## **Triage Tools Data Abstraction**

Please fill out for all abstracts you intend to include. Please email Alex with any questions!

1.	Title Title of Article
2.	First Author Last name of first author
3.	<b>Year</b> <i>Mark only one oval.</i>
	2000
	2001
	2002
	2003
	2004
	2005
	2006
	2007
	2008
	2009
	2010
	2011
	2012
	2013
4.	<b>RID</b> Refworks ID # (0-10408)

5.	PDF Filename  Name of fulltext PDF file in you're DropBox folder	
6.	Is the paper original research? Can include RCT/Cohort study/Cross sectional study/Study on too	ol evaluation
	Mark only one oval.	
	Yes Skip to question 7.	
	No (if no explain reason under "other")	on 8.
Oı	riginal Research	
7.	What type of original research study is it?  Mark only one oval.	
	Case Control	
	Observational	
	Cohort	
	Randomized control trial	
	Other:	
Skij	o to question 13.	
Ot	her Paper Type	
8.	What type of paper is it?  Mark only one oval.	
	Review (Non-Systematic) Skip to question 9.	
	Review (Systematic) Skip to question 9.	
	Letter to the Editor Skip to question 10.	
	Case Report Skip to question 10.	
	Other:	Skip to question 10.

Review (Systematic/Non-Systematic)

Skip to question 10.

9.	Abstract Please copy and paste the abstract below, into the text box.
	to question 40.  ther Paper Types (Letter to editor, case report, other)
	Primary Country primary country of data collection
11.	Study Setting Type of site for investigation Check all that apply.  Level 3 National Hospital (Tertiary Hospital ICU, Pediatric department) Level 2 Provincial Hospital (Inpatient Ward, ED) Level 1 District Hospital (Inpatient Ward, triage area, no ED) Primary Clinic (Physician lead, no inpatient beds) Nurse lead clinic (Nurse lead, no inpatient beds) Health Outpost (community health workers, no inpatient beds) Pre hospital (EMT, pre hospital providers, etc.) Non Clinical Other:
12.	Triage Tool The triage tool evaluated, mentioned, used
	o to question 40.  Cation of Study
13.	Primary Country primary country of data collection

14.	Secondary Country  If only one country, leave blank
15.	Study Setting Type of site for investigation Check all that apply.
	Level 3 National Hospital (Tertiary Hospital ICU, Pediatric department)
	Level 2 Provincial Hospital (Inpatient Ward, ED)
	Level 1 District Hospital (Inpatient Ward, triage area, no ED)
	Primary Clinic (Physician lead, no inpatient beds)
	Nurse lead clinic (Nurse lead, no inpatient beds)
	Health Outpost (community health workers, no inpatient beds)
	Pre hospital (EMT, pre hospital providers, etc.)
	Non Clinical
	Other:
16.	Population density If multiple, please select more than one (self defined by author) Check all that apply.
	Urban
	Rural
	Unclear
ır	iage Components
17.	Triage Setting
	Setting where triage takes place/purpose of triage Check all that apply.
	Military Trauma
	Military Medical
	Civilian Trauma
	Civilian Medical
	Mass Casualty
	Natural Disaster
	Specific Complaint
	Other:

18.	Level of Training of Provider Performing Triage provider tasked with performing triage in study Check all that apply.
	Physician
	Nurse
	EMT (formal training in emergency response)
	Medical Officer (hospital employee tasked with patient care assistance)
	Community Health Worker (volunteer or paid)
	Lay person (no health care training)
19.	Patient Age Group
	Adult, pediatric or both? (15 is used as minimum for adult, 18 as maximum for children) <i>Mark only one oval.</i>
	Adults (>15 only)
	Children (<18 only)
	Both
20.	Triage Tool 1 Enter the name of first triage tool evaluated
21.	Tool 1 Components of Evaluation
	Types of evaluation used in triage tool 1 Check all that apply.
	Age of patient
	Presenting Complaint
	Vital Signs
	IMCI (Integrated Management of Childhood Illness)
	TEWS (Triage Early Warning Score)
	MEWS (Modified Early Warning System)
	Clinical Discriminator
	ETAT
	Other:

