Results for eight exponential random graph models (M_1 - M_8) of networks of HIV and family planning organizations in two sub-cities of Addis Ababa, Ethiopia in May 2011.

	Kirko	s	Kolfe Keranyo	
	MLE (s.e.)	Z-score	MLE (s.e.)	Z-score
$ m M_{1}$: Uniform homophily, based on organization type	0.521 (0.213) *	-11.963	0.416 (0.219)	1.902
M₂: Differential homophily, based on organization type				
FBO	0.847 (0.423) *	2.002	n/a	n/a
Government HC	0.084	0.076	16.989 (629.897)	0.027
Government Hospital			n/a	n/a
Government HP			-0.304 (1.101)	-0.276
NGO	0.490 (0.226) *	2.169	0.116 (0.445)	0.261
Other			16.208 (738.503)	0.022
Private Clinic	n/a	n/a	0.237 (0.265)	0.895
M₃: Assortative mixing, based on organization type				
$FBO \rightarrow FBO$	1.273 (0.729)	1.745	n/a	n/a
Government HC \rightarrow FBO	0.041 (0.967)	0.042	n/a	n/a
Government Hospital \rightarrow FBO			17.635 (2399.545)	0.007
Government HP \rightarrow FBO			0.376 (1.248)	0.301
$NGO \rightarrow FBO$	0.594 (0.674)	0.881	1.069 (0.852)	1.256
Other \rightarrow FBO			n/a	n/a
Private Clinic \rightarrow FBO	1.427 (0.865)	1.650	n/a	n/a
FBO Government HC	1.165 (0.806)	1.445	0.376 (1.248)	0.301
Government HC \rightarrow Government HC	0.511 (1.254)	0.407	18.752 (1712.238)	0.011
Government Hospital → Government HC			18.229 (1863.921)	0.010
Government HP → Government HC			0.376 (.747)	0.503
NGO → Government HC	0.511 (0.738)	0.692	0.617 (0.541)	1.142
Other → Government HC			-0.540 (1.122)	-0.482
Private Clinic → Government HC	n/a	n/a	0.936 (0.439) *	2.134
FBO → Government Hospital			17.635 (2399.545)	0.007
Government HC → Government Hospital			18.229 (1863.921)	0.010
Government Hospital → Government Hospital			n/a	n/a
Government HP → Government Hospital			1.762 (1.248)	1.412
NGO → Government Hospital			2.679 (1.122) *	2.388
Other → Government Hospital			17.972 (2007.458)	0.009
Private Clinic Government Hospital			19.188 (1648.956)	0.012
FBO → Government HP			0.376 (1.248)	0.301
Government HC → Government HP			1.292 (0.713)	1.812

Government Hospital → Government HP			0.376 (1.248)	0.301
Government HP → Government HP			-0.540 (1.122)	-0.482
NGO → Government HP			-0.540 (0.677)	-0.798
Other \rightarrow Government HP			n/a	n/a
Private Clinic \rightarrow Government HP			-2.298 (1.045) *	-2.198
$FBO \rightarrow NGO$	0.423 (0.681)	0.620	-0.540 (1.122)	-0.482
Government HC \rightarrow NGO	0.119 (0.775)	0.153	-1.010 (0.788)	-1.282
Government Hospital → NGO			0.376 (0.899)	0.418
Government HP \rightarrow NGO			-0.540 (0.677)	-0.798
$NGO \rightarrow NGO$	0.916 (0.636)	1.441	-0.120 (0.495)	-0.243
Other \rightarrow NGO			n/a	n/a
Private Clinic \rightarrow NGO	0.000 (0.864)	0.000	-2.298 (0.759) **	-3.029
$FBO \rightarrow Other$			n/a	n/a
Government HC \rightarrow Other			-0.540 (1.122)	-0.482
Government Hospital Other			1.069 (1.435)	0.745
Government HP \rightarrow Other			n/a	n/a
$NGO \rightarrow Other$			-1.329 (1.072)	-1.239
Other \rightarrow Other			17.972 (2007.458)	0.009
Private Clinic \rightarrow Other			1.475 (0.516) **	2.855
FBO → Private Clinic	-0.278 (1.210)	-0.229	n/a	n/a
Government HC → Private Clinic	0.511 (1.254)	0.407	-1.570 (0.771) *	-2.037
Government Hospital → Private Clinic			0.222 (0.731)	0.303
Government HP \rightarrow Private Clinic			-1.128 (0.655)	-1.723
NGO → Private Clinic	n/a	n/a	-1.570 (0.571) **	-2.748
Other \rightarrow Private Clinic			-0.029 (0.570)	-0.052
Private Clinic \rightarrow Private Clinic	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
M ₄ : Assortative mixing, based on HIV/FP service provision				
Both \rightarrow Both	-0.156 (0.417)	-0.374	-0.466 (0.469)	-0.963
$FP \rightarrow Both$			-0.228 (0.483)	-0.337
$HIV \rightarrow Both$	-0.437 (0.285)	-1.534	-0.647 (0.677)	-1.226
$Both \rightarrow FP$			-2.325 (1.128) *	-2.062
$FP \rightarrow FP$			n/a	n/a
$HIV \rightarrow FP$			-0.767 (1.212)	-0.633
$Both \rightarrow HIV$	-0.501 (0.290)	-1.726	-1.116 (0.546) *	-2.043
$FP \rightarrow HIV$			-0.767 (1.212)	-0.633
$HIV \rightarrow HIV$	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
M ₅ : Node covariance, based on client-to-staff ratio	1.277-4 (7.406-5)	0.172	-8.686 ⁻⁵ (3.542 ⁻⁵) *	-2.452
M ₆ : Node covariance, based on client-to-clinical staff ratio	-1.659 ⁻⁴ (7.180 ⁻⁵)	-0.231	-4.740 ⁻⁵ (2.009 ⁻⁵) *	-2.359

