## Supplementary Material

Supplementary Table S1: Search strategy used to identify publications publishing on lifestyle and/or reproductive risk factors for contralateral breast cancer in the PubMed electronic database.

| Number | Search term |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 | "(Contralateral Breast Cancer* [tiab] OR Contralateral Breast Tumor* [tiab] OR Contralateral Breast Tumour* [tiab] OR Contralateral Breast Neoplasm* [tiab] OR CBC [tiab] OR (Breast Neoplasms [MeSH] AND Contralateral [tiab]) OR (Breast Neoplasms [MeSH] AND Neoplasms, Second Primary [MeSH]) OR Second Primary Breast Cancer [tiab] OR Second Breast Cancer [tiab]) AND |
| 2 | ("Health Behavior"[MeSH] OR "Food Habits"[MeSH] OR "Exercise"[MeSH] OR "Smoking"[MeSH] OR Smoking [tiab] OR "Alcohol Drinking"[MeSH] OR "Body Mass Index"[MeSH] OR "Obesity"[MeSH] OR Obes* [tiab] OR "Life Style"[MeSH] OR Life Style [tiab] OR Lifestyl* [tiab] |
| 3 | OR "Parity"[MeSH] OR Parity [tiab] OR Parities [tiab] OR Primiparit* [tiab] OR Multiparit* [tiab] OR "Menarche"[MeSH] OR Menarche [tiab] OR "Menopause"[MeSH] OR Menopaus* [tiab] OR "Contraceptives, Oral, Hormonal"[MeSH] OR Contracepti* [tiab] OR "Breast Feeding"[Mesh] OR Breast feeding [tiab] OR "Reproductive History"[MeSH] OR Reproductive Histor* [tiab])". |

Search terms for contralateral breast cancer (number 1) were combined with search terms for lifestyle factors (number 2 ) and reproductive factors (number 3 ) .

Restrictions: publication date from 01/01/1990 onwards, papers published in English

## Supplementary Figures



Supplementary Figure S1: Forest plot of the included publications publishing adjusted estimates on the risk of developing contralateral breast cancer in population-based cohorts comparing body mass index ( $\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ ): $\mathbf{\geq 2 5}$ vs $\mathbf{< 2 5}$.

Author=first author; Year=year of publication; Estimate_type=type of risk estimate provided, which can be a relative risk (RR), odds ratio (OR), hazard ratio (HR); Estimate=reported adjusted estimate (i.e. relative risk, odds ratio or hazard ratio); Weight=value assigned by random-effects analysis using the inverse of the study variance (variance includes withinstudy variance plus the between-study variance); Overall=relative risk estimate combining relative risks, odds ratios and hazard ratios; l-squared=measure of heterogeneity; p-value=pvalue for heterogeneity, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$ considered significant.


Supplementary Figure S2: Forest plot of the included publications publishing adjusted estimates on the risk of developing contralateral breast cancer in population-based cohorts comparing body mass index (kg/m²): 25-<30 vs <25.

Author=first author; Year=year of publication; Estimate_type=type of risk estimate provided, which can be a relative risk (RR), odds ratio (OR), hazard ratio (HR); Estimate=reported adjusted estimate (i.e. relative risk, odds ratio or hazard ratio); Weight=value assigned by random-effects analysis using the inverse of the study variance (variance includes withinstudy variance plus the between-study variance); Overall=relative risk estimate combining relative risks, odds ratios and hazard ratios; l-squared=measure of heterogeneity; p-value=pvalue for heterogeneity, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$ considered significant.

Weight


Supplementary Figure S3: Forest plot of the included publications publishing adjusted estimates on the risk of developing contralateral breast cancer in population-based cohorts comparing body mass index (kg/m²): $\geq 30$ vs $\mathbf{< 2 5}$.

Author=first author; Year=year of publication; Estimate_type=type of risk estimate provided, which can be a relative risk (RR), odds ratio (OR), hazard ratio (HR); Estimate=reported adjusted estimate (i.e. relative risk, odds ratio or hazard ratio); Weight=value assigned by random-effects analysis using the inverse of the study variance (variance includes withinstudy variance plus the between-study variance); Overall=relative risk estimate combining relative risks, odds ratios and hazard ratios; l-squared=measure of heterogeneity; $p$-value=pvalue for heterogeneity, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$ considered significant.


Supplementary Figure S4: Forest plot of the included publications publishing adjusted estimates on the risk of developing contralateral breast cancer in population-based cohorts comparing alcohol use: ever vs never.

Author=first author; Year=year of publication; Estimate_type=type of risk estimate provided, which can be a relative risk (RR), odds ratio (OR), hazard ratio (HR); Estimate=reported adjusted estimate (i.e. relative risk, odds ratio or hazard ratio); Weight=value assigned by random-effects analysis using the inverse of the study variance (variance includes withinstudy variance plus the between-study variance); Overall=relative risk estimate combining relative risks, odds ratios and hazard ratios; $l$-squared=measure of heterogeneity; $p$-value=pvalue for heterogeneity, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$ considered significant.


