Green = Criterion met; Orange = Insufficient information to judge whether criterion met; Red = Criterion not met; See references for publication details

CRITERIA		STUDY																										
		Chomitz *et al*	Gosden	Scott 2001	Ubach *et al*	Wordsworth *et al*	Penn-Kekana *et al*	Scott *et al* 2007	Mangham and Hanson	Hanson and Jack	Kolstad	Blaauw *et al*	Kruk *et al*	Vujicic *et al* (Liberia)	Vujicic *et al* (Vietnam)	Silvey *et al*	Bundeth *et al*	Lagarde *et al* (Mali)	Lagarde *et al* (Thailand)	Rockers *et al*	Rao *et al*	Lagarde *et al* 2012	Miranda *et al*	Huicho *et al*	Scott *et al* 2012	Jaskiewicz *et al*	Ageyi-Baffour *et al*	Song *et al*
CHOICE TASK DESIGN	Attributes and levels grounded in qualitative work with target population																											
	No conceptual overlap between attributes																											
	Uni-dimensional attributes																											
	Opt-out/status quo option or justification of forced choice																											
EXPERIMENTAL DESIGN	Experimental design optimal or statistically efficient																											
CONDUCT	Piloting conducted amongst target population																											
	Target population(s) appropriate for research objective																											
	Sampling frame representative of target population																											
	Response rate sufficient to minimise response bias																											
ANALYSIS	Any pooled analysis from different subgroups appropriate																											
	Econometric model appropriate for choice task design																											
	Econometric model accounts for serial correlation of choices																											
	Relative attribute effects compared using a common metric																											