Supplementary Material - Economic results using all direct medical costs collected during the trial

(Biological tests, anti-D immunoglobulin injections, visits and hospital admissions)

## **Incremental cost-effectiveness results**

Intervention	Average cost (€)	Performance * (%)	Δ Cost (€)	Δ Performance <sup>*</sup> (%)	ICER Δ Cost / Δ Performance <sup>*</sup>
	(€)	(%)	(€)	(70)	Δ Cost / Δ Performance
Based on costs related to both RHD status					
(tests, anti-D injections and visits) and hospital stays (for delivery and other admissions during pregnancy)					
Usual care	3 004	64%			
RHD genotyping	3 259	88%	255	24%	1 059

<sup>\*</sup> Performance is defined as the percentage of RHD genative women receiving appropriate management

## **Cost-effectiveness plane**

Scatter plot on the cost-effectiveness plane showing the difference in costs (including all <u>hospital admissions</u>) and performance from GENIFERH1 data using 1,000 bootstrap replicates. The genotyping arm cost of resources per patient was on average €255 more expensive than the control arm. The genotyping arm was more performant with an increase in effectiveness of 24%.



## Cost-effectiveness acceptability curve

Cost-effectiveness acceptability curve showing the probability that foetal RHD genotyping is cost- effective compared to usual care using all direct costs recorded including hospital stays.

