Study	Journal	Language	Disease	Intervention	Funding	Evaluation	Type stated	Routine Data (RD) source	Use of RD	Only RD?	Study Size	Perspective	Time Frame
Abbas et al. 2013 [18]	Rehabilitat ion (Stuttg)	GER	SPECIFIC cerebral infarction/ stroke; ICD-10 163, 164; after discharge; geriatric patients	HCP geriatric departments in hospitals (§109 SGB V) VS geriatric rehabilitation facilities (§111 SGB V)	PUBLIC Hessian Ministry of Social Affairs	CCA	no	Insurance AOK WIdO AOK Bayern, Hessen Niedersachsen, Rheinland Pfalz, Saarland, Sachsen, Thüringen	costs and effects	yes	n = 3472	payer statutory nursing care and health insurance	1 year
Abbas et al. 2015 [19]	Z Gerontol Geriatr	GER	SPECIFIC femur fractures; ICD- 10: S72; after discharge; geriatric patients	HCP geriatric departments in hospitals (§109 SGB V) VS out-of- hospital rehabilitation facilities (§111 SGB V)	PUBLIC Hessian Ministry of Social Affairs	CCA	no	Insurance AOK WIdO AOK Bayern, Hessen Niedersachsen, Rheinland Pfalz, Saarland, Sachsen, Thüringen	costs and effects	yes	n = 6089	not stated	1 year
Achelrod et al. 2016 [20]	Eur J Health Econ	ENG	1 SPECIFIC COPD patients; ICD- GM-10 (J44)	HCP telemonitoring for COPD patients VS no telemonitoring	INDUSTRY AOK Bayern and SHL Telemedizin	CEA	yes	Insurance AOK Bayern	costs and effects	yes	n = 7698	payer statutory health insurance	1 year
Achelrod et al. 2016 [21]	Health Policy	ENG	SPECIFIC chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) patients; ICD-GM-10 (J44)	HCP disease management program (DMP) for COPD patients VS no participation in any DMP for COPD	PUBLIC German Academic Research Foundation (DFG)	CEA	yes	Insurance Barmer GEK	costs and effects	yes	n = 215104	payer statutory health insurance	3 years

Additional file 1: Study characteristics presented for each included study according to structured template

Outcomes	Costs	Summary	Sel. Bias	Methods	Details on	Data	Handling of	Software
		Measure unit	considered	for Sel. Bias	Methods	linkage	Uncertainty	
mortality; rehospitalization due to ischemic stroke; rehospitalization due to fracture	costs for inpatient and outpatient care with and without long-term care	Seperate differences in mortality and rehospitalization as hazard ratio (HR); costs in euros	yes	regression analysis	quantile regression for excess cost analysis, treatment and further co- variables as variables; cox regression to measure influence of treatment on time to death and rehospitalization, various confounders	not applicable for external sources; not addressed for linkage of inpatient and outpatient data	confidence intervals	SAS 9.2; MS-SQL-Server 2008
mortality; rehospitalization due to femoral fracture	costs for inpatient and outpatient care with and without long-term care	Seperate differences in mortality and rehospitalization as HR; costs in euros	yes	regression analysis	quantile regression for excess cost analysis, treatment and further co- variables as variables; multivariate cox regression to measure influence of treatment on time to death and rehospitalization, various confounders	not applicable for external sources; not addressed for linkage of inpatient and outpatient data	confidence intervals	SAS 9.2
mortality; years of life lost; number of hospitalizations; number of outpatient physician visits; average length of stay; proportion of hospitalized patients; number of pharmaceutical prescriptions	direct medical costs for inpatient and outpatient treatment, pharmaceuticals, and rehabilitation	ICER and seperate ICER not applicable, mortality HR; cost savings in euros	yes	entropy balancing and difference-in- difference	 (1) reweighting algorithm to remove imbalances in the mean and variance of pre- specified, observed covariates (e.g. age, sex) (2) DiD estimation to determine differences in outcomes due to unobserved factors, comparing differences after and before intervention 	not applicable for external sources; not addressed for linkage of inpatient and outpatient data	change in response to (1) exclusion of deceased individuals, (2) truncation of high- cost cases and (3) intention-to-treat analysis	not stated
mortality; morbidity; number of inpations days/stay; average length of stay; proportion of hospitalized patients; number and proportion of outpatient physician visits; number of prescriptions and exacerbations	direct medical costs for inpatient and outpatient treatment, pharmaceuticals, rehabilitation and devices/medical appliances; administrative expenses for the DMP	ICER and seperate euros per life year gained; mortality rate; mortality hazards ratio; indicator values of morbiditay costs in euros	yes	entropy balancing and difference-in- difference	 (1) reweighting algorithm to remove imbalances in the mean and variance of pre- specified, observed covariates (e.g. age, sex) (2) DiD estimation to determine differences in outcomes due to unobserved factors, comparing differences after and before intervention 	not applicable for external sources; not addressed for linkage of inpatient and outpatient data	impact of (1) mortality, (2) misdiagnosis of COPD, (3) exposure time to DMP and (4) drop-out from DMP	not stated

