FUNNEL PLOT



Forest plot


Study or Subgroup
log[Hazard Ratio]
SE
Weight
Hazard Ratio
IV, Fixed, 95% CI
Hazard Ratio
IV, Fixed, 95% CI
Bhaskaran et al., 2012 0.0912 0.0465 6.2% 1.10 [1.00, 1.20]
Debes et al. 2004 -0.4632 0.2849 0.2% 0.63 [0.36, 1.10]
Fitzpatrick et al. 2001 -0.3993 0.1499 0.6% 0.67 [0.50, 0.90]
Friis et al. 2001 0.1537 0.1923 0.4% 1.17 [0.80, 1.70]
Geybels et al. 2017 -0.4361 0.1964 0.3% 0.65 [0.44, 0.95]
Kao et al. 2018 0.1281 0.0915 1.6% 1.14 [0.95, 1.36]
Kemppainen et al. 2011 0.152 0.0197 34.7% 1.16 [1.12, 1.21]
Pai et al. 2015 -0.0121 0.5752 0.0% 0.99 [0.32, 3.05]
Perron et al. 2004 -0.0254 0.0522 4.9% 0.97 [0.88, 1.08]
Poch et al. 2013 0 0 Not estimable
Rao et al. 2013 -0.0922 0.0419 7.7% 0.91 [0.84, 0.99]
Rodriguez et al. 2009 -0.1033 0.0424 7.5% 0.90 [0.83, 0.98]
Ronquist et al. 2004 -0.367 0.2803 0.2% 0.69 [0.40, 1.20]
Rotshild et al., 2019 0.0927 0.0372 9.7% 1.10 [1.02, 1.18]
Santala et al. 2019 -0.8378 0.2598 0.2% 0.43 [0.26, 0.72]
Silatri et al., 2018 0.1516 0.0241 23.2% 1.16 [1.11, 1.22]
Siltari et al., 2020 0.1878 0.0758 2.3% 1.21 [1.04, 1.40]
Wilk et al., 2021 -0.4891 0.218 0.3% 0.61 [0.40, 0.94]
Total (95% CI) 100.0% 1.09 [1.06, 1.11]
Heterogeneity: Chi² = 106.90, df = 16 (P < 0.00001); I² = 85%
Test for overall effect: Z = 7.34 (P < 0.00001)
0.01
0.1
1
10
100
Favours [experimental]
Favours [control]

Study or Subgroup	log[Hazard Ratio]	SE	Weight	Hazard Ratio IV, Fixed, 95% CI
Bhaskaran et al., 2012	0.0912	0.0465	6.2%	1.10 [1.00, 1.20]
Debes et al. 2004	-0.4632	0.2849	0.2%	0.63 [0.36, 1.10]
Fitzpatrick et al. 2001	-0.3993	0.1499	0.6%	0.67 [0.50, 0.90]
Friis et al. 2001	0.1537	0.1923	0.4%	1.17 [0.80, 1.70]
Geybels et al. 2017	-0.4361	0.1964	0.3%	0.65 [0.44, 0.95]
Kao et al. 2018	0.1281	0.0915	1.6%	1.14 [0.95, 1.36]
Kemppainen et al. 2011	0.152	0.0197	34.7%	1.16 [1.12, 1.21]
Pai et al. 2015	-0.0121	0.5752	0.0%	0.99 [0.32, 3.05]
Perron et al. 2004	-0.0254	0.0522	4.9%	0.97 [0.88, 1.08]
Poch et al. 2013	0	0		Not estimable
Rao et al. 2013	-0.0922	0.0419	7.7%	0.91 [0.84, 0.99]
Rodriguez et al. 2009	-0.1033	0.0424	7.5%	0.90 [0.83, 0.98]
Ronquist et al. 2004	-0.367	0.2803	0.2%	0.69 [0.40, 1.20]
Rotshild et al., 2019	0.0927	0.0372	9.7%	1.10 [1.02, 1.18]
Santala et al. 2019	-0.8378	0.2598	0.2%	0.43 [0.26, 0.72]
Silatri et al., 2018	0.1516	0.0241	23.2%	1.16 [1.11, 1.22]
Siltari et al., 2020	0.1878	0.0758	2.3%	1.21 [1.04, 1.40]
Wilk et al., 2021	-0.4891	0.218	0.3%	0.61 [0.40, 0.94]
Total (95% CI)			**100.0%**	**1.09 [1.06, 1.11]**

Heterogeneity: Chi² = 106.90, df = 16 (P < 0.00001); I² = 85%

Test for overall effect: Z = 7.34 (P < 0.00001)