Supplementary Figure S5: Forest plot of the included publications publishing adjusted estimates on the risk of developing contralateral breast cancer in population-based cohorts comparing smoking status: ever vs never.

Author=first author; Year=year of publication; Estimate_type=type of risk estimate provided, which can be a relative risk (RR), odds ratio (OR), hazard ratio (HR); Estimate=reported adjusted estimate (i.e. relative risk, odds ratio or hazard ratio); Weight=value assigned by random-effects analysis using the inverse of the study variance (variance includes withinstudy variance plus the between-study variance); Overall=relative risk estimate combining relative risks, odds ratios and hazard ratios; l-squared=measure of heterogeneity; $p$-value=pvalue for heterogeneity, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$ considered significant.


Supplementary Figure S6: Forest plot of the included publications publishing adjusted estimates on the risk of developing contralateral breast cancer in population-based cohorts comparing menarche (years): $\geq 13$ vs $<13$.

Author=first author; Year=year of publication; Estimate_type=type of risk estimate provided, which can be a relative risk (RR), odds ratio (OR), hazard ratio (HR); Estimate=reported adjusted estimate (i.e. relative risk, odds ratio or hazard ratio); Weight=value assigned by random-effects analysis using the inverse of the study variance (variance includes withinstudy variance plus the between-study variance); Overall=relative risk estimate combining relative risks, odds ratios and hazard ratios; $l$-squared=measure of heterogeneity; $p$-value=pvalue for heterogeneity, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$ considered significant.


Supplementary Figure S7: Forest plot of the included publications publishing adjusted estimates on the risk of developing contralateral breast cancer in population-based cohorts comparing oral contraceptive use: ever vs never.

Author=first author; Year=year of publication; Estimate_type=type of risk estimate provided, which can be a relative risk (RR), odds ratio (OR), hazard ratio (HR); Estimate=reported adjusted estimate (i.e. relative risk, odds ratio or hazard ratio); Weight=value assigned by random-effects analysis using the inverse of the study variance (variance includes withinstudy variance plus the between-study variance); Overall=relative risk estimate combining relative risks, odds ratios and hazard ratios; $I$-squared=measure of heterogeneity; p -value=pvalue for heterogeneity, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$ considered significant.
Author Year Estimate_type Estimate (95\% CI) Weight


Supplementary Figure S8: Forest plot of the included publications publishing adjusted estimates on the risk of developing contralateral breast cancer in population-based cohorts comparing gravidity: ever vs never.

Author=first author; Year=year of publication; Estimate_type=type of risk estimate provided, which can be a relative risk (RR), odds ratio (OR), hazard ratio (HR); Estimate=reported adjusted estimate (i.e. relative risk, odds ratio or hazard ratio); Weight=value assigned by random-effects analysis using the inverse of the study variance (variance includes withinstudy variance plus the between-study variance); Overall=relative risk estimate combining relative risks, odds ratios and hazard ratios; l-squared=measure of heterogeneity; $p$-value=pvalue for heterogeneity, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$ considered significant.
Author Year Estimate_type Estimate (95\% CI) Weight


Supplementary Figure S9: Forest plot of the included publications publishing adjusted estimates on the risk of developing contralateral breast cancer in population-based cohorts comparing age at primiparity (years): $\mathbf{\geq 2 5}$ vs $\mathbf{< 2 5}$.

Author=first author; Year=year of publication; Estimate_type=type of risk estimate provided, which can be a relative risk (RR), odds ratio (OR), hazard ratio (HR); Estimate=reported adjusted estimate (i.e. relative risk, odds ratio or hazard ratio); Weight=value assigned by random-effects analysis using the inverse of the study variance (variance includes withinstudy variance plus the between-study variance); Overall=relative risk estimate combining relative risks, odds ratios and hazard ratios; l-squared=measure of heterogeneity; $p$-value=pvalue for heterogeneity, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$ considered significant.


Supplementary Figure S10: Forest plot of the included publications publishing adjusted estimates on the risk of developing contralateral breast cancer in populationbased cohorts comparing parity: $\geq 1$ full-term pregnancies vs nulliparous.

Author=first author; Year=year of publication; Estimate_type=type of risk estimate provided, which can be a relative risk (RR), odds ratio (OR), hazard ratio (HR); Estimate=reported adjusted estimate (i.e. relative risk, odds ratio or hazard ratio); Weight=value assigned by random-effects analysis using the inverse of the study variance (variance includes withinstudy variance plus the between-study variance); Overall=relative risk estimate combining relative risks, odds ratios and hazard ratios; l-squared=measure of heterogeneity; p-value=pvalue for heterogeneity, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$ considered significant.

