$\textbf{Table 3} \ \, \textbf{Outcomes for studies on integrated delivery of mental health and substance abuse services}$

Type of outcome	Study	Measure	Outcome (Intervention vs. Control)
Health outcomes	Druss 2001	Change in physical component summary index. Change in mental component summary index.	+4.7% vs0.3%, (p<0.001) +2.4% vs. +2%, (p=0.84)
	Krahn 2006	Change in Centre for Epidemiological Studies Depression scale (CES-D) score.	Patients with all depression: -6.0 ± 12.0 vs7.8 ± 11.8, (p=0.07)
		Change in Medical Component Score (MCS).	Patients with major depression: -7.5 ± 13.1 vs. -10.2 ± 12.1 , (p=0.003) Patients with all depression: $+4.8 \pm 12.6$ vs. $+4.9 \pm 12.9$, (p=0.88)
			Patients with major depression: +5.9 ± 12.6 vs. + 6.8 ± 12.8, (p=0.32)
	Willenbring 1999	Number of patients with 2-year survival.	31/38 (81%) vs. 26/37 (70%), (p=0.03)
Drug and alcohol use	Oslin 2006	Change in number of drinks per week. Change in number of binge episodes in the preceding three	-6.0 vs5.9 (p=0.913) -8.5 vs10.2 (p=0.750)
	Weisner 2001	months. Total abstinence and duration of abstinence.	Non-SAMC patients: 66% vs. 73%, (p=0.23)
			SAMC patients: 69% vs. 55%, (p=0.006); period of abstinence 135 days vs. 122 days, (p=0.05)
		Alcohol abstinence.	Non-SAMC patients: 73% vs. 78% (p=0.41)
		Other drug abstinence	SAMC patients: 80% vs. 65%, (p=0.002) Non-SAMC patients:
	Willenbring 1999	Number of patients with alcohol abstinence after 2 years.	84% vs. 87%, (p=0.50) 28/38 (74%) vs. 17/36 (48%), (p=0.02)
Patient satisfaction	Druss 2001	Satisfaction score on 47-item questionnaire.	Patients in integrated model were more satisfied with overall care received in 6 of 8 domains (access, attention to patient preferences, courtesy, coordination, continuity, and overall care) (p<0.05 on all 6 domains)
	Gater 1997	Score on Client Satisfaction Questionnaire (range 1-4; low score indicates higher satisfaction).	1.86 vs. 2.23
Quality of care	Druss 2001	Delivery of preventive measures outlined in clinical guidelines.	Patients in integrated model (n=59) more likely than in control group (n=61) to receive 15 of 17 measures,

			(p<0.01)
	Gater 1997	Number of clinical needs met; and unmet. Number of social needs met; and unmet.	2.62 vs. 1.60, (p<0.001); 0.57 vs. 1.62 (p<0.001) 1.83 vs. 1.49, (p=NS); 0.86 vs. 1.64 (p<0.05)
	Watts 2007	Patients who screened positive for depression and received treatment in accordance with guidelines.	From 1.1% to 11.2% vs. from 3.0% to 0.7%, (p<0.001)
Utilisation of services	Bartels 2004	Mean number of mental health and substance abuse visits. Appointment attendance.	3.04 vs. 1.91 (p ≤0.001) 71% vs. 48.8% (95% CI=2.14 to 3.08)
	Druss 2001	Patients who used a medical: Primary care service; Specialty service; Emergency department; Inpatient service.	54/59 (91.5%) vs. 44/61 (72.1%), (p=0.006); 41/59 (69.5%) vs. 41/61 (67.2%), (p=0.17); 7/59 (11.9%) vs. 16/61 (26.2%), (p=0.04); 5/59 (8.5%) vs. 11/61 (18%), (p=0.12)
		Patients who used a mental health: Outpatient service; Emergency department; Inpatient service.	58/59 (98.3%) vs. 61/61 (100%), (p=0.31); 21/59 (35.6%) vs. 25/61 (41%), (p=0.31); 8/59 (13.6%) vs. 10/61 (16.4%), (p=0.66)
	Willenbring 1999	Mean number of IOT visits in 2 years. Mean number of IOT visits in first and last 6 months of treatment.	42.2 ± 29.1 vs. 17.4 ± 15.6, (p<0.001) From 14 to 9 vs. 4-6 in both periods
Access to health care	Watts 2007	Patients who screened positive and were able to access mental health services.	36.0% vs. 9%, (p<0.001)
Cost	Druss 2001 Gater 1997	Mean cost per subject treated Overall per capita health service cost	US\$ 13,010 vs. US\$ 14,543 £ 1,406 vs. £ 1,199
	Weisner 2001	Average cost of all treatment per month	US\$ 470.81 vs. US\$ 427.95, (p=0.14)