Study	Journal	Language	Disease	Intervention	Funding	Evaluation Type	Type stated	Routine Data (RD) source	Use of RD	Only RD?	Study Size	Perspective	Time Frame of analysis
Aljutaili et al. 2014 [22]	BMC Health Serv Res	ENG	SPECIFIC CHD (coronary heart disease) prevention	HCP KardioPro (individualised prevention program to prevent CHD) VS non- participants	PUBLIC AND INDUSTRY SBK and Helmholtz Zentrum München	CEA	yes	Insurance SBK (Siemens- Betriebskrankenk asse)	costs and effects	yes	n = 26224	payer statutory health insurance	mean observation period 2.4 years
Bäumler et al. 2012 [2]	Appl Health Econ Health Policy	ENG	SPECIFIC patients with acute myocardial infarction (AMI); ICD 10-I21	MP drug eluting stents (DES) VS bare-metal stents (BMS)	PUBLIC Technical University of Berlin	CEA	yes	Insurance TK (Techniker Krankenkasse)	costs and effects	yes	n = 1438	payer statutory health insurance	1 year
Bäumler et al. 2014 [23]	Int J Technol Assess Health Care	ENG	SPECIFIC patients who underwent a penetrating keraplasty	MP human leukocyte antigen matching VS no matching	PUBLIC German Academic Research Foundation (DFG)	CEA	yes	Hospital Freiburg University Eye Hospital	effects	no	n = 721	payer statutory health insurance	6 years and 2 months
Bischoff- Everding et al. 2016 [24]	Int J Womens Health	ENG	SPECIFIC women with monorrhagia; ICD-10-GM-N92.0, N92.1 and N92.3-6	MP endometrial radiofrequency ablation VS other ablation techniques	INDUSTRY Hologic Deutschland GmbH	CCA	no	Insurance SHI database (not specified)	costs and effects	yes	n = 88	payer statutory health insurance	2 years and 3 months
Drabik et al. 2012 [25]	Diabetes Res Clin Pract	ENG	SPECIFIC type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM)	HCP DMP VS routine care	NONE	CEA	yes	Insurance Barmer GEK	costs and effects	yes	n = 39776	payer statutory health insurance	19 years

Outcomes	Costs	Summary	Sel. Bias	Methods	Details on	Data	Handling of	Software
		Measure unit	considered	for Sel. Bias	Methods	linkage	Uncertainty	
event-free time in days (myocardial infarction, stroke, death); death-free time in days	hospital costs; pharmaceutical costs; physician costs; other costs (e.g. physiotherapy, laboratory resources, services like acupuncture and sickness benefits)	ICER and seperate euros per event free year in different subgroups; event free time in days; costs in euros	yes	propensity score matching	propensity score (PS) computed by logistic regression; stepwise variable selection; approximate nearest neighbour 1:1 maching without replacement; baseline comparison after matching	not applicable for external sources; not addressed for linkage of inpatient and outpatient data	deterministic approach with alternate discount rates bootstrapping with 10.000 on cost and effect samples to calculate ICER	SAS 9.2
mortality (365-day survival)	inpatient costs (mean cost of treatment, incremental cost 30 days after implantation)	ICER and seperate euros per life saved; average costs in euros; difference in 365- day survival in percent	yes	propensity score matching	PS computed by logistic regression; theoretical considerations and statistical methods (fast false selection rate) for variable selection; 1:1 optimal matching with replacement; calliper of 0.0005; goodness of fit by standardized differences (SDF)	not applicable for external sources; not addressed for linkage of inpatient and outpatient data	bootstrapping for ICER, restricted definition of costs, re-estimating model, stratified analysis	SAS 9.2
graft survival	direct costs (costs of graft, cost of hospital admission, cost of keratoplasty procedure, cost of follow-up visists, medication during follow-up)	ICER and seperate euros per additional day of graft survival; rejection-free graft survival time in days; incremental costs in euros	yes	propensity score matching	PS computed by logistic regression; a priori selection of variables; 1:1 nearest neighbor matching with replacement; calliper of 0.01; SDF to evaluate goodness-of- fit (>10%)	not applicable	multivariate sensitivity analysis regarding (1) extrapolation of survival and (2) cost of intervention	SAS
rate of relapse (rate of repeat diagnoses of menorrhagia after index treatment); number of uterine treatments for recurrent menorrhagia	average costs of inpatient care; outpatient care; pharmaceuticals (outpatient setting); sick pay; remedies; medical aids; total costs	Seperate percentage of repeat diagnoses and frequency of hysterectomy; additional costs in euros	yes	propensity score matching	PS computed by logistic regression; a priori selection of variables; GenMath matching algorithm with a caliper of 0.5; SDF and check of distributions after matching	not applicable for external sources; not addressed for linkage of inpatient and outpatient data	no	SAS 9.2; Microsoft Office Excel 2010; R for GenMatch algorithm
life years gained; number of inpatient stays; duration of inpatient stays	average basic total costs (drug costs and hospital costs)	ICER and seperate euros per life-year gained; life years; costs in euros	yes	propensity score matching	PS computed by logistic regression; stepwise variable selection; 1:1 matching without replacement with Parson's 5 to 1 method; SDF to evaluate goodness-of-fit (<10%)	not applicable for external sources; not addressed for linkage of inpatient and outpatient data	sensitivity analysis with discount rates of 0 and 7 %	SAS 9.2; R 2.10.1