FTPs=full-term pregnancies.


Supplementary Figure S11: Forest plot of the included publications publishing adjusted estimates on the risk of developing contralateral breast cancer in populationbased cohorts comparing parity: 1-3 full-term pregnancies vs nulliparous.

Author=first author; Year=year of publication; Estimate_type=type of risk estimate provided, which can be a relative risk (RR), odds ratio (OR), hazard ratio (HR); Estimate=reported adjusted estimate (i.e. relative risk, odds ratio or hazard ratio); Weight=value assigned by random-effects analysis using the inverse of the study variance (variance includes withinstudy variance plus the between-study variance); Overall=relative risk estimate combining relative risks, odds ratios and hazard ratios; l-squared=measure of heterogeneity; $p$-value=pvalue for heterogeneity, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$ considered significant.

FTPs=full-term pregnancies.

Weight


Supplementary Figure S12: Forest plot of the included publications publishing adjusted estimates on the risk of developing contralateral breast cancer in populationbased cohorts comparing parity: $\geq 4$ full-term pregnancies vs nulliparous.

Author=first author; Year=year of publication; Estimate_type=type of risk estimate provided, which can be a relative risk (RR), odds ratio (OR), hazard ratio (HR); Estimate=reported adjusted estimate (i.e. relative risk, odds ratio or hazard ratio); Weight=value assigned by random-effects analysis using the inverse of the study variance (variance includes withinstudy variance plus the between-study variance); Overall=relative risk estimate combining relative risks, odds ratios and hazard ratios; l-squared=measure of heterogeneity; p-value=pvalue for heterogeneity, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$ considered significant.

FTPs=full-term pregnancies.


## Supplementary Figure S13: Forest plot of the included publications publishing

 adjusted estimates on the risk of developing contralateral breast cancer in populationbased cohorts comparing parity: $\geq 2$ full-term pregnancies vs 1 full-term pregnancy.Author=first author; Year=year of publication; Estimate_type=type of risk estimate provided, which can be a relative risk (RR), odds ratio (OR), hazard ratio (HR); Estimate=reported adjusted estimate (i.e. relative risk, odds ratio or hazard ratio); Weight=value assigned by random-effects analysis using the inverse of the study variance (variance includes withinstudy variance plus the between-study variance); Overall=relative risk estimate combining relative risks, odds ratios and hazard ratios; l-squared=measure of heterogeneity; p-value=pvalue for heterogeneity, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$ considered significant.

FTPs= full-term pregnancies
Author Year Estimate_type Estimate (95\% CI) Weight


Supplementary Figure S14: Forest plot of the included publications publishing adjusted estimates on the risk of developing contralateral breast cancer in populationbased cohorts comparing breastfeeding: ever vs never.

Author=first author; Year=year of publication; Estimate_type=type of risk estimate provided, which can be a relative risk (RR), odds ratio (OR), hazard ratio (HR); Estimate=reported adjusted estimate (i.e. relative risk, odds ratio or hazard ratio); Weight=value assigned by random-effects analysis using the inverse of the study variance (variance includes withinstudy variance plus the between-study variance); Overall=relative risk estimate combining relative risks, odds ratios and hazard ratios; l-squared=measure of heterogeneity; $p$-value=pvalue for heterogeneity, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$ considered significant.


Supplementary Figure S15: Forest plot of the included publications publishing adjusted estimates on the risk of developing contralateral breast cancer in populationbased cohorts comparing postmenopausal women with premenopausal women. Author=first author; Year=year of publication; Estimate_type=type of risk estimate provided, which can be a relative risk (RR), odds ratio (OR), hazard ratio (HR); Estimate=reported adjusted estimate (i.e. relative risk, odds ratio or hazard ratio); Weight=value assigned by random-effects analysis using the inverse of the study variance (variance includes withinstudy variance plus the between-study variance); Overall=relative risk estimate combining relative risks, odds ratios and hazard ratios; l-squared=measure of heterogeneity; p-value=pvalue for heterogeneity, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$ considered significant.


Supplementary Figure S16: Forest plot of the included publications publishing adjusted estimates on the risk of developing contralateral breast cancer in populationbased cohorts comparing age at menopause (years): $<45$ vs $\geq 45$.

Author=first author; Year=year of publication; Estimate_type=type of risk estimate provided, which can be a relative risk (RR), odds ratio (OR), hazard ratio (HR); Estimate=reported adjusted estimate (i.e. relative risk, odds ratio or hazard ratio); Weight=value assigned by random-effects analysis using the inverse of the study variance (variance includes withinstudy variance plus the between-study variance); Overall=relative risk estimate combining relative risks, odds ratios and hazard ratios; l-squared=measure of heterogeneity; $p$-value=pvalue for heterogeneity, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$ considered significant.

