Appendix 1: Review Checklist

IPDAS update: Using a systematic development process

-- Framework for review --

- 1. Starting point: key aspects of systematic development process not covered by other IPDAS groups (see below)
- 2. Include RCTs selected for next update of Cochrane Collaboration review (86 trials, 105 papers)
- 3. Assess description of development process in main paper and/or any subsidiary papers
- 4. Make qualitative judgment on validity of the process.

Main study (i.e., paper that describes main results of RCT of decision aid)				
PLEASE INSERT REFERENCE HERE:				
DESCRIBES SCOPE AND PURPOSE [1a-c] Does paper describe scope and purpose of the decision aid? (i.e., describes the health condition or problem; states the decision(s) that need to be considered; specifies the target audience) – yes/no/cites another paper (give ref)	[1a] Does paper describes the health condition or problem? □ YES □ NO □ Cites another paper → Specify: [1b] Does paper state the decision(s) that need to be considered? □ YES □ NO □ Cites another paper → Specify: [1c] Does paper specify the target audience? □ YES □ NO □ Cites another paper → Specify:			
[1d] What is described in main or subsidiary paper in relation to scope and purpose? [1e] Any comments on the validity of the description of scope and purpose?				

DESCRIBES THEORETICAL FRAMEWORK			
[2a] Does paper make reference to a	□ YES		
theory or theoretical framework that	□ NO		
informed the development of the	\Box Cites another paper \rightarrow Specify:		
decision aid? - yes/no/cites another			
paper (give ref)			
[2b] What is described in main or			
subsidiary paper in relation to the			
theoretical framework, i.e. what are its			
theoretical underpinnings?			
[2c] Any comments on the validity of the			
description of theoretical framework?			
DESCRIBES METHODS FOR ASSESSI	-		
[3a-b] Does paper describe how patients'	[3a] Does paper describe what methods were used to consult patients?		
decisional needs were assessed? (i.e.	□ YES		
what methods were used to consult	□ NO		
patients; how many patients were	\Box Cites another paper \rightarrow Specify:		
consulted; results of patient			
consultation) – yes/no/cites another	[3b] Does paper describe how many patients were consulted?		
paper (give ref)	□ YES		
	□ NO		
	\Box Cites another paper \rightarrow Specify:		
	[3c] Does paper describe results of patient consultation?		
	□ YES		
	□ NO		
	□ Cites another paper → Specify:		

[3d] What is described in main or subsidiary paper in relation to patients' decisional needs?	What type of decision aid TREATMENT SCREENING	was th	nis?	
	What proportion of patien	ts war	nted information about:	
	<u>Treatment</u>		<u>Screening</u>	
	health condition?	%	health condition?	%
	treatment options?	%	'no test' option?	%
	'no treatment' option?	%	test procedures	%
	treatment procedures	%	risks of procedures	%
	potential benefits	%	rates of true/false pe	ositives/negatives %
	potential risks	%	consequences of posit	tive result %
			consequences of nega	ative result %
[3e] Any comments on the validity of the assessment of patients' decisional needs?				

[3f] Does paper describe a method for finding out what professionals need to prepare them to discuss a specific decision with patients? – yes/no/cites another paper (give ref)	□ YES □ NO □ Cites another paper	→ Spe	ecify:		
[3g] What is described in main or	What proportion of profess	sionals	wanted information abou	ut:	
subsidiary paper in relation to professionals' decisional needs?	<u>Treatment</u>		Screening		
	health condition?	%	health condition?	%	
	treatment options?	%	'no test' option?	%	
	'no treatment' option?	%	test procedures	%	
	treatment procedures	%	risks of procedures	%	
	potential benefits	%	rates of true/false posit	tives/negatives	%
	potential risks	%	consequences of positiv	ve result %	
			consequences of negative	ve result %	
[3h] Any comments on the validity of the assessment of professionals' decisional needs?					

DESCRIBES METHODS FOR REVIEW AND FIELD TESTING			
[4a] Does paper describe how the	□ YES		
decision aid was reviewed by external	□ NO		
patients (i.e. those not involved in	\Box Cites another paper \rightarrow Specify:		
producing the decision aid)? -			
yes/no/cites another paper (give ref)			
[Ab] VATbatia daggethad in main or			
[4b] What is described in main or subsidiary paper in relation to external			
review by patients?			
review by patients.			
[4c] Any comments on the validity of the			
external review by patients?			
[4d] Does paper describe how the			
decision aid was reviewed by external			
professionals (i.e. those not involved in	\Box Cites another paper \rightarrow Specify:		
producing the decision aid)? -			
yes/no/cites another paper (give ref)			
[4e] What is described in main or			
subsidiary paper in relation to external			
review by professionals?			
[4f] Any comments on the validity of the			
external review by professionals?			

