Additional File 1. Calculation of the wealth index

Weights for the construction of a wealth index were obtained from the 2006 data extracting the first principal component. The obtained weights were then applied to the 2012 data and scores for the pooled sample standardized with respect to 2006, that is, in 2006 the wealth index has zero mean and unit variance.

Item	Correlations with First Principal Component	Scoring coefficients*					
Household materials and services							
Floor charactesitics	0.654	0.153					
Ceiling characteristics	0.511	0.120					
Water facilities	0.568	0.133					
Electricity	0.277	0.065					
Posession of durable goods							
Car	0.490	0.115					
Wagon	0.203	0.048					
Audio system	0.562	0.132					
Refrigerator	0.677	0.159					
Gas stove	0.662	0.155					
Boiler	0.635	0.149					
Computer	0.489	0.115					
Microwave	0.606	0.142					
Blender	0.614	0.144					
Television	0.546	0.128					

Table AF1-1. Correlations of items with first principal component and scoring coefficients

The first principal component absorbed 30% (4.27/14) of total variation in 2006 *Obtained with the regression method

Tuble III I	21 Sul (0)	<i>in engineeu</i>	uesenper	e statistics	or weater	mach oj	sui (ej jeui
Year		Percentiles				Mean	Standard
	p5	p25	p50	p75	p95	Weall	Deviation
2006	-2.1	-0.5	0.1	0.7	1.4	0.0	1.0
2012	-1.7	-0.4	0.3	0.9	1.4	0.1	0.9

Table AF1-2. Survey-weighted descriptive statistics of wealth index by survey year

The region of wealth index values defined by overlapping the (p5, p95) intervals between survey years was (-1.7, 1.4)

Year	Percentiles				Mean	Standard	
	p5	p25	p50	p75	p95	Wiedii	Deviation
Urban area							
2006	-1.2	-0.2	0.3	0.9	1.4	0.3	0.8
2012	-1.1	-0.2	0.4	0.9	1.4	0.3	0.8
Rural area							
2006	-3.0	-1.8	-0.8	-0.2	0.6	-1.0	1.1
2012	-2.5	-1.3	-0.5	0.1	0.9	-0.6	1.0

Table AF1-3. Survey-weighted descriptive statistics of wealth index by area of residence and survey year

In the urban area the region of wealth index values defined by overlapping the (p5, p95) intervals between survey years was (-1.1, 1.4)

In the rural area the region of wealth index values defined by overlapping the (p5, p95) intervals between survey years was (-2.5, 0.6)