Study	Journal	Language	Disease	Intervention	Funding	Evaluation Type	Type stated	Routine Data (RD) source	Use of RD	Only RD?	Study Size	Perspective	Time Frame of analysis
Drabik et al. 2012 [26]	Popul Health Manag	ENG	SPECIFIC type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM)	HCP DMP VS routine care	NOT SPECIFIED	CEA	no	Insurance Barmer GEK	costs and effects	yes	n = 39776	not stated	3 years
Drabik et al. 2012 [27]	Z Evid Fortbild Qual Gesundhw es	GER	SPECIFIC type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM)	HCP DMP VS routine care	NOT SPECIFIED	CCA	no	Insurance Barmer GEK	costs and effects	yes	n = 39776	not stated	3 years
Driessen et al. 1999 [28]	Nervenarz t	GER	SPECIFIC alcohol-dependent patients	HCP extended alcohol withdrawal treatment program (II) VS medical detoxification program (I)	NOT SPECIFIED	CCA	yes	Insurance AOK Lübeck	costs and effects	yes	n = 94	not stated	10 years
Freund et al. 2016 [29]	Ann Intern Med	ENG	MORE THAN ONE MEDICAL INDICATION type 2 diabetes, COPD, chronic heart failure, high likelihood of hospitalization	HCP protocol-based care management by medical assistants VS routine care	INDUSTRY AOK Baden- Wuerttembe rg and AOK Bundesverb and	CCA	no	Insurance AOK Baden- Württemberg	effects	no	n = 2076	not stated	1 year
Frey et al. 2014 [30]	Eur J Health Econ	ENG	SPECIFIC patients hospitalized with schizophrenia; ICD-10-GM F20.x	Pharmaceutical long-acting injectable risperidone (LAI-RIS) VS long-acting injectable flupentixol (LAI-FLX)	INDUSTRY Bayer Vital	CEA	yes	Insurance TK (Techniker Krankenkasse)	costs and effects	yes	n = 935	payer statutory health insurance	2 years