M ₇ : Node covariance, based on client-to-non-clinical staff ratio	-9.580 ⁻⁵ (1.063 ⁻⁵)	-0.901	-2.472 ⁻⁵ (1.035 ⁻⁵) *	-2.388
M_8 : Edge covariance, based on driving distance	5.500 ⁻⁵ (3.790 ⁻⁵)	1.452	-1.650 ⁻⁵ (2.520 ⁻⁵)	-0.656

Statistical significance codes: $'^{***'} \le 0.001$; $'^{**'} \le 0.01$; $'^{*'} \le 0.05$.

Condensed Summary of ERGM Analysis Results for Network Models (M₁-M₈)

_	Kirkos		Kolfe Keranyo	
	MLE (s.e.)	Z-score	MLE (s.e.)	Z-score
M ₁ : Uniform homophily, based on <i>organization type</i>	0.521 (0.213) *	-11.963	0.416 (0.219)	1.902
M ₂ : Differential homophily, based on <i>organization type</i>				
FBO	0.847 (0.423) *	2.002	n/a	n/a
NGO	0.490 (0.226) *	2.169	0.116 (0.445)	0.261
M ₃ : Assortative mixing, based on organization type				
Private Clinic \rightarrow Government HC	n/a	n/a	0.936 (0.439) *	2.134
NGO $ ightarrow$ Government Hospital			2.679 (1.122) *	2.388
Private Clinic $ ightarrow$ Government HP			-2.298 (1.045) *	-2.198
Private Clinic \rightarrow NGO	0.000 (0.864)	0.000	-2.298 (0.759) **	-3.029
Private Clinic \rightarrow Other			1.475 (0.516) **	2.855
Government HC \rightarrow Private Clinic	0.511 (1.254)	0.407	-1.570 (0.771) *	-2.037
$NGO \rightarrow Private Clinic$	n/a	n/a	-1.570 (0.571) **	-2.748
M ₄ : Assortative mixing, based on HIV/FP service provision				
Both o FP			-2.325 (1.128) *	-2.062
$Both \rightarrow HIV$	-0.501 (0.290)	-1.726	-1.116 (0.546) *	-2.043
M ₅ : Node covariance, based on <i>client-to-staff ratio</i>	1.277 ⁻⁴ (7.406 ⁻⁵)	0.172	-8.686 ⁻⁵ (3.542 ⁻⁵) *	-2.452
M ₆ : Node covariance, based on <i>client-to-clinical staff ratio</i>	-1.659 ⁻⁴ (7.180 ⁻⁵)	-0.231	-4.740 ⁻⁵ (2.009 ⁻⁵) *	-2.359
M ₇ : Node covariance, based on <i>client-to-non-clinical staff ratio</i>	-9.580 ⁻⁵ (1.063 ⁻⁵)	-0.901	-2.472 ⁻⁵ (1.035 ⁻⁵) *	-2.388
M ₈ : Edge covariance, based on <i>driving distance</i>	5.500 ⁻⁵ (3.790 ⁻⁵)	1.452	-1.650 ⁻⁵ (2.520 ⁻⁵)	-0.656

Non-significant results were excluded from this table. Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' "n/a" represents those measure