6) vs deficiency (score>6).