Additional File 1: ITW tool components and Patient-Reported Experience Measures questionnaire items

Table S1 ITW tool components

Dimension and items	Criteria	Quantifi- cation ^a
Team structure		
Composition of the team ^b	• \geq 6 members / 8 = 3; < 6 members / 8 = 0	0 to 3
Team meeting		0 to 3
 Formal meeting schedule 	• Yes = 1,5; No = 0	
Frequency	$\bullet \le \text{monthly} = 1.5; > 1 \text{monthly} = 0$	
Team processes		
Shared philosophy among	 Seeking team tasks to be performed 	0 to 1
team members	Yes = 1; No = 0	
Coordination mechanisms		0 to 3
and tools		
 Clear professional roles 	• Access to written referral criteria to various	
with reference criteria	team members	
	Yes = 1; No = 0	
 Patient's needs assessment 	• Ongoing utilization of assessment tools	
tools	Yes = 1; No = 0	
• Interdisciplinary care plan	 Written and shared care plans Yes = 1; No = 0 	
Leadership, responsibilities,	 Identified oncology-related medical leader 	0 to 2
and processes shared	Yes = 1; No = 0	
among clinicians and	 Identified first-line manager with clinical 	
managers	expertise in cancer care	
	Yes = 1; No = 0	
Quality assessment		0 to 10
activities		
 Continuing education 	• Regular activity program = 2	
D 1 11 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	Occasionally = 1; None = 0	
Backup behaviors (support	• Planned co-development and	
between teams members)	debriefing = 2; Occasionally = 1; None = 0	
• Identified quality manager	• Yes = 2; No = 0	
 Regular patient satisfaction survey 	• Yes = 2; No = 0	
• Team effectiveness	• Regular activity program = 2;	
evaluation	Occasionally = 1; None = 0	

^a Transformation for a total of 0 to 10 ITW intensity score
^b According to Cancer directorate, Ministry of Health

Table S2 Six dimensions of the Patient-Reported Experience Measures questionnaire items

Item	Question: In the past 12 months		
Prompt	access to care		
1	On weekdays it was possible to contact a professional at the cancer clinic by		
	phone when needed		
2	On weekdays it was possible to consult the cancer clinic on the same day in cases of emergency or complications		
3	During evenings, at night and on weekends it was possible to contact a		
	hospital professional by phone in cases of emergency or complications		
4	The amount of time spent in the waiting room before a consultation was		
	reasonable		
Parcan-	centred response		
5	Professionals provided you with the information you needed within a		
3	reasonable time given your health status		
6	Professionals asked for your consent before beginning a treatment or test		
7	Professionals addressed all your needs		
8	The confidentiality of your personal information was respected		
9	Your close relatives were encouraged to be present as much as you wanted		
	of patient-professional communication		
10	Professionals listened to you attentively		
11	Professionals gave you simple explanations that were easy to understand		
12	Professionals provided you with enough time to ask all the questions you had		
10	about your illness and treatments		
13	Professionals encouraged you to participate as much as you wanted in		
1.4	decisions regarding your care, treatment and tests		
14	Professionals helped you assess the "pros" and "cons" regarding care,		
	treatment and tests		
Quality	of care environment		
15	Professionals treated you with respect		
16	The waiting room was comfortable		
17	The administrative staff treated you respectfully		
18	Tests and treatments were conducted in a way that respected your physical		
	privacy		
19	You were able to speak privately with the professionals at the clinic		
Continu	uity of care		
20	The information about your cancer and treatments was sent to your family		
20	The information about your current and treatments was sent to your failing		

doctor

Item	Question: In the past 12 months			
21	You were informed of the different steps in your treatment and care			
22	Someone helped you obtain the care you needed			
23	At least one professional was well informed about your situation			
24	The professionals gave you contradictory information			
25	One of the professionals consulted was not aware of your recent medical history			
26	You had to redo tests			
27	A professional you consulted was not aware of the treatment changes recommended by another professional			
28	You had to repeat information that should have been in your medical file			
Results of care				
29	Professionals helped you control your pain and symptoms			
30	Professionals helped you manage your emotions and stress regarding your illness			
31	Professionals motivated you to adopt or maintain good lifestyle habits such as eating healthy, exercising and quitting smoking			
32	Professionals encouraged you to follow your treatments			
33	Professionals met your overall needs			

Table S3 Questionnaire items measuring sociodemographic, clinical, and organizational characteristics

Item	– Variable			
Patients' sociodemographic characteristics				
1	Age	18-49 years; 50-69 years; 70 years and older		
2	Sex	Male; Female		
3	Education level (completed)	Primary; Secondary; Business college/CEGEP**; University		
4	Self-assessed health status	Poor; Good		
5	Emotional distress*	Low; High		
Patient	s' clinical characteristics			
6	Time since diagnosis	< 1 year; 1-3 years; ≥ 3 years		
7	Cancer type	Colorectal, female genital, prostate, hematopoietic, breast, head and neck, bronchopulmonary, other		
8	Treatment type (in the past 12 month)	Chemotherapy; radiotherapy; surgery; other; none		
19	Comorbidities	No comorbidity; 1-3 comorbidities; more than 3 comorbidities		
Organi	zational characteristics			
10	Mandate	Local mandate; regional mandate		
11	Academic affiliation	University hospital; community hospital		
12	Geographic location	Urban; semi-rural; rural		
13	Cancer team size and diversity	Cancer team with 8 professionals from different disciplines and more = large; fewer than 8 = small)		

^{*} Emotional distress (6 items)

^{**} In Quebec, Business college/CEGEP are post-secondary institutions that provide pre-university education (2 years) or specialized vocational programs (3 years)