

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL FOR:

APOE ε4 carriage associates with improved myocardial performance from adolescence to older age

Constantin-Cristian Topriceanu^{1,2,3}, Mit Shah^{4,5}, Matthew Webber^{1,2}, Fiona Chan^{1,2}, Hunain Shiwani^{2,3}, Marcus Richards¹, Jonathan Schott^{1,6}, Nishi Chaturvedi^{1,2}, James C Moon^{2,3}, Alun D. Hughes^{1,2}, Aroon D Hingorani^{2,7,8}, Declan P. O'Regan^{4,5}, Gabriella Captur^{1,2,3,9}

Author Affiliations:

1. UCL MRC Unit for Lifelong Health and Ageing, University College London, London, UK
 2. UCL Institute of Cardiovascular Science, University College London, London, UK
 3. Cardiac MRI Unit, Barts Heart Centre, London, UK
 4. National Heart and Lung Institute, Imperial Centre for Translational and Experimental Medicine, Imperial College London, London, UK
 5. MRC London Institute of Medical Sciences, Imperial College London, London, UK
 6. Dementia Research Centre, UCL Queen Square Institute of Neurology, London, UK
 7. BHF Research Accelerator, University College London, London, UK
 8. Health Data Research, University College London, UK
 9. The Royal Free Hospital, Centre for Inherited Heart Muscle Conditions, Cardiology Department, London, UK
-

Corresponding author:

Gabriella Captur

Consultant Cardiologist in Inherited Heart Muscle Conditions, Senior Clinical Lecturer

Institute of Cardiovascular Science, University College London, Gower Street, London WC1E 6BT, UK

E-mail: gabriella.captur@ucl.ac.uk, Phone No: +44 2074600595

Supplementary Table S1. Associations between *APOE* ε4 genotypes and echocardiographic data by comparing non-*APOE* ε4 ($\epsilon 2\epsilon 2$, $\epsilon 2\epsilon 3$, $\epsilon 2\epsilon 3$) with any *APOE* ε4 ($\epsilon 2\epsilon 4$, $\epsilon 3\epsilon 4$ and $\epsilon 4\epsilon 4$) genotypes in NSHD.

	<i>APOE ε4</i> carriers	1315	1.01 (0.99, 1.04)	0.207	1.01 (0.99, 1.03)	0.537	1.01 (0.99, 1.03)	0.226	1.02 (0.99, 1.04)	0.152	1.02 (1.00, 1.05)	0.053	1.01 (0.99, 1.04)	0.231	1.01 (0.99, 1.04)	0.190
LVPWT_d	No <i>APOE ε4</i>	ref	ref	ref	ref	ref	ref	ref	ref	ref	ref	ref	ref	ref	ref	ref
	<i>APOE ε4</i> carriers	1325	1.02 (1.00, 1.05)	0.076	1.01 (0.99, 1.04)	0.199	1.02 (1.00, 1.05)	0.075	1.02 (0.99, 1.05)	0.125	1.02 (0.99, 1.05)	0.123	1.02 (0.99, 1.05)	0.339	1.02 (1.00, 1.05)	0.066
IVS_s	No <i>APOE ε4</i>	ref	ref	ref	ref	ref	ref	ref	ref	ref	ref	ref	ref	ref	ref	ref
	<i>APOE ε4</i> carriers	1317	1.00 (0.98, 1.02)	0.952	0.99 (0.97, 1.01)	0.394	1.00 (0.98, 1.02)	0.877	1.01 (0.98, 1.03)	0.524	1.00 (0.98, 1.03)	0.842	0.99 (0.97, 1.01)	0.432	1.00 (0.98, 1.02)	0.935
IVS_d	No <i>APOE ε4</i>	ref	ref	ref	ref	ref	ref	ref	ref	ref	ref	ref	ref	ref	ref	ref
	<i>APOE ε4</i> carriers	1327	1.01 (0.98, 1.04)	0.442	1.00 (0.98, 1.03)	0.834	1.01 (0.98, 1.04)	0.491	1.01 (0.98, 1.05)	0.356	1.02 (0.99, 1.05)	0.239	1.00 (0.97, 1.03)	0.867	1.01 (0.98, 1.04)	0.411

All reported analyses here consisted of generalized linear models with gamma distribution and log link. Significant *p*-values are highlighted in bold.

