

Additional File 2 (Supplementary Data)

Summary statistics for CRT and BCVA change in patients following RBZ PRN

CRT

Description	Change in CRT (μm)	No. of eyes
Decrease (i.e. improvement) in CRT following RBZ PRN	1 – 50	44
	51– 100	16
	>100	5
No change	0	11
Increase (i.e. deterioration) in CRT following RBZ PRN	1 – 50	38
	51– 100	28
	>100	31
Total of those with complete CRT data		173
Missing CRT readings (either pre- or post RBZ PRN)		35
Total		208

Spearman rank-order correlation coefficient revealed no significant correlation between these different groups with CRT ($p=0.268$, $r= -0.085$) or BCVA ($p=0.373$, $r= -0.068$) during AFL PRN.

BCVA

Description	Change in letters	No. of eyes
Letters gained (i.e. improvement in BCVA) following RBZ PRN	1 – 5 (1)	31
	6 – 10 (2)	14
	11 – 15 (3)	8
	>15 (4)	2
No change	0 (5)	21
Letters lost (i.e. deterioration in BCVA) following RBZ PRN	1 – 5 (6)	43
	6 – 10 (7)	28
	11 – 15 (8)	23
	>15	38
Total of those with complete BCVA data (no missing data)		208

Similarly, Spearman rank-order correlation coefficient revealed no significant correlation between these different groups with CRT ($p=0.935$, $r= 0.006$) and or BCVA ($p=0.072$, $r=0.126$) during AFL PRN.