## **ADDITIONAL FILE 3**

## Table 1. Characteristics of included pharmacokinetic dose adjustment studies

First author, date, country. <i>Study design</i>	Regimen	Algorithm 5-fluorouracil assay	N pharmacokinetic N body surface area	5- fluorouracil related adverse events	Overall response rate (%)	Overall survival median (months) <i>KM</i>	Progression-free survival median (months) <i>KM</i>				
Studies with both pharmacokinetic and body surface area arms											
Gamelin, 2008[35] France. <i>RCT</i>	5-fluorouracil (8h inf) + FA	Gamelin 1996[24] HPLC	104 104	Risk	pharmacokinetic 35/104 (33.6) body surface area 18/104 (17.3)	16 body surface area   22 pharmacokinetic <i>Yes</i>	NR No				
Capitain, 2012[36] France. Retrospective case series + historical control	FOLFOX6	Gamelin 1996[24] HPLC	118 39	Risk	pharmacokinetic 83/118 (69.7) body surface area18/39 (46.6)	22 body surface area   28 pharmacokinetic <i>Yes</i> *	10 body surface area   16 pharmacokinetic <i>Yes</i> *				
Kline, 2014[37] USA. Retrospective with two self- selected groups	FOLFOX6 or FOLFIRI	Unclear My5-FU	19 30	Risk¶	NR	No No	10 body surface area   14 pharmacokinetic <i>Yes</i>				
Studies with only a pha	rmacokinetic arm										
Capitain, 2008[20] France. <i>Case series</i>	5-fluorouracil + FA (5-fluorouracil+LV, & modified de Gramont)	Gamelin 1996[24] HPLC	76	Risk §	25/76 (32.9)	20 Yes	3.28 No				
Gamelin, 1996[24] France <i>Prospective case</i> <i>series (phase II study)</i>	5-fluorouracil (8h inf)	None HPLC	40	Risk	18/40 (45)	14 Yes	Unclear Yes				
Gamelin, 1998[25] France <i>Prospective case</i> <i>series (multicentre phase II)</i>	5-fluorouracil (8h inf)	Gamelin 1996[24] HPLC	152	Counts	66/117 (56.4)	19 Yes	11 Yes				
Boisdron-Celle, 2002[19] France. <i>Prospective case</i> <i>series</i>	5-fluorouracil + FA (+ platin post progression)	Gamelin 1996[24] HPLC	29	Counts §§	7/27 (25.9)	NR No	NR No				
Cattel, 2003[21] Italy. <i>Prospective case series</i>	5-fluorouracil (14 day inf) + platin	None HPLC	13	No	7/13 (53)	9.6 No	7 No				
Duffeur, 2010[22] France. <i>Retrospective</i> database analysis	De Gramont (LV 5- fluorouracil2)	Ychou 2003[33] HPLC	103	Risk	young 15/55 (27) elderly 17/48 (35)	young 18.7 elderly 13.4 No	NR No				
Findlay, 1996[ <u>23]</u> UK. <i>Case series</i>	5-fluorouracil (not specified)	None HPLC	19	Risk §	8/19 (42)	No No	NR No				
Ho, 2011[26] China Prospective case series	5-fluorouracil (48h infusion) + FA	None HPLC	16	Counts	3/16 (18.8)	10.5 No	4.1 No				
Jodrell, 2001[27] UK Prospective case series and simulation study	5-fluorouracil (protracted 1-26 weeks)	None HPLC	61	Risk §	16/61 (26)	11 No	NR No				

First author, date, country. <i>Study design</i>	Regimen	Algorithm 5-fluorouracil assay	N pharmacokinetic N body surface area	5- fluorouracil related adverse events	Overall response rate (%)	Overall survival median (months) <i>KM</i>	Progression-free survival median (months) <i>KM</i>
Kline, 2011[28] USA <i>Case series</i>	FOLFOX6 + Avastatin, FOLFOX6, FOLFIRI, FOLXOX4	Unclear My5-FU	21	NR	NR	NR No	NR No
Metzger, 1994[29] France <i>RCT</i> *	5-fluorouracil (5-day infusion, flat or chronomodulated) + FA + platin	None HPLC	9	Risk §§§	NR	NR No	No No
Milano, 1988[30] France <i>Prospective case</i> <i>series</i>	5-fluorouracil (5-day continuous infusion)	None HPLC	26	Counts ¥	3/26 (12)	NR No	NR No
Stremetzne, 1999[31] Germany <i>RCT</i> *	5-fluorouracil (5-day continuous) + FA	None HPLC	16	Risk §	0/16 (0)	NR No	NR No
Ychou, 1999[32] France Prospective case series	de Gramont (LV55- fluorouracil2)	tested 2 algorithms <i>HPLC</i>	38	Risk & Counts	Unclear	NR No	NR No
Ychou, 2003[33] France. <i>Prospective case</i> <i>series</i>	de Gramont (LV55- fluorouracil2)	Ychou, 1999[32] HPLC	53	Risk ¥¥	19/52 (36.5)	18.6 No	7 No
Yoshida, 1990[34] Japan. Prospective case series	5-fluorouracil	None HPLC	19	Risk§ (Total toxicities only)	10/19 (53)	NR No	NR No

LV = leukovorin = FA = folinic acid; inf = infusion; NR = not reported; HPLC = High Performance Liquid Chromatography. All studies included advanced / metastatic colorectal cancer patients; Kline stratified according stage II/III or stage IV (data applies for stage IV); \* studies randomised patients to two different dose regimens of folinate; ¶ Grade 3 or deemed sufficiently serious by the physician to warrant a dose reduction and "designated as adverse effects"; § time of assessment unclear; §§ reported extensively but irregularities in numbers reported §§§ inconsistent grouping of toxicity grades; ¥ grouping grade I+II & III+IV; ¥¥ grouping Cutaneous and Haematological III+IV, Digestive and mucositis III+IV; HPLC = high performance liquid chromatography