Model 2 was not adjusted for age in NSHD as all participants are age-matched.

APOE ε4 = apolipoprotein ε4; β = regression coefficient; BMI = body mass index; CI = confidence interval; CVD = cardiovascular disease; EF = ejection fraction; exp = exponentiated; HT = hypertension; IVSS_{s/d} = interventricular septal thickness in systole/diastole; LVmass = left ventricular mass, LVPWT_{s/d} = left ventricular posterior wall thickness in systole/diastole; M = model; MCF = myocardial contraction fraction; NSHD = National Survey of Health and Development; PDSR = peak diastolic strain rate; ref = reference.

Supplementary Table S2. Associations between *APOE* ε4 genotypes and echocardiographic data at 60-64 years by comparing non-*APOE* ε4 (*ε2ε2*, *ε2ε3*, *ε2ε3*) with any *APOE* ε4 (*ε2ε4*, *ε3ε4* and *ε4ε4*) genotypes in SABRE.

s		M1 (unadjusted)			M2 (adjusted for age, sex and SEP)		M3 (M2 + BMI)		M4 (M2 + CVD)		M5 (M2 + diabetes)		M6 (M2 + high cholesterol)		M7 (M2 + HT)	
Outcome	<i>APOE</i> ε4 status	n	Exp β (95% CI)	p- value	Exp β (95% CI)	p- value	Exp β (95% CI)	p- value	Exp β (95% CI)	p- value	Exp β (95% CI)	p- value	Exp β (95% CI)	p- value	Exp β (95% CI)	p- value
EF	No <i>APOE</i> ε4	ref	ref	ref	ref	ref	ref	ref	ref	ref	ref	ref	ref	ref	ref	ref
	<i>APOE</i> ε4 carriers	1160	1.02 (1.00, 1.04)	0.134	1.02 (1.00, 1.04)	0.153	1.02 (1.00, 1.04)	0.144	1.01 (0.99, 1.03)	0.228	1.01 (0.99, 1.03)	0.228	1.02 (1.00, 1.05)	0.109	1.02 (1.00, 1.04)	0.148
E/e'	No <i>APOE</i> ε4	ref	ref	ref	ref	ref	ref	ref	ref	ref	ref	ref	ref	ref	ref	ref
	<i>APOE</i> ε4 carriers	1148	1.00 (0.96, 1.04)	0.921	1.00 (0.95, 1.04)	0.894	1.01 (1.00, 1.01)	0.984	1.00 (0.96, 1.04)	0.999	1.01 (0.97, 1.06)	0.651	0.99 (0.93, 1.05)	0.679	1.03 (1.01, 1.06)	0.968
LVmass	No <i>APOE</i> ε4	ref	ref	ref	ref	ref	ref	ref	ref	ref	ref	ref	ref	ref	ref	ref
	<i>APOE</i> ε4 carriers	1161	1.00 (0.97, 1.04)	0.918	1.01 (0.97, 1.04)	0.752	1.01 (0.97, 1.04)	0.710	1.00 (0.97, 1.04)	0.829	1.01 (0.97, 1.05)	0.656	1.01 (0.96, 1.06)	0.657	1.00 (0.97, 1.04)	0.846
MCF	No <i>APOE</i> ε4	ref	ref	ref	ref	ref	ref	ref	ref	ref	ref	ref	ref	ref	ref	ref
	<i>APOE</i> ε4 carriers	1158	1.03 (1.00, 1.06)	0.102	1.03 (1.00, 1.07)	0.0961	1.03 (1.00, 1.07)	0.084	1.02 (0.99, 1.06)	0.161	1.02 (0.99, 1.06)	0.243	1.03 (0.99, 1.07)	0.211	1.03 (0.99, 1.06)	0.141
LVPWT_s	No <i>APOE</i> ε4	ref	ref	ref	ref	ref	ref	ref	ref	ref	ref	ref	ref	ref	ref	ref
	<i>APOE</i> ε4 carriers	1161	0.98 (0.96, 1.00)	0.058	0.98 (0.96, 1.00)	0.067	0.98 (0.97, 1.00)	0.0571	0.98 (0.96, 1.00)	0.046	0.98 (0.97, 1.00)	0.104	0.99 (0.96, 1.01)	0.274	0.98 (0.96, 1.00)	0.065