23.	Tool 2 Components of Evaluation  Types of evaluation used in triage tool 2	
	Check all that apply.	
	Age of patient	
	Presenting Complaint	
	Vital Signs	
	IMCI (Integrated Management of Childhood Illness)	
	TEWS (Triage Early Warning Score)	
	MEWS (Modified Early Warning System)	
	Clinical Discriminator	
	ETAT	
	Other:	
24.	Triage Tool 3 Enter the name of third triage tool evaluated	
	_	
	Finter the name of third triage tool evaluated  Fool 3 Components of Evaluation  Types of evaluation used in triage tool 3	
	Fool 3 Components of Evaluation  Types of evaluation used in triage tool 3  Check all that apply.	
	Fool 3 Components of Evaluation  Types of evaluation used in triage tool 3  Check all that apply.  Age of patient	
	Fool 3 Components of Evaluation  Types of evaluation used in triage tool 3  Check all that apply.  Age of patient  Presenting Complaint	
	Fool 3 Components of Evaluation  Types of evaluation used in triage tool 3  Check all that apply.  Age of patient  Presenting Complaint  Vital Signs	
	Fool 3 Components of Evaluation Types of evaluation used in triage tool 3 Check all that apply.  Age of patient Presenting Complaint Vital Signs IMCI (Integrated Management of Childhood Illness)	
	Fool 3 Components of Evaluation Types of evaluation used in triage tool 3 Check all that apply.  Age of patient Presenting Complaint Vital Signs IMCI (Integrated Management of Childhood Illness) TEWS (Triage Early Warning Score)	
	Fool 3 Components of Evaluation Types of evaluation used in triage tool 3 Check all that apply.  Age of patient Presenting Complaint Vital Signs IMCI (Integrated Management of Childhood Illness) TEWS (Triage Early Warning Score) MEWS (Modified Early Warning System)	

## Percentage at each triage scale

For each, please list the percent of patients (1-100) who were triaged at each level, according to the gold standard. Add multiple columns if necessary (ie for 4-5 add 4's and 5's).

26.	Emergent (Level 1, Red) Please list the percent of patients triaged as Emergent, per gold standard.		
27.	Urgent (Level 2-3, Orange-Yellow) Please list the percent of patients triaged as Urgent, per gold standard.		
28.	Non-Emergent (Level 4-5, Green) Please list the percent of patients triaged as Non-Emergent, per gold standard.		
29.	Uncategorized Please list the percent of patients triaged as Uncategorized, per gold standard.		
30.	Tool Evaluation Components?  Did the study evaluate the reliability, validity, both Mark only one oval.	or neither of the	triage tools?
	Reliability (between grader or between too Validity (triage grade compared to outcom Both Skip to question 31.		Skip to question 31. uestion 36.
	Neither (Descriptive Study)  Skip to qu	uestion 40.	
	Over and Under Triage Skip to questi	on 36.	
	Waiting Time Skip to question 40.  Other:		
Re	eliability Metrics	•	
	•		
31.	What was the gold standard used? ie Which test (or grader) was used as the current standard for comparison?		
Re	eliability Statistics		

## Statistical measures of reliability between scores or graders

32.	Percent agreement?
	If measured, what was the percent agreement between the tests?
33.	Kappa Statistic?
	If measured, what was the kappa statistic between the tests?
34.	Was validity also measured?
	Did the authors compare scores to a clinical outcome (validity) <i>Mark only one oval.</i>
	Yes
	No
25	Any additional information from results section
<i>3</i> 0.	Any additional information from results section
	1
Va	lidity Metrics
36.	Outcome measure?
	What outcome measure was used? Check all that apply.
	Mortality
	General Hospitalization
	ICU Admission
	High Care
	Other:

37.	What timepoint was given for followup?
	What was the maximum time that the authors evaluated their outcome?  Mark only one oval.
	Same admission
	1-3 days
	3-7 days
	1-4 weeks
	>4 weeks
38.	Outcome odds result
	Please write a sentence describing the outcome odds result obtained (ie Emergent triage grade under triage tool A had 2.5x higher odds of outcome A etc.)
39.	Any additional information from results section
Cc	omments
40.	Comments
	Include comments on study quality.

Powered by

