SRQR Reporting checklist for qualitative study.

Instructions to authors

Complete this checklist by entering the page numbers from your manuscript where readers will find each of the items listed below. SRQR reporting guidelines: O'Brien BC, Harris IB, Beckman TJ, Reed DA, Cook DA. Standards for reporting qualitative research: a synthesis of recommendations. Acad Med. 2014;89(9):1245-1251.

		Reporting Item	Page Number
Title			
	<u>#1</u>	Concise description of the nature and topic of the study identifying the study as qualitative or indicating the approach (e.g. ethnography, grounded theory) or data collection methods (e.g. interview, focus group) is recommended	1
Abstract			
	<u>#2</u>	Summary of the key elements of the study using the abstract format of the intended publication; typically includes background, purpose, methods, results and conclusions	2
Introduction			
Problem formulation	<u>#3</u>	Description and significance of the problem / phenomenon studied: review of relevant theory and empirical work; problem statement	5
Purpose or research question	<u>#4</u>	Purpose of the study and specific objectives or questions	5-6
Methods			
Qualitative approach and research paradigm	<u>#5</u>	Qualitative approach (e.g. ethnography, grounded theory, case study, phenomenolgy, narrative research) and guiding theory if appropriate; identifying the research paradigm (e.g. postpositivist, constructivist / interpretivist) is also recommended; rationale. The rationale should briefly discuss the justification for choosing that theory, approach, method or technique rather than other options available; the assumptions and limitations implicit in those choices and how those choices influence study conclusions and transferability. As appropriate the rationale for several items might be discussed together.	6-8
Researcher characteristics and reflexivity	<u>#6</u>	Researchers' characteristics that may influence the research, including personal attributes, qualifications / experience, relationship with participants, assumptions and / or presuppositions; potential or actual interaction between researchers' characteristics and the research questions, approach, methods, results and / or transferability	6
Context	<u>#7</u>	Setting / site and salient contextual factors; rationale	
Sampling strategy	<u>#8</u>	How and why research participants, documents, or events were selected; criteria for deciding when no further sampling was necessary (e.g. sampling saturation); rationale	6
Ethical issues pertaining to human subjects	<u>#9</u>	Documentation of approval by an appropriate ethics review board and participant consent, or explanation for lack thereof; other confidentiality and data security issues	6
Data collection methods	<u>#10</u>	Types of data collected; details of data collection procedures including (as appropriate) start and stop dates of data collection and analysis, iterative process, triangulation of sources / methods, and modification of procedures in response to evolving study findings; rationale	7-8
Data collection	<u>#11</u>	Description of instruments (e.g. interview guides,	7-8

instruments and technologies		questionnaires) and devices (e.g. audio recorders) used for data collection; if / how the instruments(s) changed over the course of the study	
Units of study	<u>#12</u>	Number and relevant characteristics of participants, documents, or events included in the study; level of	7-8
Data processing	<u>#13</u>	participation (could be reported in results) Methods for processing data prior to and during analysis, including transcription, data entry, data management and	8
		security, verification of data integrity, data coding, and anonymisation / deidentification of excerpts	8
Data analysis	<u>#14</u>	Process by which inferences, themes, etc. were identified and developed, including the researchers involved in data analysis; usually references a specific paradigm or approach; rationale	8
Techniques to enhance trustworthiness	<u>#15</u>	Techniques to enhance trustworthiness and credibility of data analysis (e.g. member checking, audit trail, triangulation); rationale	
Results/findings			
Syntheses and interpretation	<u>#16</u>	Main findings (e.g. interpretations, inferences, and themes); might include development of a theory or model, or integration	9-16
Links to empirical data	<u>#17</u>	with prior research or theory Evidence (e.g. quotes, field notes, text excerpts, photographs) to substantiate analytic findings	9-16
Discussion			
Intergration with prior work, implications, transferability and contribution(s) to the field	<u>#18</u>	Short summary of main findings; explanation of how findings and conclusions connect to, support, elaborate on, or challenge conclusions of earlier scholarship; discussion of scope of application / generalizability; identification of unique contributions(s) to scholarship in a discipline or field	16-22
Limitations	<u>#19</u>	Trustworthiness and limitations of findings	22-23
Other			
Conflicts of interest	<u>#20</u>	Potential sources of influence of perceived influence on study conduct and conclusions; how these were managed	Back materials
Funding	<u>#21</u>	Sources of funding and other support; role of funders in data collection, interpretation and reporting	Back materials

None The SRQR checklist is distributed with permission of Wolters Kluwer © 2014 by the Association of American Medical Colleges. This checklist can be completed online using https://www.goodreports.org/, a tool made by the EQUATOR Network in collaboration with Penelope.ai