LVPWT_d	No <i>APOE ε4</i>	ref	ref	ref	ref	ref	ref	ref	ref	ref	ref	ref	ref	ref	ref	ref
	<i>APOE ε4</i> carriers	1163	0.99 (0.97, 1.01)	0.268	0.99 (0.97, 1.01)	0.308	0.99 (0.97, 1.01)	0.314	0.99 (0.97, 1.01)	0.289	0.99 (0.97, 1.01)	0.454	0.98 (0.95, 1.01)	0.581	0.99 (0.97, 1.01)	0.293
IVS_s	No <i>APOE ε4</i>	ref	ref	ref	ref	ref	ref	ref	ref	ref	ref	ref	ref	ref	ref	ref
	<i>APOE ε4</i> carriers	1161	1.00 (0.98, 1.02)	0.829	1.00 (0.98, 1.02)	0.885	1.00 (0.98, 1.02)	0.934	1.00 (0.98, 1.02)	0.836	1.00 (0.98, 1.02)	0.983	1.00 (0.98, 1.03)	0.946	1.00 (0.98, 1.02)	0.948
IVS_d	No <i>APOE ε4</i>	ref	ref	ref	ref	ref	ref	ref	ref	ref	ref	ref	ref	ref	ref	ref
	<i>APOE ε4</i> carriers	1163	1.00 (0.97, 1.02)	0.782	1.00 (0.97, 1.02)	0.822	1.00 (0.98, 1.02)	0.856	1.00 (0.98, 1.02)	0.899	1.00 (0.98, 1.03)	0.862	1.00 (0.97, 1.04)	0.788	1.00 (0.98, 1.02)	0.986

All reported analyses here consisted of generalized linear models with gamma distribution and log link. Significant *p*-values are highlighted in bold.

SABRE, Southall and Brent Revised. Other abbreviations as in **Supplementary Table S1**.

Supplementary Table S3. Dose response of *APOE ε4* carriage when assessing the association between *APOE ε4* genotype and echocardiographic data in NSHD.

			M1 (unadjusted)			M2 (adjusted for age, sex and SEP)		M3 (M2 + BMI)		M4 (M2 + CVD)		M5 (M2 + diabetes)		M6 (M2 + high cholesterol)		M7 (M2 + HT)	
Outcome	Cohort	Analysis	n	Exp β (95% CI)	p- value	Exp β (95% CI)	p- value	Exp β (95% CI)	p- value	Exp β (95% CI)	p- value	Exp β (95% CI)	p- value	Exp β (95% CI)	p- value	Exp β (95% CI)	p-value
MCF	SABRE	<i>APOE ε4-</i> <i>linear</i>	158	1.00 (0.94, 1.08)	0.901	1.01 (0.94, 1.08)	0.878	1.01 (0.94, 1.08)	0.779	1.00 (0.94, 1.07)	0.974	1.00 (0.94, 1.07)	0.984	1.01 (0.93, 1.10)	0.873	1.00 (0.94, 1.07)	0.985
	NSHD	<i>APOE ε4-</i> <i>linear</i>	916	1.05 (0.95, 1.17)	0.354	1.05 (0.95, 1.17)	0.340	1.06 (0.96, 1.18)	0.230	1.04 (0.93, 1.17)	0.525	1.02 (0.91, 1.14)	0.775	1.02 (0.91, 1.14)	0.802	1.04 (0.94, 1.16)	0.452
	SABRE +NSHD	Meta- analysis (linear)	2074	1.02 (0.96, 1.08)	0.544	1.02 (0.96, 1.08)	0.516	1.03 (0.97, 1.09)	0.906	1.01 (0.95, 1.07)	0.729	1.00 (0.95, 1.07)	0.870	1.01 (0.94, 1.08)	0.780	1.01 (0.96, 1.07)	0.670
	SABRE	<i>APOE ε4 -</i> <i>quadratic</i>	1158	0.98 (0.93, 1.03)	0.350	0.98 (0.93, 1.03)	0.356	0.98 (0.94, 1.03)	0.404	0.98 (0.94, 1.03)	0.381	0.98 (0.94, 1.03)	0.460	0.98 (0.93, 1.04)	0.499	0.98 (0.93, 1.03)	0.350
	NSHD	<i>APOE ε4 -</i> <i>quadratic</i>	916	0.99 (0.92, 1.06)	0.713	0.98 (0.92, 1.06)	0.664	1.00 (0.93, 1.07)	0.980	0.98 (0.91, 1.07)	0.660	0.96 (0.89, 1.04)	0.315	0.95 (0.88, 1.03)	0.171	0.99 (0.92, 1.06)	0.676
	SABRE +NSHD	Meta- analysis (quadratic)	2074	0.98 (0.93, 1.03)	0.475	0.98 (0.93, 1.03)	0.451	0.99 (0.94, 1.04)	0.675	0.98 (0.94, 1.02)	0.327	0.98 (0.94, 1.02)	0.251	0.97 (0.92, 1.01)	0.174	0.98 (0.94, 1.02)	0.312