Outcomes	Costs	Summary	Sel. Bias	Methods	Details on	Data	Handling of	Software
mortality	mean daily costs (drug costs and hospital costs); total costs (including DMP lump sum for administration and medical costs)	Seperate mean survival time in days; daily hospital and total costs in euros	yes	propensity score matching	PS computed by logistic regression; stepwise variable selection; 1:1 matching without replacement with Parson's 5 to 1 method; SDF for goodness-of-fit (<10%)	not applicable for external sources; not addressed for linkage of inpatient and outpatient data	no	SAS 9.2
mortality; complications; number of inpatient stays; duration of inpatient stays	average basic total costs (drug costs and hospital costs)	Seperate mortality in absolute deaths and mortality rate; costs in euros	yes	propensity score matching	PS computed by stepwise logistic regression; matching with Parson's 5 to 1 method; 1:1 nearest neighbor approach without replacement; SDF to evaluate goodness-of-fit (<10%)	not applicable for external sources; not addressed for linkage of inpatient and outpatient data	no	SAS 9.2
number of hospitalizations; length of hositalizations; days of incapacity to work; days of financial substitution for incapacity to work	total inpatient cost; treatment cost; therapy cost; inpatient cost	Seperate number of hospitalizations in days; length of stay in days; days of financial support; costs in euros	no	none	-	not applicable for external sources; not addressed for linkage of inpatient and outpatient data	no	SPSS
number of hospitalizations; mortality; quality of life (patient-reported)	intervention costs	Seperate number of hospitalizations and relative risk (RR); HR of mortality; quality-of-life scores; intervention costs in euros	yes	cluster- randomized trial	random allocation of primary care practices; 1:1 block randomization with variable block lengths; stratified randomization according to poppulation density; blinded assessment of end points by statistician	not addressed	sensitivity analysis with multivariate models	R 3.2.0 for Windows (glmmADMB and coxme package); Case Smart Suite Germany 0.7
days in hospital; probability of c- medication; 1-year treatment discontinuation in percent; 2-year treatment discontinuation in percent	inpatient treatment cost; outpatient treatment cost; antipsychotic medication cost; co- medication cost; total cost	ICER and seperate incremental costs per hospital day avoided; days in hospital; costs in euros	yes	regression analysis	multivariate regression methods to adjust for patient heterogeneity; cost, hospital days and probability of co- medication as function of effectiveness conditioning on risk-adjusted covariates	not applicable (model)	alternate structural specifications of the model; probabilistic sensitiity analyses (Monte-Carlo, 10.000 iterations); sampling of normal correlated coefficients for each covariate; subgroup analysis	SAS 9.2; R (stats- and pscl packages) for statistical analysis; MS Excel for decision analysis model

Study	Journal	Language	Disease	Intervention	Funding	Evaluation	Type stated	Routine Data (RD) source	Use of RD	Only RD?	Study Size	Perspective	Time Frame of analysis
Gaertner et al. 2013 [31]	Health Policy	ENG	SPECIFIC cancer patients in the last 6 months of life; ICD-10 C00-C76	HCP treatment in an inpatients palliative care (PC) unit VS no PC	PUBLIC Dr. Werner Jackstädt- Foundation	CCA	no	Insurance Barmer GEK	costs and effects	yes	n = 1682	payer statutory health insurance	6 months
Goltz et al. 2013 [32]	Pharmaco epidemiol Drug Saf	ENG	SPECIFIC patients with osteoporosis and previous osteoporotic fractures	HCP program of integrated care (IC) VS insurants not enrolled in program	INDUSTRY AOK PLUS	CCA	yes	Insurance AOK PLUS	costs and effects	yes	n = 4910	payer statutory health insurance	3 years
Heinrich et al. 2013 [33]	Osteoporo s Int	ENG	NON-SPECIFIC INTERVENTION	HCP multifactorial fall prevention program VS usual care	PUBLIC German Federal Ministry of Education and Research	CEA	yes	Insurance AOK (Bayern)	costs and effects	yes	n = 33152	payer statutory health insurance	1 year
Hendricks et al. 2014 [34]	Dtsch Arztebl Int	ENG	SPECIFIC patients with chronic heart failure	HCP case management program (CMP) VS German routine care	INDUSTRY participating company health insurers	CCA	no	Insurance not specified	costs and effects	yes	n = 1202	not stated	4 years and 6 months
Karmann et al. 2015 [35]	Eur J Health Econ	ENG	SPECIFIC rotavirus cases	Pharmaceutical rotavirus (RV) vaccination VS no vaccination	INDUSTRY GlaxoSmith Kline	CEA	no	Insurance AOK PLUS	costs and effects	no	360000 observati ons	payer statutory health insurance	4 years

