Appendix 1

FOCUS GROUP GUIDE

FOCUS GROUP PARTICIPANT PRESENTATION

1. Can you please tell me your name, age, occupation, and years of experience?

OPINION REGARDING POLYPHARMACY AND MANAGING OF MEDICINES BY OLDER
ADULTS

- 1. In your opinion, how older adults lead with polypharmacy
- 2. What are the main barriers of polymedicated older adults during the managing of their medicines?
- 3. Do you want to share with us any case?
- 4. When older adults go to a specialist appointment, they inform the GP about an eventual new prescription? and the nurse or pharmacist, they inform?
- 5. Do you frequently detect duplication of medicines among your older adult patients? In your opinion what are the causes of duplication errors?
- 6. Can you share with us, what are your main concerns during prescription (GP), dispensing (pharmacists), and use of medicines (nurses)
- 7. Can you share with us, your opinion regarding therapeutic adhesion by older adults?
- 8. In your opinion, older adults understand the instructions regarding the use of medicines? Do they share their doubts and concerns with health professionals?
- 9. In your opinion, older adults accept well the deprescription of medicines?
- 10. Do older adults try to obtain medicines without a medical prescription?

- 11. How often older adults complain about adverse drug reactions?
- 12. How frequently you notified an adverse drug reaction to INFARMED
- 13. In your opinion, older adults are capable of managing their medicines without the help
- 14. What is your opinion regarding communication among health professionals?
- 15. In your opinion what should be done to improve medication adherence among older adults

COREQ (COnsolidated criteria for REporting Qualitative research) Checklist

A checklist of items that should be included in reports of qualitative research. You must report the page number in your manuscript where you consider each of the items listed in this checklist. If you have not included this information, either revise your manuscript accordingly before submitting or note N/A.

Topic	Item No.	Guide Questions/Description	Reported on Page No.
Domain 1: Research team			rage No.
and reflexivity			
Personal characteristics			
Interviewer/facilitator	1	Which author/s conducted the interview or focus group?	
Credentials	2	What were the researcher's credentials? E.g. PhD, MD	
Occupation	3	What was their occupation at the time of the study?	
Gender	4	Was the researcher male or female?	
Experience and training	5	What experience or training did the researcher have?	
Relationship with	I		.
participants			
Relationship established	6	Was a relationship established prior to study commencement?	
Participant knowledge of	7	What did the participants know about the researcher? e.g. personal	
the interviewer		goals, reasons for doing the research	
Interviewer characteristics	8	What characteristics were reported about the inter viewer/facilitator?	
		e.g. Bias, assumptions, reasons and interests in the research topic	
Domain 2: Study design			
Theoretical framework			
Methodological orientation	9	What methodological orientation was stated to underpin the study? e.g.	
and Theory		grounded theory, discourse analysis, ethnography, phenomenology,	
		content analysis	
Participant selection			
Sampling	10	How were participants selected? e.g. purposive, convenience,	
		consecutive, snowball	
Method of approach	11	How were participants approached? e.g. face-to-face, telephone, mail,	
		email	
Sample size	12	How many participants were in the study?	
Non-participation	13	How many people refused to participate or dropped out? Reasons?	
Setting			
Setting of data collection	14	Where was the data collected? e.g. home, clinic, workplace	
Presence of non-	15	Was anyone else present besides the participants and researchers?	
participants			
Description of sample	16	What are the important characteristics of the sample? e.g. demographic	
		data, date	
Data collection			
Interview guide	17	Were questions, prompts, guides provided by the authors? Was it pilot	
		tested?	
Repeat interviews	18	Were repeat inter views carried out? If yes, how many?	
Audio/visual recording	19	Did the research use audio or visual recording to collect the data?	
Field notes	20	Were field notes made during and/or after the inter view or focus group?	
Duration	21	What was the duration of the inter views or focus group?	
Data saturation	22	Was data saturation discussed?	
Transcripts returned	23	Were transcripts returned to participants for comment and/or	

Topic	Item No.	Guide Questions/Description	Reported on
			Page No.
		correction?	
Domain 3: analysis and			
findings			
Data analysis			
Number of data coders	24	How many data coders coded the data?	
Description of the coding	25	Did authors provide a description of the coding tree?	
tree			
Derivation of themes	26	Were themes identified in advance or derived from the data?	
Software	27	What software, if applicable, was used to manage the data?	
Participant checking	28	Did participants provide feedback on the findings?	
Reporting			
Quotations presented	29	Were participant quotations presented to illustrate the themes/findings?	
		Was each quotation identified? e.g. participant number	
Data and findings consistent	30	Was there consistency between the data presented and the findings?	
Clarity of major themes	31	Were major themes clearly presented in the findings?	
Clarity of minor themes	32	Is there a description of diverse cases or discussion of minor themes?	

Developed from: Tong A, Sainsbury P, Craig J. Consolidated criteria for reporting qualitative research (COREQ): a 32-item checklist for interviews and focus groups. *International Journal for Quality in Health Care*. 2007. Volume 19, Number 6: pp. 349 – 357

Once you have completed this checklist, please save a copy and upload it as part of your submission. DO NOT include this checklist as part of the main manuscript document. It must be uploaded as a separate file.