The *APOE ε4* genotypes were coded as an ordered category based on the number of *ε4* possessed. Thus, level 0 encompassed *ε2ε2*, *ε2ε3*, *ε2ε3*; level 1 *ε2ε4* and *ε3 ε4*; and level 2 *ε4ε4*. Given the existence of three levels, generalized linear models with gamma distribution and orthogonal polynomial contrasts with 2 equally spaced levels (i.e., linear and quadratic) were employed to look for a dose response by *ε4* variants.

Model 2 was not adjusted for age in NSHD as all participants are age-matched.

Abbreviations as in **Supplementary Tables S1/S2**.

Supplementary Table S4. Associations between *APOE ε4* genotypes and echocardiographic data at 60-64 years by comparing non-*APOE ε4* (*ε2ε2*, *ε2ε3*, *ε2ε3*) with heterozygous-*APOE ε4* (*ε2ε4* and *ε3ε4*) and homozygous-*APOE ε4* (*ε4ε4*) genotypes.

			M1 (unadjusted)			M2 (adjusted for age, sex and SEP)		M3 (M2 + BMI)		M4 (M2 + CVD)		M5 (M2 + diabetes)		M6 (M2 + high cholesterol)		M7 (M2 + HT)	
Outcome	Cohort	Analysis	n	Exp β (95% CI)	p- value	Exp β (95% CI)	p- value	Exp β (95% CI)	p- value	Exp β (95% CI)	p- value	Exp β (95% CI)	p- value	Exp β (95% CI)	p- value	Exp β (95% CI)	p- value
MCF	SABRE	Heterozygous- <i>APOE ε4</i>	1129	1.03 (1.00, 1.07)	0.089	1.03 (1.00, 1.07)	0.086	1.03 (1.00, 1.07)	0.079	1.03 (0.99, 1.06)	0.139	1.02 (0.99, 1.06)	0.215	1.03 (0.99, 1.08)	0.201	1.03 (0.99, 1.07)	0.121
	NSHD	Heterozygous- <i>APOE ε4</i>	890	1.05 (1.00, 1.12)	0.070	1.06 (1.00, 1.12)	0.053	1.05 (0.99, 1.11)	0.104	1.05 (0.99, 1.12)	0.129	1.06 (1.00, 1.13)	0.049	1.08 (1.02, 1.15)	0.015	1.05 (0.99, 1.11)	0.098
	Both	Meta-analysis	2019	1.04 (1.01, 1.07)	0.016	1.04 (1.01, 1.07)	0.013	1.04 (1.01, 1.07)	0.018	1.03 (1.00, 1.06)	0.043	1.03 (1.00, 1.07)	0.060	1.05 (1.00, 1.10)	0.040	1.03 (1.00, 1.06)	0.028
	SABRE	Homozygous- <i>APOE ε4</i>	865	1.01 (0.92, 1.11)	0.901	1.01 (0.92, 1.11)	0.882	1.02 (0.92, 1.12)	0.746	1.00 (0.91, 1.11)	0.971	1.00 (0.91, 1.10)	0.980	1.01 (0.90, 1.14)	0.883	1.00 (0.91, 1.11)	0.967
	NSHD	Homozygous- <i>APOE ε4</i>	674	1.07 (0.93, 1.25)	0.353	1.08 (0.93, 1.25)	0.336	1.09 (0.94, 1.26)	0.234	1.06 (0.90, 1.26)	0.485	1.02 (0.87, 1.21)	0.786	1.02 (0.87, 1.20)	0.842	1.06 (0.92, 1.23)	0.444
	Both	Meta-analysis	1539	1.03 (0.95, 1.11)	0.544	1.03 (0.95, 1.11)	0.517	1.04 (0.96, 1.13)	0.350	1.02 (0.92, 1.10)	0.704	1.01 (0.93, 1.09)	0.874	1.01 (0.92, 1.11)	0.812	1.02 (0.94, 1.10)	0.652

All reported analyses here consisted of generalized linear models with gamma distribution and log link. Significant p-values are highlighted in bold.