Outcomes	Costs	Summary	Sel. Bias	Methods	Details on	Data	Handling of	Software
		Measure unit	considered	for Sel. Bias	Methods	linkage	Uncertainty	
symptom control (opiod prescription); place of death (hospital); aggressiveness of care (prescription of chemotherapy); end-of- life decisions (prescription of artificial nutrition)	mean total health care costs; mean hospital costs; mean medication costs; additional medical services; remedies	Seperate percentage of patients receiving opioids and chemotherapy; costs in euros	yes	propensity score matching	PS computed by stepwise logistic regression: 1:1 matching without replacement with Parson's 5 to 1 method; SDF to evaluate goodness-of-fit (<10%)	not applicable for external sources; not addressed for linkage of inpatient and outpatient data	confidence intervals	SAS 9.2 for statistical analysis and matching
fracture incidence; time to refracture in days; pain (median uptake of analgesics in DDD); medication supply	costs for osteoporosis- related medication; treatment costs for osteoporosis-related fractures; integrated care program costs	Seperate percentage of medication per year; fracures; costs in euros	yes	1:1 matching	matching osteoporosis insurants based on age, sex and fracture location (not detailed description)	not applicable for external sources; not addressed for linkage of inpatient and outpatient data	no	SPSS 17.0 for statistical analysis
mean number of incident femoral fractures; mean days free of femoral fracture; all cause mortality	costs per resident; mean direct costs for femoral fractures; mean total direct costs including intervention costs	ICER, net benefit and seperate euros per year free of femoral fracture, adjusted NMB for different WTP for a year free of femoral fracture	yes	regression analysis	multivariate regression model; adjustment for age, gender, level of cara, and size of nursing home; regression analysis to analyze costs and cost- effectiveness using NMB	not applicable for external sources; linkage of different sectors for each individual with identification code	sensitivity analyses performed on intervention costs (decrease and increase by 50%)	SAS 9.2 PASW Statistics 20 for statistical analysis
hospital admission rate; hospital readmission rate; mortality; number of contacs with physicians per year; hospital stay duration	mean cost per heart failure-related hospital stay; annual heart failure related hospitalization costs	Seperate rate of hospital admission/readmiss ion in percent; length of stay in days; costs in euros	yes	propensity score matching	stepwise logistic regression to calculate PS; 1:1 matching; baseline comparison after matching	not applicable for external sources; not addressed for linkage of inpatient and outpatient data	confidence intervals	SPSS 19 for statistical analysis
number of RV cases; number of RV cases avoided	direct medical costs (inpatient and outpatient treatment); direct non-medical costs (sickness- benefits); costs of vaccination	ICER and seperate cost savings per avoided case; number of RV cases; cost savings per 1000 children	no	none	-	analysis of inpatient and outpatients cases seperately (not patients) since linkage of inpatient and outpatient treatment within one quarter not possible	univariate sensitivity analyses varying sensitive parameters (incidence rate, days absence from work) until net cost savings faded out	MS Excel for model

Study	Journal	Language	Disease	Intervention	Funding	Evaluation	Type stated	Routine Data	Use of	Only RD2	Study Size	Perspective	Time Frame
Kessel et al. 2015 [36]	Expert Rev Med Devices	ENG	SPECIFIC women with monorrhagia; ICD-10-GM-N92.0, N92.1 and N92.3-6	MP radiofrequency ablation (RFA) VS hysterectomy	INDUSTRY Hologic Deutschland GmbH	CCA	no	Insurance SHI database (not specified)	costs and effects	yes	n = 214	payer statutory health insurance	2 years and 3 months
Kottmair et al. 2005 [37]	Health Care Financ Rev	ENG	SPECIFIC patients with congestive Heart Failure (CHF)	HCP DMP VS routine care (RC)	NOT SPECIFIED	CCA	no	Insurance not specified	costs and effects	yes	n = 185	payer health insurance	4 years
Lange et al. 2014 [3]	Spine	ENG	SPECIFIC patients with osteoporotic vertebral compression fractures (OVCFs); ICD-10 808	MP surgical treatment (balloon kyphoplasty (BKP) and percutaneous vertebroplasty (PVP)) VS nonsurgical treatment	INDUSTRY Medtronic International	CEA	no	Insurance AOK Niedersachsen	costs and effects	yes	n = 3607	payer statutory health insurance	5 years
Laux et al. 2013 [38]	Z Evid Fortbild Qual Gesundhw es	GER	NON-SPECIFIC INTERVENTION	HCP family-doctor centered care (HzV) enrollment VS no enrollment in HzV	NOT SPECIFIED	CCA	no	Insurance AOK Baden- Wuerttemberg	costs and effects	yes	n = 1443161	not stated	1 year

