

EFFECTIVE PUBLIC HEALTH PRACTICE PROJECT (EPHPP)

University	美術
School of N	Nursing

Ref ID:
Author:
Year:
Reviewer:

QUALITY ASSESSMENT TOOL FOR QUANTITATIVE STUDIES

COMPONENT RATINGS

A) **SELECTION BIAS**

- Are the individuals selected to participate in the study likely to be representative of the target population?
 - 1 Very likely
 - 2 Somewhat likely
 - 3 Not likely
 - 4 Can't tell
- What percentage of selected individuals agreed to participate?
 - 1 80 100% agreement
 - 2 60 79% agreement
 - 3 less than 60% agreement
 - 4 Not applicable
 - 5 Can't tell

RATE THIS SECTION	STRONG	MODERATE	WEAK
See dictionary	1	2	3

STUDY DESIGN B)

Indicate the study design

- 1 Randomized controlled trial
- 2 Controlled clinical trial
- 3 Cohort analytic (two group pre + post)
- 4 Case-control
- 5 Cohort (one group pre + post (before and after))
- Interrupted time series
- Other specify _
- 8 Can't tell

Was the study described as randomized? If NO, go to Component C.

If Yes, was the method of randomization described? (See dictionary)

Yes

If Yes, was the method appropriate? (See dictionary)

No Yes

RATE THIS SECTION	STRONG	MODERATE	WEAK
See dictionary	1	2	3

C) CONFOUNDERS

- (Q1) Were there important differences between groups prior to the intervention?
 - 1 Yes
 - 2 No
 - 3 Can't tell

The following are examples of confounders:

- 1 Race
- 2 Sex
- 3 Marital status/family
- 4 Age
- 5 SES (income or class)
- 6 Education
- 7 Health status
- 8 Pre-intervention score on outcome measure
- (Q2) If yes, indicate the percentage of relevant confounders that were controlled (either in the design (e.g. stratification, matching) or analysis)?
 - 1 80 100%
 - 2 60 79%
 - 3 Less than 60%
 - 4 Can't Tell

RATE THIS SECTION	STRONG	MODERATE	WEAK
See dictionary	1	2	3

D) BLINDING

- (Q1) Was (were) the outcome assessor(s) aware of the intervention or exposure status of participants?
 - 1 Yes
 - 2 No
 - 3 Can't tell
- (Q2) Were the study participants aware of the research question?
 - 1 Yes
 - 2 No
 - 3 Can't tell

RATE THIS SECTION	STRONG	MODERATE	WEAK
See dictionary	1	2	3

E) DATA COLLECTION METHODS

- (Q1) Were data collection tools shown to be valid?
 - 1 Yes
 - 2 No
 - 3 Can't tell
- (Q2) Were data collection tools shown to be reliable?
 - 1 Yes
 - 2 No
 - 3 Can't tell

RATE THIS SECTION	STRONG	MODERATE	WEAK
See dictionary	1	2	3

F) WITHDRAWALS AND DROP-OU	F)	WITHDI	RAWALS	AND	DROP-	OUTS
----------------------------	----	--------	--------	-----	-------	------

Q1) Were withdrawals and drop-	-outs reported in terms of	f numbers and/or reasons po	er aroup?

- 1 Yes
- 2 No
- 3 Can't tell

(Q2) Indicate the percentage of participants completing the study. (If the percentage differs by groups, record the lowest).

- 1 80 -100%
- 2 60 79%
- 3 less than 60%
- 4 Can't tell

RATE TH	S SECTION	STRONG	MODERATE	WEAK
See diction	ary	1	2	3

G) INTERVENTION INTEGRITY

- (Q1) What percentage of participants received the allocated intervention or exposure of interest?
 - 1 80 -100%
 - 2 60 79%
 - 3 less than 60%
 - 4 Can't tell
- (Q2) Was the consistency of the intervention measured?
 - 1 Yes
 - 2 No
 - 3 Can't tell
- (Q3) Is it likely that subjects received an unintended intervention (contamination or co-intervention) that may influence the results?
 - 4 Yes
 - 5 No
 - 6 Can't tell

H) ANALYSES

(Q1) Indicate the unit of allocation (circle one)

community organization/institution practice/office individual

(Q2) Indicate the unit of analysis (circle one)

community organization/institution practice/office individual

- (Q3) Are the statistical methods appropriate for the study design?
 - 1 Yes
 - 2 No
 - 3 Can't tell
- (Q4) Is the analysis performed by intervention allocation status (i.e. intention to treat) rather than the actual intervention received?
 - 1 Yes
 - 2 No
 - 3 Can't tell

GLOBAL RATING

COMPONENT RATINGS

Please transcribe the information from the gray boxes on pages 1-4 onto this page.

Α	SELECTION BIAS	RATE THIS SECTION See dictionary	STRONG 1	MODERATE 2	WEAK 3
В	STUDY DESIGN	RATE THIS SECTION See dictionary	STRONG 1	MODERATE 2	WEAK 3
C	CONFOUNDERS	RATE THIS SECTION See dictionary	STRONG 1	MODERATE 2	WEAK 3
D	BLINDING	RATE THIS SECTION See dictionary	STRONG 1	MODERATE 2	WEAK 3
E	DATA COLLECTION METHODS	RATE THIS SECTION See dictionary	STRONG 1	MODERATE 2	WEAK 3
F	WITHDRAWALS AND DROPOUTS	RATE THIS SECTION See dictionary	STRONG 1	MODERATE 2	WEAK 3

GLOBAL RATING FOR THIS PAPER (circle one):

1 STRONG (four STRONG ratings with no WEAK ratings)
2 MODERATE (less than four STRONG ratings and one WEAK rating)
3 WEAK (two or more WEAK ratings)

With both reviewers discussing the ratings:

Is there a discrepancy between the two reviewers with respect to the component (A-F) ratings?

No Yes

If yes, indicate the reason for the discrepancy

- 1 Oversight
- 2 Differences in interpretation of criteria
- 3 Differences in interpretation of study

Final decision of both reviewers (circle one):

1 STRONG 2 MODERATE

3 WEAK