

Table 2: Teamwork self-assessment tool: TeamMonitor (modified Mayo High Performance Teamwork Scale)

Use the following scale to rate the team on each dimension: 0 = never/rarely 1 = inconsistently 2 = consistently na = not applicable					
1	Do you feel that the leader was recognized by all team members ?	0	1	2	na
2	Do you think the leader assured maintenance of an appropriate balance between command authority and team member participation ?	0	1	2	na
3	Do you feel that each team member demonstrated clear understanding of his/her role ?	0	1	2	na
4	Do you think the team prompted each other to attend to all significant clinical indicators throughout the scenario ?	0	1	2	na
5	Do you think team members verbalized their activities loud when they were actively involved with the patient ?	0	1	2	na
6	Do you feel that team members repeated back or paraphrased instructions and clarifications to indicate that they heard them correctly ?	0	1	2	na
7	Do you feel that disagreement of conflicts among team members were adressed without a loss of situation awareness ?	0	1	2	na
8	Do you think roles were shifted to adress urgent or emergent events when appropriate ?	0	1	2	na
9	Do you think team members responded to potential errors or complications with procedures that avoided the error or complication ?	0	1	2	na

Comments:

Please rate conservatively: Most teams that have not worked extensively together do not consistently demonstrate many of the qualities.