PRISMA-E 2012 Checklist

		Reporting Equity-Focused Systematic Reviews		-
Section	Item	Standard PRISMA Item Extensi	ion for Equity-Focused Reviews	Pg# Section
Title				
Title	1	Identify the report as a systematic review, meta-analysis, or both.	equity as a focus of the review, if relevant, using the term equity	Title
Abstract				
Structured	2	2. Provide a structured summary including, as applicable: background; objectives; State research	earch question(s) related to health equity.	Abstract-Backgro
summary		data sources; study eligibility criteria, participants, and interventions; study appraisal		7 woulder Duong. o
		and synthesis methods; results; limitations; conclusions and implications of key		
		findings; systematic review registration number.		
	2A		results of health equity analyses (e.g. subgroup analyses or meta-regression).	Abstract-Results
	2B	Describe	extent and limits of applicability to disadvantaged populations of interest.	Abstract-Backgroun
troduction				Results, Conclusion
Rationale	3	Describe the rationale for the review in the context of what is already known. Describe	assumptions about mechanism(s) by which the intervention is assumed to	Intro David C
		✓ have an i	impact on health equity.	Intro-Par1+2
	3A	Provide t	the logic model/analytical framework, if done, to show the pathways through	NI/A
		which the	e intervention is assumed to affect health equity and how it was developed.	N/A
Objectives	4	Provide an explicit statement of questions being addressed with reference to Describe	how disadvantage was defined if used as criterion in the review (e.g. for	Justina Daniel A
		participants, interventions, comparisons, outcomes, and study design (PICOS). 🗸 selecting	studies, conducting analyses or judging applicability).	Intro-Par2+4
	4A	State the	research questions being addressed with reference to health equity	Intro-Par4
Methods				
Protocol and	5	Indicate if a review protocol exists, if and where it can be accessed (e.g., Web		
registration		address), and, if available, provide registration information including registration		
		number.		
Eligibility criteria	6	6. Specify study characteristics (e.g., PICOS, length of follow-up) and report Describe	the rationale for including particular study designs related to equity research	Inter David
		characteristics (e.g., years considered, language, publication status) used as criteria questions	S.	Intro-Par3 Methods-Par2, Table1
		for eligibility, giving rationale.		
	6A	Describe	the rationale for including the outcomes - e.g. how these are relevant to	Mothodo Dor
		reducing	inequity.	Methods-Par2
Information	7	Describe all information sources (e.g., databases with dates of coverage, contact Describe	information sources (e.g. health, non-health, and grey literature sources) that	
sources		with study authors to identify additional studies) in the search and date last , were sea	rched that are of specific relevance to address the equity questions of the	Methods-Par3
		searched.		Methous-Pars
Search	8	Present full electronic search strategy for at least one database, including any limits Describe	the broad search strategy and terms used to address equity questions of the	Methods-Par3
		used, such that it could be repeated.		Methous-Pars
Study selection	9	State the process for selecting studies (i.e., screening, eligibility, included in		
		systematic review, and, if applicable, included in the meta-analysis).		
Data collection	10	Describe method of data extraction from reports (e.g., piloted forms, independently,		
process		in duplicate) and any processes for obtaining and confirming data from		
		investigators.		
Data items	11	List and define all variables for which data were sought (e.g., PICOS, funding sources) List and	, ,,	Methods-Par5-8
			SS-Plus or other criteria, context).	Wictious-i aro-o
Risk of bias in	12	Describe methods used for assessing risk of bias of individual studies (including		
individual studies		specification of whether this was done at the study or outcome level), and how this		
		information is to be used in any data synthesis.		
Summary	13	State the principal summary measures (e.g., risk ratio, difference in means).		N/A
measures				14/73
Synthesis of	14		methods of synthesizing findings on health inequities (e.g. presenting both	Methods-Par5-8
results			and absolute differences between groups).	
Risk of bias	15	15. Specify any assessment of risk of bias that may affect the cumulative evidence		N/A

PRISMA-E 2012 Checklist

across studies		(e.g., publication bias, selective reporting within studies).		
Additional	16	Describe methods of additional analyses (e.g., sensitivity or subgroup analyses,	Describe methods of <u>additional</u> synthesis approaches related to equity questions, if	NI/A
analyses		meta-regression), if done, indicating which were pre-specified.	done, indicating which were pre-specified	N/A
Results				
Study selection	17	Give numbers of studies screened, assessed for eligibility, and included in the		
		review, with reasons for exclusions at each stage, ideally with a flow diagram.		
Study	18	For each study, present characteristics for which data were extracted (e.g., study	Present the population characteristics that relate to the equity questions across the	Results-Par3
characteristics		size, PICOS, follow-up period) and provide the citations.	relevant PROGRESS-Plus or other factors of interest.	Supp File 4
Risk of bias	19	Present data on risk of bias of each study and, if available, any outcome level		
within studies		assessment (see item 12).		
Results of	20	For all outcomes considered (benefits or harms), present, for each study: (a) simple		
individual studies		summary data for each intervention group (b) effect estimates and confidence		
		intervals, ideally with a forest plot.		
Synthesis of	21	Present results of each meta-analysis done, including confidence intervals and	Present the results of synthesizing findings on inequities (see 14).	Results-Par4-14,
results		measures of consistency.		Tables 2-4
Risk of bias	22	Present results of any assessment of risk of bias across studies (see Item 15).		N/A
across studies				14// (
Additional	23	Give results of additional analyses, if done (e.g., sensitivity or subgroup analyses,	Give the results of <u>additional</u> synthesis approaches related to equity objectives, if	N/A
analysis		meta-regression [see Item 16]).	done, (see 16).	IN/A
Discussion				
Summary of	24	Summarize the main findings including the strength of evidence for each main		
evidence		outcome; consider their relevance to key groups (e.g., healthcare providers, users,		
		and policy makers).		
Limitations	25	Discuss limitations at study and outcome level (e.g., risk of bias), and at review-level		
		(e.g., incomplete retrieval of identified research, reporting bias).		
Conclusions	26	Provide a general interpretation of the results in the context of other evidence, and	Present extent and limits of applicability to disadvantaged populations of interest and	Conclusion
		implications for future research.	describe the evidence and logic underlying those judgments.	COHCIUSION
	26A		Provide implications for research, practice or policy related to equity where relevant	Conclusion
			(e.g. types of research needed to address unanswered questions).	
Funding				
Funding	27	Describe sources of funding for the systematic review and other support (e.g.,		
		supply of data); role of funders for the systematic review.		<u> </u>

From: Source: Welch V, Petticrew M, Tugwell P, Moher D, O'Neill J, Waters E, White H, and the PRISMA-Equity Bellagio Group. (2012) PRISMA-Equity 2012 Extension: Reporting Guidelines for Systematic Reviews with a Focus on Health Equity. PLoS Med 9(10): e1001333. doi:10.1371/journal.pmed.1001333

For more information: http://equity.cochrane.org/equity-extension-prisma