Figure S1


Study
ID
A. PFS1: TAM 5y - TAM 5y versus TAM 5y
ES (95% CI)
%
Weight
E4181/E5181 (1996) 0.62 (0.28, 1.39) 4.59
NSABP–B14 (2001) 1.30 (1.00, 1.70) 19.94
Scottish trial (2001) 1.36 (0.95, 1.95) 14.83
ATLAS (2013) 0.84 (0.76, 0.94) 30.47
aTTom (2013) 0.85 (0.76, 0.95) 30.16
Overall (I–squared = 74.6%, p = 0.003) 0.97 (0.81, 1.17) 100.00
NOTE: Weights are from random effects analysis
.1
1
5
ID
ES (95% CI)
Weight
B. PFS2: TAM 5y - AI 5y versus TAM 5y - PLA 5y
MA.17 (2005) 0.58 (0.45, 0.76) 64.90
NSABP–B33 (2008) 0.68 (0.51, 1.04) 35.10
Overall (I–squared = 0.0%, p = 0.481) 0.61 (0.50, 0.76) 100.00
.1
1
5
ID
ES (95% CI)
Weight
C. PFS3: (AI and/or TAM) 5y - LET 5y versus (AI and/or TAM) 5y - PLA 5y
NSABP–B42 (2016) 0.85 (0.73, 0.99) 81.51
MA–17R (2016) 0.66 (0.48, 0.91) 18.49
Overall (I–squared = 49.0%, p = 0.162) 0.81 (0.71, 0.93) 100.00
.1
1
5