I. Sensitivity analyses: Question/Survey familiarity ('habituation') effect in NHR who participated both in pre- and posttest

Sample	Cognitive impairment	Mean (SE, n _{valid})	Mean diff. (SE) [95% CI]	$\eta^{2}(\mathbf{F}), \mathbf{p}$
	No or mild	3.93%		
Dest	(MMSE 18-30)	(0.5, n=289)	7.31% (1.1)	11.7% (47.5)
Post	Moderate	11.24%	[5.22, 9.40]	p<0.001
	(MMSE 10-17)	(0.9, n=75)		
	No or mild	3.93%		
D SENS	(MMSE 18-30)	(0.5, n=289)	7.30% (1.1)	11.6% (47.0)
Post	Moderate	11.23%	[5.20, 9.39]	p<0.001
	(MMSE 10-17)	(0.9, n=75)		
	No or mild	3.98%		
T a 4 a 1	(MMSE 18-30)	(0.4, n=502)	7.67% (0.8)	12.9% (92.4)
Total	Moderate	11.85%	[6.10, 9.24]	p<0.001
	(MMSE 10-17)	(0.7, n=134)		
TT ISENS	No or mild	3.98%		
	(MMSE 18-30)	(0.4, n=502)	7.68% (0.8)	12.9% (92.3)
TOTAL	Moderate	11.65%	[6.11, 9.24]	p<0.001
	(MMSE 10-17)	(0.7, n=134)		

I. a. Adjusted comparisons of item nonresponse rates (ANCOVA)

Notes: SENS=further dummy control included (dependent sample: 'no', 'yes')

I. b. Regression models (enter-model, response: INR)

BLR – Binary logistic regression statistics ^a (<i>factor: MMSE 10-17 vs. 18-30, n=655</i>)					
Response	B (SE)	Wald	OR [CI 95%]	р	
>0% INR	1.02	22.4	2.78 [1.82-4.25]	p<0.001	-
>0% INR ^{SENS}	1.03	22.5	2.79 [1.83-4.27]	p<0.001	
>5% INR	1.34	45.2	3.83 [2.58-5.66]	p<0.001	
>5% INR ^{SENS}	1.36	45.6	3.88 [2.62-5.74]	p<0.001	
>10% INR	1.46	42.6	4.31 [2.78-6.68]	p<0.001	
>10% INR ^{SENS}	1.47	42.6	4.31 [2.78-6.68]	p<0.001	

Kutschar P., Weichbold M. & Osterbrink J. Effect of Age and Cognitive Impairment on Data Quality of Standardized Surveys in Nursing Home Populations: Supplementary Sensitivity Analyses

Factor	B (SE)	β	t	р
Duration	0.00 (0.00)	0.04	1.22	p≈0.233
Duration ^{SENS}	0.00 (0.00)	0.04	1.18	p≈0.237
Age	0.14 (0.04)	0.12	3.22	p≈0.001
Age ^{SENS}	0.14 (0.05)	0.11	3.01	p≈0.003
MMSE	-0.65 (0.06)	-0.39	-10.82	p<0.001
MMSE ^{SENS}	-0.65 (0.06)	-0.39	-10.68	p<0.001
Gender	-0.92 (0.78)	-0.04	-1.17	p≈0.242
Gender ^{SENS}	-0.88 (0.79)	-0.04	-1.12	p≈0.261
Sample	-0.77 (0.66)	-0.02	-0.60	p≈0.244
Sample ^{SENS}	-0.81 (0.66)	-0.05	-1.22	p≈0.222
R ² _{corr}	16.5%	$R^2_{\rm corr}^{\rm SENS}$	16.5%	
F, p	32.79, p<0.001	F, p ^{sens}	21.92, p<0.001	

MLR – Multiple linear regression statistics	(stepwise-model,	response: INI	R, n=637)
---	------------------	---------------	-----------

Notes: SENS=further dummy control included (dependent sample: 'no', 'yes')

I. c. t-tests (i.e. mean differences in l	INR between repeated m	easure 'no'	(independent sample) /
'yes' (dependent sample))			