Outcomes	Costs	Summary	Sel. Bias	Methods	Details on	Data	Handling of	Software
		Measure unit	considered	for Sel. Bias	Methods	linkage	Uncertainty	
type and number of post-surgical morbidities during QoT; complications in delected ICD codes; number of repeated diagnoses and surgical re-treatments	cost of medication; outpatient physician consultations; remedies; medical aids; sick pay hospital and dental consultations, total direct costs	Seperate percentage of patients with relevant morbidities needing surgical retreatments; mean number of recodings; costs in euros	yes	propensity score matching combined with exact matching	propensity score matching according to Rosenbaum and Rubin; exact matching by age class; 1:3 nearest neighbor matching with caliper of 0.4; baseline comparison after matching	not applicable for external sources; not addressed for linkage of inpatient and outpatient data	confidence intervals	SAS 9.2 MS Excel 2010 for calculations and data preparations
proportion of patients with edemas (patient- reported); quality of care expressed in terms of adherence to therapy guidelines	total annual health care expenditures of insurance company	Seperate examples for proportions in percent	in part	pre/post design	-	not applicable for external sources; not addressed for linkage of inpatient and outpatient data	no	not stated
survival rate	total costs; inpatient costs; outpatient costs; pharmacy costs; costs for rehabilitation; sick leave payments; costs for remedies and aids	Seperate survival rate in percent; costs in euros	in part	regression analysis and propensity score matching	multivariate cox regression model to evaluate joint effect of covariates on mortality risk to compare operated and nonoperated patients; propensity score matching to compare BKP and PBP group; logistic regression to determine PS; a priori variable selection; 1:1 nearest neighbor matching without replacement; SDF to evaluate goodness-of-fit	not applicable for external sources; merging of data via an identification number	not detailed; change of date of surgery	not stated
number of familiy doctor visits; number of specialist visits; rate of hospitalizations; duration of hospitalizations; rate of re-hospitalizations; rate of polypharmacy; rate of Me-Too pharmaceuticals	costs of pharmacotherapy	Seperate numbers of visists; rates in percent; costs in euros	yes	regression analysis	multivariate multilevel regression model; covariates: age, sex, nationality, insurance status, morbidity, duration of enrollment in HzV	not applicable for external sources; merging of data via pseudonymised identification number for insurant and pseudonymised lifelong identification number for doctors	confidence intervals	SAS 9.2 for statistical analysis ORACLE MySQL Community Server 5.5 and IBM DB2 Workgroup Server 9.7 for data storage and processing

Study	Journal	Language	Disease	Intervention	Funding	Evaluation	Type stated	Routine Data (RD) source	Use of RD	Only RD?	Study Size	Perspective	Time Frame of analysis
Linder et al. 2011 [39]	Dtsch Arztebl Int	ENG	SPECIFIC type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM)	HCP DMP for T2DM participants VS non- participants	NOT SPECIFIED	CCA	no	Insurance TK (Techniker Krankenkasse)	costs and effects	yes	n = 107590	not stated	2 years
Niedhart et al. 2013 [40]	Z Orthop Unfall	GER	SPECIFIC osteoporosis; ICD-10 M80 or M81	HCP Integrated care model osteoporosis VS routine care	NOT SPECIFIED	CEA	no	Insurance AOK Rheinland, Hamburg	costs and effects	yes	n = 22040	payer statutory health insurance	3 years
Rossaint et al. 2007 [41]	Eur J Trauma Emerg Surg	ENG	SPECIFIC severely injured trauma patients	Pharmaceutical Recombinant activated factor VII (rFVIIa) VS placebo to for control of bleeding in patients with blunt	INDUSTRY Novo Nordisk	CUA	yes	Other German Trauma Registry	costs and effects	no	n = 143	payer statutory health insurance	lifetime
Schneider et al. 2016 [42]	Health Policy	ENG	NON-SPECIFIC INTERVENTION	HCP graded return to work (RTW) program VS non-participants	NOT SPECIFIED	CCA	no	Insurance TK (Techniker Krankenkasse)	costs and effects	yes	n = 11212	payer statutory health insurance	1 year and 6 months

Outcomes	Costs	Summary	Sel. Bias	Methods	Details on	Data	Handling of	Software
		Measure unit	considered	for Sel. Bias	Methods	linkage	Uncertainty	
incidence of specific comorbidities; frequency of emergency inpatient admissions; use of pharmacotherapy; number of outpatient physician contacts; use of outpatient services	hospital costs; drug presciption costs	Seperate DALY-weighted incidence of co- morbidities; drug use in DDD; costs in euros	yes	propensity score matching	propensity score interval matching; a priori selection of variables; baseline comparison after matching	not applicable for external sources; not addressed for linkage of inpatient and outpatient data	no	PASW Statistics 18 and SAS Enterprise Guide V.4.1 for statistical analysis
hospitalization rate for hip fractures	total costs; total hospital costs; fracture- related hospital costs; inpatient care costs; outpatient care costs; total rehabilitation costs; inpatient rehabilitation; outpatient rehabilitation; remedies and medical aids; total and specific drug costs	Seperate hospitalisation rate per 1000 patient years; medication costs in euros	no	none	-	not applicable for external sources; not addressed for linkage of inpatient and outpatient data	no	SAS for statistical analysis
survival time in days; QALY	drug aquisitaion costs; total hospital costs; total lifetime costs	ICER and seperate euros per life-year gained; euros per QALY gained; cost- effectiveness acceptability curve	no	none	-	not applicable (model)	univariate sensitivity analysis to assess impact of key model parameters: mortality risk, discount rate for costs and effects, long- term costs, residual life expectancy, utility values in remaining life years	not stated
days sick; days with sickness benefits; sickness benefits; sickness benefits per day	outpatient costs; hospital costs; pharmaceuticals; other costs; total costs; total costs without outpatient	Seperate sick days; sickness benefits; expenditures	yes	propensity score matching	PS computed by logistic regression; stepwise variable selection; 1:1 matching without replacement (using 4- 2 digit greedy matching); SDF to evaluate goodness-of fit	not applicable for external sources; not addressed for linkage of inpatient and outpatient data	no	not stated