[4g] Does paper describe how the decision aid was field tested with patients who were facing the decision? – yes/no/cites another paper (give ref)	 □ YES □ NO □ Cites another paper → Specify:
[4h] What is described in main or subsidiary paper in relation to field testing with patients?	
[4i] Any comments on the validity of the field testing with patients?	
[4j] Does paper describe how the decision aid was field tested with practitioners who counsel patients who face the decision? – yes/no/cites another paper (give ref)	 □ YES □ NO □ Cites another paper → Specify:
[4k] What is described in main or subsidiary paper in relation to field testing with professionals?	
[41] Any comments on the validity of the field testing with professionals?	

DESCRIBES DISSEMINATION AND IN	MPLEMENTATION PLAN
[5a-e] Does paper describe how the	5a Does paper describe how the decision aid will be disseminated to patients?
decision aid will be disseminated to	□ YES
patients/professionals and how it will	□ NO
be implemented (including rationale for	\Box Cites another paper \rightarrow Specify:
choice of platform)/mode of	
presentation; setting – primary	5b Does paper describe how the decision aid will be disseminated to
care/secondary care/use by health	professionals?
coaches, etc.)? - yes/no/cites another	□ YES
paper (give ref)	□ NO
	\Box Cites another paper \rightarrow Specify:
	5c Does paper describe how it will be implemented (including rationale for
	choice of platform)?
	□ YES
	□ NO
	\Box Cites another paper \rightarrow Specify:
	5d Does paper describe mode of presentation?
	□ YES
	□ NO
	\Box Cites another paper \rightarrow Specify:
	5e Does paper describe setting?
	□ YES, Primary Care setting
	□ YES, Secondary Care setting
	□ YES, Health Coaches

	□ YES, Other → Specify:
	□ NO
	\Box Cites another paper \rightarrow Specify:
[5f] What is described in main or	
subsidiary paper in relation to	
dissemination and implementation?	
[5g] Any comments on the validity of the	
dissemination and implementation	
plans?	
AVAILABILITY OF DOCUMENTATION	N ON THE DEVELOPMENT PROCESS
[6a- m] Does the paper refer to the	[6a] Does paper refer to the availability of detailed documentation on the
availability of detailed documentation	literature review methods?
on the details of the development	□ YES, Published Paper → Specify:
process (e.g. literature review methods;	□ YES, Unpublished document
methods for assessing decisional needs;	□ NO
message/storyboard/script	
development; video/booklet/program	[6b] Does paper refer to the availability of detailed documentation on the
development; field testing; funding	methods for assessing decisional needs?
source; names and credentials of	□ YES, Published Paper → Specify:
developers; date of development; date of	□ YES, Unpublished document
review; readability testing; evaluation;	□ NO
etc.)? - yes-published paper (give ref)/	
yes-unpublished document/ no	[6c] Does paper refer to the availability of detailed documentation on the
	message/storyboard/script development?
	□ YES, Published Paper → Specify:
	□ YES, Unpublished document
	[6d] Does paper refer to the availability of detailed documentation on the

 video/booklet/program development? □ YES, Published Paper → Specify: □ YES, Unpublished document □ NO
 [6e] Does paper refer to the availability of detailed documentation on the review process? □ YES, Published Paper → Specify: □ YES, Unpublished document □ NO
 [6f] Does paper refer to the availability of detailed documentation on field testing? □ YES, Published Paper → Specify: □ YES, Unpublished document □ NO
 [6g] Does paper refer to the availability of detailed documentation on funding source? □ YES, Published Paper → Specify: □ YES, Unpublished document □ NO
 [6h] Does paper refer to the availability of detailed documentation on names and credentials of developers? □ YES, Published Paper → Specify: □ YES, Unpublished document □ NO
[6i] Does paper refer to the availability of detailed documentation on date of development?

	 □ YES, Published Paper → Specify: □ YES, Unpublished document □ NO
	 [6j] Does paper refer to the availability of detailed documentation on date of review? □ YES, Published Paper → Specify: □ YES, Unpublished document □ NO
	 [6k] Does paper refer to the availability of detailed documentation on readability testing? □ YES, Published Paper → Specify: □ YES, Unpublished document □ NO
	 [6l] Does paper refer to the availability of detailed documentation on evaluation? □ YES, Published Paper → Specify: □ YES, Unpublished document □ NO
	 [6m] Does paper refer to the availability of detailed documentation on other aspects of development process? IF YES, → Specify Other Aspects: □ YES, Published Paper → Specify: □ YES, Unpublished document □ NO
[6n] Is there a description of what is covered in the documentation? If yes,	

please give brief outline.	