Additional File 1

Consolidated criteria for reporting qualitative studies (COREQ): 32-item checklist

Adapted from:

Tong A, Sainsbury P, Craig J. Consolidated criteria for reporting qualitative research (COREQ): a 32-item checklist for interviews and focus groups. *International Journal for Quality in Health Care*. 2007. Volume 19, Number 6: pp. 349 – 357

No. Item	Guide questions/description	Comment
Domain 1: Research team and reflexivity		
Personal Characteristics		
1. Inter viewer/facilitator	Which author/s conducted the interview or focus group?	Reported in manuscript
2. Credentials	What were the researcher's credentials? E.g. PhD, MD	Reported in manuscript
3. Occupation	What was their occupation at the time of the study?	Reported in manuscript
4. Gender	Was the researcher male or female?	Reported in manuscript
5. Experience and training	What experience or training did the researcher have?	Completed a short course on qualitative research and experience in several previous qualitative studies
Relationship with participants		
6. Relationship established	Was a relationship established prior to study commencement?	Reported in manuscript
7. Participant knowledge of the interviewer	What did the participants know about the researcher? e.g. personal goals, reasons for doing the research	Participants knew that interviewer was undertaking the interviews for the study and who the lead investigators were.
8. Interviewer characteristics	What characteristics were reported about the inter viewer/facilitator? e.g. Bias, assumptions, reasons and interests in the research topic	Reported in manuscript (Interviewer was not involved in development of the model)
Domain 2: study design		
Theoretical framework		
9. Methodological orientation and Theory	What methodological orientation was stated to underpin the study? e.g. grounded theory, discourse analysis, ethnography, phenomenology, content analysis	Data analysis was underpinned by a constructionist theoretical

EGERTON et al. General practitioners' perspectives on a proposed new model of service delivery for primary care management of knee osteoarthritis: a qualitative study

		perspective
Participant selection		
10. Sampling	How were participants selected? e.g.	Reported in
	purposive, convenience, consecutive, snowball	manuscript
11. Method of approach	How were participants approached? e.g. face-	Reported in
	to-face, telephone, mail, email	manuscript
12. Sample size	How many participants were in the study?	Reported in
•		manuscript
13. Non-participation	How many people refused to participate or	N/a. Since
	dropped out? Reasons?	participants
		approached us in
		response to
		advertising of study
		through networks,
		non-participation
		cannot be
		determined.
Setting		determined
14. Setting of data collection	Where was the data collected? e.g. home,	Reported in
551 01 data concentral	clinic, workplace	manuscript
15. Presence of non-	Was anyone else present besides the	N/a
participants	participants and researchers?	
16. Description of sample	What are the important characteristics of the	Reported in
	sample? e.g. demographic data, date	manuscript
Data collection		
17. Interview guide	Were questions, prompts, guides provided by	Interview guide
-	the authors? Was it pilot tested?	reported in
	·	manuscript. Not
		pilot tested.
18. Repeat interviews	Were repeat inter views carried out? If yes,	No repeat
·	how many?	interviews because
	·	too much burden
		for participants
19. Audio/visual recording	Did the research use audio or visual recording	Reported in
	to collect the data?	manuscript
20. Field notes	Were field notes made during and/or after the	Reported in
	interview or focus group?	manuscript
21. Duration	What was the duration of the inter views or	Reported in
	focus group?	manuscript
22. Data saturation	Was data saturation discussed?	Reported in
		manuscript
23. Transcripts returned	Were transcripts returned to participants for comment and/or correction?	No return of
•		transcripts because
		too much burden
		for participants
Domain 3: analysis and		, , , , , ,
findings		
Data analysis		
24. Number of data coders	How many data coders coded the data?	Reported in

		manuscript
25. Description of the coding	Did authors provide a description of the coding	Reported in
tree	tree?	manuscript
26. Derivation of themes	Were themes identified in advance or derived	Reported in
	from the data?	manuscript
27. Software	What software, if applicable, was used to	Reported in
	manage the data?	manuscript
28. Participant checking	Did participants provide feedback on the	No checking of
	findings?	findings by any
		participants because
		of burden to
		participants
Reporting		
29. Quotations presented	Were participant quotations presented to	Reported in
	illustrate the themes/findings? Was each	manuscript and
	quotation identified? e.g. participant number	Additional file 1
30. Data and findings	Was there consistency between the data	Original data
consistent	presented and the findings?	provided.
		Consistency
		established by our
		independent GP
31. Clarity of major themes	Were major themes clearly presented in the	Reported in text and
	findings?	table
32. Clarity of minor themes	Is there a description of diverse cases or	Reported in text and
	discussion of minor themes?	table