Samula	Repeated measure	Mean	Mean diff. (SE)	
Sample	(no/yes)	(SE, n _{valid})	[95% CI]	р
	Independent	5.79%		
T = 4 = 1	•	(0.4, n=450)	0.33% (0.8)	0.662
Total	Dependent (repeated)	5.45%	[-1.16, 1.82]	0.003
	Dependent (repeated)	(0.6, n=205)		
	Independent	5.57%		
Posttest		(0.5, n=268)	0.64% (0.9)	0.525
TOSHESI	Dependent (repeated)	4.94%	[-1.33, 2.60]	0.525
		(0.8, n=103)		
MMSE	Independent	4.17%		
MINISE		(0.4, n=210)	0.81% (0.7)	0.232
no/mna,	Dependent (repeated)	3.37%	[-0.52, 2.13]	0.232
rostiest	1 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	(0.5, n=84)		

Kutschar P., Weichbold M. & Osterbrink J. Effect of Age and Cognitive Impairment on Data Quality of Standardized Surveys in Nursing Home Populations: Supplementary Sensitivity Analyses

MMSE	Independent	10.67%	-1.24% (3.9)	0.751	
moderate,		(1.9, n=58)	[-9.02, 6.53]		
Posttest	Dependent (repeated) [#]	11.91%			
	Dependent (repeated)	(3.1, n=19)			

M-W-U 493.00; z=-0.692; p=0.489

Notes: Comparisons of mean INR between independent and dependent samples

I. d. Repetition of manuscripts' main a	inalyses split by repeated measures ('no'/'yes'), summary
INR by MMSE-group (ANCOVA)	
Independent	Mean diff. 7.51%*** (4.12% vs. 11.62%)
Dependent	Mean diff. 8.09%*** (3.67% vs. 11.77%)
BLR (factor MMSE 10-17 vs. 18-30)	
Independent	$OR^{>0\%}=3.26^{***}, OR^{>5\%}=4.19^{***}, OR^{>10\%}=4.41^{***}$
Dependent	$OR^{>0\%}=2.11^{**}, OR^{>5\%}=3.30^{***}, OR^{>10\%}=4.10^{***}$
MLR (stepwise, response: INR)	
Independent	β^{MMSE} =-0.396***, β^{Age} =0.136**
Dependent	β^{MMSE} =-0.364***, β^{Age} =0.160**
*** p<0.001, ** p<0.01	

Notes: summarized findings, not shown in full detail

II. Sensitivity analyses: Cluster sampling – Fixed Effects models eliminating between-cluster variation (NH-dummy adjustment)

II. a. Adjusted comparisons of item nonresponse rates (ANCOVA)

No or mild (MMSE 18-30)	4.03%			
	(0.4, n=502)	7.45% (0.8)	11.9% (83.33)	
Moderate (MMSE 10-17)	11.48%	[5.85, 9.06]	p<0.001	
	(0.7, n=134)			

Notes: Adjustment of between-cluster variance by including n-1 nursing home dummy variables

II. b. Multiple linear regression (MLR)					
Factor	B (SE)	β	t	р	
Duration	0.00 (0.00)	0.03	0.68	0.495	
Age	0.12 (0.05)	0.10	2.48	0.013	

Kutschar P., Weichbold M. & Osterbrink J. Effect of Age and Cognitive Impairment on Data Quality of Standardized Surveys in Nursing Home Populations: Supplementary Sensitivity Analyses

MMSE	-0.66 (0.06)	-0.39	-10.45	< 0.001
Gender	-0.59 (0.79)	-0.03	-0.75	0.456
Sample	-0.52 (0.66)	-0.03	-0.78	0.436
R^2_{corr}	16.3%			
F, p	19.96, p<0.001			

Notes: Adjustment of between-cluster variance by including n-1 nursing home dummy variables

Abbreviations

NHR	Nursing Home Residents
ANCOVA	Analyses of Covariance
SE	Standard Error
95% CI	95% Confidence Interval
n	Sample Size
F	F-value (ANCOVA)
р	p-value
η^2	Eta-square
MMSE	Mini-Mental Status Examination
Post	Posttest
SENS	Sensitivity Analyses
INR	Item nonresponse rate
BLR	Binary Logistic Regression
В	Unstandardized Coefficient
β	Beta (Standardized Coefficient
OR	Odds Ratio
t	t-value (t-test)
R ²	R-square
corr	Corrected (MLR)
MLR	Multiple Linear Regression
M-W-U	Mann-Whitney U-Test
Z	z-value
diff.	Difference (i.e. Mean Difference)