
Meta-analysis estimates, given named study is omitted
Study
Sex
Short vs Ref
Lower CI limit
Estimate
Upper CI limit
Heckman et al., 2017 F <=6 vs 7
Heckman et al., 2017 F <=6 vs 7
Heckman et al., 2017 F <=6 vs 7
Gu et al., 2016 M <5 vs 7-8
Gu et al., 2016 M <5 vs 7-8
Gu et al., 2016 M <5 vs 7-8
Gu et al., 2016 M <5 vs 7-8
Gu et al., 2016 M <5 vs 7-8
Gu et al., 2016 M <5 vs 7-8
Gu et al., 2016 M <5 vs 7-8
Gu et al., 2016 M <5 vs 7-8
Gu et al., 2016 M <5 vs 7-8
Gu et al., 2016 M <5 vs 7-8
Gu et al., 2016 M <5 vs 7-8
Gu et al., 2016 M <5 vs 7-8
Gu et al., 2016 M <5 vs 7-8
Gu et al., 2016 M <5 vs 7-8
Gu et al., 2016 M <5 vs 7-8
Gu et al., 2016 F <5 vs 7-8
Gu et al., 2016 F <5 vs 7-8
Gu et al., 2016 F <5 vs 7-8
Gu et al., 2016 F <5 vs 7-8
Gu et al., 2016 F <5 vs 7-8
Gu et al., 2016 F <5 vs 7-8
Gu et al., 2016 F <5 vs 7-8
Gu et al., 2016 F <5 vs 7-8
Gu et al., 2016 F <5 vs 7-8
Gu et al., 2016 F <5 vs 7-8
Gu et al., 2016 F <5 vs 7-8
Gu et al., 2016 F <5 vs 7-8
Gu et al., 2016 F <5 vs 7-8
Gu et al., 2016 F <5 vs 7-8
Gu et al., 2016 F <5 vs 7-8
Gu et al., 2016 F <5 vs 7-8
Gu et al., 2016 F <5 vs 7-8
Gu et al., 2016 F <5 vs 7-8
Gu et al., 2016 F <5 vs 7-8
Gu et al., 2016 F <5 vs 7-8
Markt et al., 2016 M <=5 vs 8
Cohen et al., 2015 F <=6 vs 7
Cohen et al., 2015 M <=6 vs 7
Hurley et al., 2015 F 3-6 vs 7-9
Hurley et al., 2015 F 3-6 vs 7-9
Hurley et al., 2015 F 3-6 vs 7-9
Hurley et al., 2015 F 3-6 vs 7-9
Hurley et al., 2015 F 3-6 vs 7-9
Hurley et al., 2015 F 3-6 vs 7-9
Markt et al., 2015 M <=5 vs 8
Qian et al., 2015 F <6 vs 7-<8
Khawaja et al., 2014 M <=6 vs 7
Luojus et al., 2014 M <=6.5 vs 7-7.5
Jiao et al., 2013 F <=5 vs 7
Wu et al., 2013 F <=6 vs 7
Luo et al., 2013 F <=6 vs 7-8
Vogtmann et al., 2013 F <=5 vs 7
Zhang et al., 2013 M <=5 vs 7
Zhang et al., 2013 F <=5 vs 7
Sturgeon et al., 2012 F <=6 vs 7
von Ruesten et al., 2012 C <6 vs 7-<8
Weiderpass et al., 2012 F <6 vs 6-7
Kakizaki et al., 2008 M <=6 vs 7-8
Kakizaki et al., 2008 F <=6 vs 7
Pinheiro et al., 2006 F <=5 vs 7
Verkasalo et al., 2005 F <=6 vs 7-8
Xiao et al., 2016 F <6 vs 8
Wang et al., 2015 F <=6 vs 6.1-8.9
Girschik et al., 2013 F <6 vs 7-8
McElroy et al., 2006 F <5 vs 7-7.9
0.96
0.97
1.01
1.05
1.05