Study	Journal	Language	Disease	Intervention	Funding	Evaluation Type	Type stated	Routine Data (RD) source	Use of RD	Only RD?	Study Size	Perspective	Time Frame of analysis
Schulte et al. 2016 [43]	Z Evid Fortbild Qual Gesundhw es	GER	SPECIFIC coronary heart disease	HCP DMP VS routine care	NOT SPECIFIED	CCA	no	Insurance AOK Baden- Wuerttemberg	costs and effects	yes	n = 382	not stated	3 years
Shearer et al. 2006 [44]	Pharmaco economics	ENG	SPECIFIC type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM)	Pharmaceutical rosiglitazone in combination with other oral agents (two different strategies) VS conventional care (three strategies)	INDUSTRY GlaxoSmith Kline	CUA	yes	Other IMS (International Marketing Services Health) Disease analyser	costs	no	n = 1000	payer statutory health insurance	lifetime
Stargardt et al. 2012 [45]	J Clin Psychoph armacol	ENG	SPECIFIC schizophrenia; ICD-10 F20.0 to F20.9	Pharmaceutical atypical VS typical antipsychotic treatment for schizophrenia	INDUSTRY Janssen Cilag	CCA	no	Insurance TK (Techniker Krankenkasse), AOK Baden- Wuerttemberg, AOK Westfalen- Lippe, AOK Berlin	costs and effects	yes	n = 8610	payer statutory health insurance	1 year
Stargardt et al. 2011 [46]	Psychoph armacolog y (Berl)	ENG	SPECIFIC schizophrenia; ICD-10 F20.x	Pharmaceutical flupentixol VS other first- and second- generation antipsychotics	INDUSTRY Bayer Vital	CCA	no	Insurance TK (Techniker Krankenkasse), AOK Baden- Wuerttemberg, AOK Westfalen- Lippe, AOK Berlin	costs and effects	yes	n = 2890	payer statutory health insurance	1 year
Stargardt et al. 2008 [47]	J Ment Health Policy Econ	ENG	SPECIFIC schizophrenia; ICD-10 F20.0 to F20.9	Pharmaceutical atypical VS typical antipsychotic treatment for schizophrenia	INDUSTRY Janssen Cilag	CCA	no	Insurance TK (Techniker Krankenkasse)	costs and effects	yes	n = 3121	payer statutory health insurance	1 year

