Additional File 1 (Supplementary data)

Each stage (stages 1 to 4) was modelled for predictors of change in BCVA or CRT in each stage.

1. BCVA

a) Stage 1: Dependent BCVA at end of	7 • 1 • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
a) Stade 1. Dependent RC/VA at end of	r stada has ond hradictor

	Training	Cross-Validation	Std Error
R squared	0.18	0.15	0.01
AUC	0.74	0.74	0.01
Accuracy	0.69	0.68	0.01
		Std Coefficient	Out of sample Freq (n=200)
Predictors			
BCVA baseline		2.14	200

h)	Stage 2 – Dependent BCVA at end of stage has one predicto	۱r
· •)	blage Z Dependent De VY at end of stage has one predicto	

	Training	Cross validation	Std error
Rsquared	0.05	0.02	0.01
AUC	65	61	0.01
Accuracy	76	75	0.01
		Std Coeff	Out of sample
			Freq (n=200)
Predictors			
BCVA at start of		0.77	200
stage 2			

c) Stage 3 - Dependent BCVA at end of stage has 3 predictors. These are days to switch and 1st injection to switch, and BCVA at start of stage

	Training	Cross	St error
		validation	
Rsquared	0.07	0.02	0.01
AUC	0.65	0.57	0.01
Accuracy	0.62	0.59	0.01

		Std Coeff	Out of
			sample
			Freq
			(n=200)
Predictors	Pratt Importance		
	In Model %		
Days from RBZ loading	58	- 3.4	200
to switch			
Days from 1 st	29	1.9	192
presentation to switch			
BCVA at start of stage 3	13	0.76	189

d) Stage 4 - Dependent BCVA at end of stage has two predictors: CRT after AFL loading, CRT at switch

	Training	Cross validation	St error
Rsquared	0.07	0.02	0.01
AUC	0.67	0.60	0.01
Accuracy	0.78	0.76	0.01
		Std Coeff	Out of sample
			Freq (n=200)
Predictors	Pratt Importance in		
	model %		
CRT post AFL	57	0.46	200
loading			
CRT switch	43	0.43	199

	Training	Cross-Validation	Std Error
R squared	0.15	0.03	0.04
AUC	0.83	0.77	0.02
Accuracy	0.81	0.80	0.01
		Std Coefficient	Out of sample Freq (n=200)
Predictors			
CRT baseline		4.6	198

a) Stage 1: Dependent CRT at end of stage had one predictor: initial CRT

b) Stage 2: Dependent CRT change had one predictor: initial CRT at start of stage 2

	Training	Cross-validation	Std error
Rsquared	0.14	0.1	0.01
AUC	0.74	0.71	0.01
Accuracy	0.69	0.68	0.02
		Std Coefficient	Out of sample freq
			(n=200)
Predictors			
CRT post RBZ		1.64	200
loading			

c) Stage 3: Dependent CRT at end of stage has one predictor: CRT at switch

	Training	Cross validation	Std error
Rsquared	0.16	0.1	0.04
AUC	0.82	0.76	0.02
Accuracy	0.87	0.84	0.01
		Std Coefficient	Out of sample
			Freq(n=200)
Predictors			
CRT at switch		4.9	196

2. CRT

d) Stage 4: Dependent CRT at end of stage had four predictors: initial CRT and BCVA at beginning of stage and BCVA and CRT post RBZ loading

	Training	Cross validation	Std Error
Rsquared	0.13	0.03	0.02
AUC	0.69	0.56	0.02
Accuracy	0.65	0.63	0.01
		Std Coefficient	Out of sample
			freq (n=200)
Predictors	Pratt importance in		
	model %		
CRT post AFL	59	1.53	199
loading			
BCVA post AFL	25	1.22	197
loading			
CRT post RBZ	8	- 0.78	182
loading			
BCVA post RBZ	9	- 0.89	173
loading			

Note in the above that a 7 predictor optimum at AUC=0.58 (se=0.02) reduces to 4 predictor on standard error rule where AUC=0.560 and contribution to model