Additional File 3. Interaction tests with area of residence

We included interaction terms of area of residence [0=urban, 1=rural] with all covariates in our original models which already had all up three way interactions of survey year and sex with SES indicators. For each sex-year combination (men in 2006, men in 2012, women in 2006 and women in 2012), joint significance tests were performed for the group of parameters related to the covariate. For example, occupational status has one reference category and two indicator (0/1) variables of the rest of categories. In the reference group (e.g. men in 2006) we tested for the joint significance of these two terms with area of residence. For any of the other sex-year groups, the proper coefficients can be obtained as linear combinations of model coefficients or equivalently the group of interest (e.g. Women in 2012) can be set as reference category to facilitate implementation.

	2006			2012	2012		
	Statistic		Р	Statistic	Р		
Men							
Wealth	F(2, 2800) =	0.11	0.894	$F(2, 2800) = 3.0^{\circ}$	7 0.047		
Education	F(4, 2798) =	1.46	0.212	F(4, 2798) = 2.10	0.079		
Marital status	F(2, 2800) =	1.68	0.188	F(2, 2800) = 0.80	0.452		
Occupational status	F(3, 2799) =	1.10	0.346	F(3, 2799) = 0.2	0.893		
Age	F(2, 2800) =	1.60	0.202	F(2, 2800) = 0.63	5 0.523		
Region	F(2, 2800) =	1.58	0.206	F(2, 2800) = 1.23	5 0.287		
Women							
Wealth	F(2, 2800) =	0.44	0.642	F(2, 2800) = 1.10	0.333		
Education	F(4, 2798) =	1.83	0.120	F(4, 2798) = 0.9	3 0.444		
Marital status	F(2, 2800) =	2.26	0.104	F(2, 2800) = 0.34	4 0.714		
Occupational status	F(3, 2799) =	0.47	0.704	F(3, 2799) = 0.42	0.747		
Age	F(2, 2800) =	3.31	0.037	F(2, 2800) = 0.02	0.981		
Region	F(2, 2800) =	0.64	0.526	F(2, 2800) = 0.02	0.985		

Table AF3-1. Joint significance tests for interactions with area of residence for a given sex and survey year category from the overweight plus obesity logistic regression model

	2006			2012		
	Statistic		Р	Statistic	Р	
Men						
Wealth	F(2, 2800) =	0.96	0.382	F(2, 2800) = 2.71	0.067	
Education	F(4, 2798) =	0.40	0.807	F(4, 2798) = 1.47	0.209	
Marital status	F(2, 2800) =	1.22	0.297	F(2, 2800) = 0.62	0.540	
Occupational status	F(3, 2799) =	1.45	0.227	F(3, 2799) = 0.34	0.798	
Age	F(2, 2800) =	1.41	0.244	F(2, 2800) = 0.64	0.527	
Region	F(2, 2800) =	0.49	0.610	F(2, 2800) = 0.87	0.419	
Women						
Wealth	F(2, 2800) =	1.84	0.159	F(2, 2800) = 0.47	0.626	
Education	F(4, 2798) =	0.34	0.848	F(4, 2798) = 0.93	0.445	
Marital status	F(2, 2800) =	2.70	0.067	F(2, 2800) = 0.24	0.786	
Occupational status	F(3, 2799) =	0.99	0.394	F(3, 2799) = 0.25	0.858	
Age	F(2, 2800) =	0.29	0.745	F(2, 2800) = 0.23	0.798	
Region	F(2, 2800) =	0.18	0.834	F(2, 2800) = 1.03	0.359	

Table AF3-2. Joint significance tests for interactions with area of residence for a given sex and survey year category from the obesity logistic regression model