Outcomes	Costs	Summary	Sel. Bias	Methods	Details on	Data	Handling of	Software	
		Measure unit	considered	for Sel. Bias	Methods	linkage	Uncertainty		
mortality; guideline adherence (according to prescriptions), number of disease- related hospitalizations	total costs; medication costs; outpatient costs; inpatient costs; costs of inpatient rehabilitation and cure	Seperate survival time; number of prescriptions; total costs per person	yes	propensity score matching combined with exact matching	PS computed by logistic regression; theoretical reasoning for variable selection; exact matching for age, sex, insurance company, at least one CHD diagnosis and cost class; 1:1 nearest neighbor matching without replacement and caliper of 0.02; SDF to evaluate goodness-of-fit	not applicable for external sources; not addressed for linkage of inpatient and outpatient data	no	SPSS for propensity scores; Microsoft SQL scripts for matching	
life years; QALY	inpatient costs; ambulatory costs; rehabilitation costs; diabetes therapy costs; other medication costs; sickness leave	ICER and seperate euros per life-year gained; euros per QALY gained	no	none	-	not applicable (model)	univariate sensitivity analysis with different therapy-switching threshold, discount rate of costs and effects, reduction of costs of rosiglitazone, clinical effectiveness of rosiglitazone treatment	not stated	
rehospitalization rate; mean hospital bed days; adverse effects	cost of inpatient care; cost of antipsychotic treatment; cost of other pharmaceutical treatment	Seperate risk of rehospitalization; days hospitalized; costs in euros	in part	confounding by switching within drug groups considered	to avoid bias through switching withing the two drug groups, analyses focused on patients who did not switch groups	not applicable for external sources; not addressed for linkage of inpatient and outpatient data	no	SAS 9.1 for statistical analysis	
rehospitalization rate; mean hospital bed days; side effects	cost of inpatient care; cost of antipsychotic treatment; cost of other pharmaceutical treatment	Seperate number of hospitalizations; days hospitalized; costs in euros	in part	confounding by severity of disease considered	collection of data on prior hospitalizations with a diagnosis of schizophrenia	not applicable for external sources; not addressed for linkage of inpatient and outpatient data	inclusion of a variable for depot use as a dummy and continuous variable, no considerable impact on comparisons	SAS 9.1 for statistical analysis	
rehospitalization rate; hospital bed days with a diagnosis of schizophrenia per year; number of hospitalizations per year; side effects	cost of inpatient care, cost of antipsychotic treatment, cost for other pharmaceuticals	Seperate days hospitalized; length of stay; number of stays; costs in euros stratified by severity	in part	confounding by severity of disease considered	collection of data on prior hospitalizations with a diagnosis of schizophrenia	not applicable for external sources; not addressed for linkage of inpatient and outpatient data	no	SAS 9.1 for statistical analysis	

Study	Journal	Language	Disease	Intervention	Funding	Evaluation	Туре	Routine Data	Use of	Only	Study	Perspective	Time Frame
Steinke et al. 2016 [48]	Acta Derm Venereol	ENG	SPECIFIC notalgia paraesthetica (NP) and brachioradial pruritus (BRP) (forms of neuropathic pruritus)	Pharmaceutical Capsaicin 8% dermal patch VS routine care	INDUSTRY Astellas Pharma GmbH	CEA	yes	Hospital Center for Chronic Pruritus, Münster	not specified	no	n = 44	payer statutory health insurance	6 months
Stock et al. 2010 [49]	Health Aff (Millwood)	ENG	SPECIFIC type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM)	HCP DMP VS routine care	NOT SPECIFIED	CCA	no	Insurance Barmer Ersatzkasse	costs and effects	yes	n = 39764	not stated	4 years
Walter et al. 2016 [50]	Value in Health	ENG	SPECIFIC patients with a coronary catheterization	MP vascular closing device VS manual compression after diagnostic/interventio nal catherization	PUBLIC German Federal Ministry of Education and Research	CCA	no	Hospital University Hospital Tübingen	costs and effects	yes	n = 8665	service provider hospital	time period of hospital stay

Outcomes	Costs	Summary	Sel. Bias	Methods	Details on	Data	Handling of	Software
		Measure unit	considered	for Sel. Bias	Methods	linkage	Uncertainty	
pruritus intensity; anxiety and depression; Patient Benefit Index score	cost of inpatient treatment; outpatient treatment; medication; travel and other expeses of patient; costs for loss of time for skin care; indirect costs as loss of productivity	ICER and seperate euros per benefit difference	in part	pre/post design	-	not addressed	confidence intervals	SPSS 22.0 for statistical analysis
mortality rates; major complications; average duration of hospital stay; average number of hospitalizations	drug costs; hospital costs	Seperate number and rate of mortality; costs in US dollars	yes	propensity score matching	stepwise logistic regression; a priori selection of variables; 1:1 matching	not applicable for external sources; not addressed for linkage of inpatient and outpatient data	no	SAS 9.2
absolute and relative frequencies of complications; unadjusted realtive risk of complications; length of stay	hospital costs	Seperate unadjusted RR in percent; costs in euros	yes	regression analysis	regression analysis to adjust length of stay and total costs; covariate adjustment using propensity score (not propensity score matching)	not applicable	confidence intervals	SAS 9.3 for statistical analysis; MS Excel and PowerPoint for tables and figures

CCA = cost-consequences analysis; CEA = cost-effectiveness analysis; CUA = cost-utility analysis; DALY = disability-adjusted life years; DDD = defined daily dose; ENG = English; GER = German;

HCP = health care program; ICD-10-GM = international classification of diseases revision 10 German modification; ICER = incremental cost-effectiveness ratio; MP = medical product or procedure; NMB = net monetary benefit; QALY = quality-adjusted life years; QoT = quarter of treatment; SGB = code of social law; VS = versus; WTP = willingness to pay