Supplement Figure 4.The association between potassium intake and all-cause mortality with T2 potassium intake compared to T1 potassium intake in each subgroups.

		0.99
<b>⊢</b>	0.93 (0.76 to 1.15)	
1	0.98 (0.76 to 1.26)	
		0.66
H	→ 0.93 (0.63 to 1.37)	
·	0.98 (0.82 to 1.16)	
		0.97
<b>—</b> ———	• 0.77 (0.43 to 1.36)	
L	→ 0.87 (0.42 to 1.80)	
F	0.91 (0.75 to 1.10)	
F	→ 1.01 (0.72 to 1.42)	
L	→ 1.12 (0.66 to 1.91)	
	× /	0.94
·	0.95 (0.76 to 1.18)	
<b>⊢</b>	0.86 (0.67 to 1.11)	
	× /	0.42
⊢ <b>−</b>	0.85 (0.70 to 1.04)	
·	→ 1.05 (0.80 to 1.38)	
		0.89
· · · · · ·	• 1.01 (0.75 to 1.36)	
F	0.86 (0.71 to 1.04)	
		0.55
<b>⊢</b>	0.94 (0.77 to 1.14)	
F	0.88 (0.68 to 1.14)	
	)	0.84
<b>⊢</b>	0.89 (0.74 to 1.08)	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	0.92 (0.64 to 1.32)	
	(	0.99
	0.88 (0.66 to 1.18)	
·	0.92 (0.75 to 1.12)	
	()	0.27
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	0.84 (0.57 to 1.24)	
0.5		
0.5 1	1.5	
	0.5 1 n intake benefit T1 potassiu	0.80 (0.57 to 1.11) 0.97 (0.78 to 1.21) 0.91 (0.78 to 1.06) 0.5 1 1.5

Forest plots of stratified analyses of potassium intake and all-cause mortality. all covariates except for the factor defining the subgroup were adjusted . The multicollinearity test was conducted for all variables in the models. There is a certain degree of multicollinearity (VIF>10) present in the populations of Mexico, Spain, and others, which may hinder the extrapolation of this conclusion to these populations.