Summary of Key Sub-Group Differences by Respondents' Level of Integration Experience

		Micro-Level (Mi, n=21)		Meso-Le	vel (Me, n=43)	Macro-Level (Ma, n=26)		
	Survey Item ¹	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	
1 st Round – all respondents	Usefulness of concept of "Roles Mental Model"2*	6.14>Me,Ma	0.96	5.37	1.46	5.08	1.55	
	Usefulness of framework for managing integration*	5.52>Ma	1.63	5.07	1.18	4.50	1.48	
		Micro-Leve	el (Mi, n=16)	Meso-Le	vel (Me, n=32)	Macro-Level (Ma, n=20)		
	Survey Item ¹	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	
1 st Round – second round respondents	Usefulness of concept of "Roles Mental Model"2*	6.31>Me,Ma	0.87	5.31	1.50	5.15	1.50	
1	Usefulness of framework for managing integration*	5.81>Ma	1.33	5.22	1.29	4.66	1.42	
	Comprehensiveness of definition of Strategy Mental Model**	5.75>Ma	0.86	5.44>Ma	1.01	4.85	0.81	
	Usefulness of concept of "Strategy Mental Model"*	5.75>Ma	0.93	5.19	1.40	4.8	1.36	
		Micro-Leve	el (Mi, n=16)	Meso-Le	vel (Me, n=32)	Macro-Level (Ma, n=20)		
	Survey Item ¹	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	
2 nd Round – all respondents	Usefulness of the framework for managing integration*	6.13>Me	0.72	5.60	0.84	6.10>Me	0.97	

¹ Only survey items for which there are significant differences across sub-groups are listed ² Later renamed "Relationships Mental Model"

A greater than (>) sign is used to denote significant group differences

^{*}p<0.05

^{**}p<0.01

Summary of Key Sub-Group Differences by Respondents' Current Role

		Manager/Administrator or Consultant (M, n=53)			Care Provider or dvocate (C, n=14)		cher/Academic cator (R, n=11)	Policymaker (P, n=12)		
	Survey Item ¹	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	
1 st Round – all respondents	Usefulness of framework for interpreting integration*	5.34>R	1.34	5.21	0.97	4.36	1.36	4.83	1.03	
	Usefulness of framework for planning integration**	5.74>C,R	1.29	5.14	0.86	4.55	1.63	5.17	1.27	
	Usefulness of framework for managing integration*	5.19>P	1.44	5.00	1.41	4.81	1.60	4.42	1.08	
	Usefulness of framework for evaluating integration*	5.57>R	1.40	5.21	1.05	4.55	1.44	5.25	0.97	
		Manager/Administrator or Consultant (M, n=41)			Care Provider or		cher/Academic	Policymaker (P,		
	Correct It and				dvocate (C, n=11)		cator (R, n=8) SD	n=8) Mean SD		
1 st Round – second round respondents	Survey Item¹ Usefulness of framework for planning integration*	Mean 5.93>C,R	SD 1.21	Mean 5.09	SD 0.94	Mean 4.63	1.85	Mean 5.50	1.20	
, especialess	Usefulness of framework for implementing integration*	5.51>C	1.53	4.64	0.92	5.25	1.39	5.00	1.20	
2 nd Round – all respondents	N/A									

¹ Only survey items for which there are significant differences across sub-groups are listed.

A greater than (>) sign is used to denote significant group differences

^{*}p<0.05 **p<0.01

Summary of Key Sub-Group Differences by Respondents' Current Workplace^{1,2}

		Coordinating or Advisory Body (CA, n=14)		Hospital (H, n=10)		Community, Home, Long-Term, Care (C, n=9)		Ministry / Government (M, n=7)		RHA/DHA or LHIN (R, n=8)		Primary Care (P, n=7)		Professional Association or College (Pr, n=5)	
1 st Round – all respondents	Survey Item ³ N/A	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
1 st Round – second round respondents	N/A														
2 nd Round – all respondents	Usefulness of concept of "Roles Mental Model"*	4.64	1.01	5.90 >CA	0.88	5.33 >CA	1.00	5.29	1.80	6.25 >CA	0.71	6.00 >CA	0.82	6.20 >CA	0.84
	Usefulness of framework for interpreting integration*	5.00	0.96	5.80	0.92	6.11 >M	0.60	4.86	1.07	5.88 >CA >M	0.64	5.89	0.69	6.40 >CA >M	0.55

^{1 &}quot;University/Research Institute" is not included in the table because responses from this sub-group were not significantly different from those of any other sub-group

A greater than (>) sign is used to denote significant group differences

² Only sample size for the second round is reported in the table

³ Only survey items for which there are significant differences across sub-groups are listed

⁴Later renamed "Relationships Mental Model"

^{*}p<0.05