Additional file 3 – Data extraction from systematic reviews and primary studies

Systematic reviews (1998-2014)

- 1. Author, year
- 2. Country
- 3. Study aim(s)
- 4. Study design
- 5. Comprehensive literature search and evaluation process (e.g. years, databases, languages, inclusion/exclusion criteria, duplicate study selection)
- 6. Number of the original studies included in the systematic reviews (number of studies used to assess the degree of overlap between the systematic reviews)
- 7. Study designs of the included original studies
- 8. Occupational professions included in the original studies
- 9. Outcome definition
- 10. Exposure factors and main results (e.g. effect estimates from meta-analyses)
- 11. Method used to aggregate the results from original studies (quantitative or qualitative)
- 12. Methodological quality assessment of the included studies
- 13. Criteria used for grading the quality of evidence
- 14. Number of studies which observed a positive significant association between the defined exposure and outcome (consistency of the available evidence)
- 15. Assessment of publication bias
- 16. Recognition of scientific quality in formulating conclusions (strengths and limitations)
- 17. AMSTAR-R score

Primary studies (2011-2014)

- 1. Author, year
- 2. Country
- 3. Study aim(s)
- 4. Study design
- 5. Follow-up period (if cohort study)
- 6. Study population (e.g. occupational profession, gender, age, definition of patients and controls)
- 7. Participation rate at baseline and at follow-up (if applicable)
- 8. Outcome definition and assessment (e.g. blinded)

- 9. Exposure factors definition and assessment (e.g. objective method, blinded)
- 10. Main results from multivariate analyses (e.g. effect estimates or raw data)
- 11. Recognition of confounder
- 12. Method used for data analysis
- 13. Recognition of strengths and limitations in formulating conclusions
- 14. Quality score