Table 2: Differences in chronic stress and stress reactivity between the three subgroups

	Descriptive statistics			Analysis of variance	
Scale	Healthy	Non-	Distressed	F	Significance
	control group	Distressed	group		(p)
	Mean	group	Mean		
	(SD)	Mean	(SD)		
	n=691	(SD)	n=717		
		n=449			
TICS: Work overload	13.81	14.28	16.38 ^{+¤}	37.35	< 0.001
	(5.65)	(5.94)	(6.01)		
TICS: Social work	6.63	6.63	7.85 ^{+¤}	19.90	< 0.001
overload	(3.87)	(4.03)	(4.30)		
TICS: Pressure to	15.02	15.43	16.94 ^{+¤}	26.53	< 0.001
succeed	(4.92)	(5.17)	(5.30)		
TICS: Work discontent	10.68	11.43	12.24 +◊	16.08	< 0.001
	(5.16)	(4.94)	(5.32)		
TICS: Overextended at	6.39	6.74	8.20 ^{+¤}	41.68	< 0.001
work	(3.69)	(3.96)	(4.07)		
TICS: Lack of social	4.04	4.36	5.31 ^{+¤}	38.06	< 0.001
recognition	(2.59)	(2.73)	(3.08)		
TICS: Social tension	5.16	5.49	6.49 ^{+¤}	25.28	< 0.001
	(3.65)	(3.31)	(3.77)		
TICS: Social isolation	7.24	7.64	8.55 +◊	12.16	< 0.001
	(4.87)	(4.86)	(5.35)		
TICS: Worries	6.10	6.72 [†]	8.20 ^{+¤}	67.28	< 0.001
	(3.40)	(3.40)	(3.59)		
TICS: screening scale	15.59	16.88 [†]	20.59 ^{+¤}	83.43	< 0.001
for chronic stress	(7.31)	(7.39)	(7.70)		
SRS: Reactivity to	8.36	9.06 [¥]	9.69 ^{+¤}	56.88	< 0.001
work overload	(2.309	(2.40)	(2.32)		

SRS: Reactivity to	12.63	12.98	13.59 ^{+¤}	26.49	< 0.001
social conflicts	(2.55)	(2.40)	(2.51)		
SRS: Reactivity to	9.40	9.93 ^Δ	10.57 ^{+¤}	44.26	< 0.001
social stress	(2.33)	(2.37)	(2.34)		
SRS: Reactivity to	10.42	10.60	11.17 ^{+¤}	28.35	< 0.001
failure	(1.90)	(1.90)	(1.96)		
SRS: Anticipatory	8.35	8.67 [†]	9.14 ^{+¤}	36.07	< 0.001
reactivity	(1.84)	(1.79)	(1.67)		
SRS: Prolonged	6.15	6.39	7.09 ^{+¤}	43.94	< 0.001
reactivity	(1.82)	(1.79)	(2.09)		
SRS: Overall stress	55.31	57.64 [¥]	61.24 ^{+¤}	78.26	< 0.001
reactivity score	(9.04)	(8.83)	(8.94)		

⁺ significant difference between participants with at least one Rome II diagnosis with consideration of subjective distress and healthy participants ($\alpha < 0.001$)

^a significant difference between participants with at least one Rome II diagnosis with consideration of subjective distress and participants with at least one Rome II diagnosis without distress ($\alpha < 0.001$)

 $^{\diamond}$ significant difference between participants with at least one Rome II diagnosis with consideration of subjective distress and participants with at least one Rome II diagnosis without distress ($\alpha < 0.05$) * significant difference between participants with at least one Rome II diagnosis without distress and healthy participants ($\alpha < 0.001$)

^{Δ} significant difference between participants with at least one Rome II diagnosis without distress and healthy participants (α < 0.01)

[†] significant difference between participants with at least one Rome II diagnosis without distress and healthy participants ($\alpha < 0.05$)