Model 2 was not adjusted for age in NSHD as all participants are age-matched.

Abbreviations as in **Supplementary Tables S1/S2**.

Supplementary Table S5. Associations between *APOE* $\varepsilon 4$ genotypes and stroke volume data by comparing non-*APOE* $\varepsilon 4$ ($\varepsilon 2\varepsilon 2$, $\varepsilon 2\varepsilon 3$, $\varepsilon 2\varepsilon 3$) with any *APOE* $\varepsilon 4$ ($\varepsilon 2\varepsilon 4$, $\varepsilon 3\varepsilon 4$ and $\varepsilon 4\varepsilon 4$) genotypes in all cohorts.

Outcome: Stroke Volume		M1 (unadjusted)			M2 (adjusted for age, sex and SEP)		M3 (M2 + BMI)		M4 (M2 + CVD)		M5 (M2 + diabetes)		M6 (M2 + high cholesterol)		M7 (M2 + HT)	
Cohort	<i>APOE</i> $\varepsilon 4$ status	n	Exp β (95% CI)	p-value	Exp β (95% CI)	p-value	Exp β (95% CI)	p-value	Exp β (95% CI)	p-value	Exp β (95% CI)	p-value	Exp β (95% CI)	p-value	Exp β (95% CI)	p-value
ALSPAC	No <i>APOE</i> $\varepsilon 4$	ref	ref	ref	ref	ref	ref	ref	ref	ref	ref	ref	ref	ref	ref	ref
	<i>APOE</i> $\varepsilon 4$ carriers	1333	0.99 (0.95, 1.04)	0.756	1.00 (0.95, 1.05)	0.936	0.99 (0.95, 1.03)	0.613	0.99 (0.95, 1.04)	0.747	0.99 (0.95, 1.04)	0.953	0.98 (0.93, 1.03)	0.442	0.99 (0.94, 1.04)	0.616
NSHD	No <i>APOE</i> $\varepsilon 4$	ref	ref	ref	ref	ref	ref	ref	ref	ref	ref	ref	ref	ref	ref	ref
	<i>APOE</i> $\varepsilon 4$ carriers	1229	1.08 (1.03, 1.12)	0.001	1.06 (1.02, 1.10)	0.006	1.08 (1.03, 1.12)	0.001	1.07 (1.02, 1.12)	0.007	1.08 (1.04, 1.13)	0.000 6	1.07 (1.02, 1.12)	0.008	1.08 (1.03, 1.12)	0.001
SABRE	No <i>APOE</i> $\varepsilon 4$	ref	ref	ref	ref	ref	ref	ref	ref	ref	ref	ref	ref	ref	ref	ref
	<i>APOE</i> $\varepsilon 4$ carriers	1160	1.02 (0.99, 1.05)	0.211	1.02 (0.99, 1.05)	0.148	1.02 (1.00, 1.05)	0.090	1.02 (0.99, 1.05)	0.248	1.02 (0.99, 1.05)	0.306	1.02 (0.99, 1.06)	0.228	1.02 (0.99, 1.05)	0.233
UK Biobank	No <i>APOE</i> $\varepsilon 4$	ref	ref	ref	ref	ref	ref	ref	ref	ref	ref	ref	ref	ref	ref	ref
	<i>APOE</i> $\varepsilon 4$ carriers	32644	1.00 (1.00, 1.01)	0.220	1.00 (1.00, 1.01)	0.068	1.01 (1.00, 1.01)	0.060	1.00 (1.00, 1.01)	0.275	1.00 (1.00, 1.01)	0.230	1.00 (1.00, 1.01)	0.233	1.00 (1.00, 1.01)	0.185

All reported analyses here consisted of generalized linear models with gamma distribution and log link. Significant p-values are highlighted in bold.

Model 2 was not adjusted for age in NSHD as all participants are age-matched.

Abbreviations as in **Supplementary Tables S1/S2**.