Implementing structured follow-up of neonatal and paediatric patients: an evaluation of three university hospital case studies using the functional resonance analysis method

Authors

Véronique Bos¹, Daniëlle Roorda², Eleonore de Sonnaville³, Menne van Boven⁴, Jaap Oosterlaan⁵, Johannes van Goudoever⁵, Niek Klazinga¹ and Dionne Kringos¹

¹ Department of Public and Occupational Health, Amsterdam UMC, University of Amsterdam; and Amsterdam Public Health Research Institute, Amsterdam, Netherlands

² Department of Pediatric Surgery, Emma Children's Hospital, Amsterdam UMC, University of Amsterdam and Vrije Universiteit; and Amsterdam Reproduction and Development, Amsterdam, Netherlands

³ Pediatric Intensive Care Unit, Emma Children's Hospital, Amsterdam UMC, University of Amsterdam, Amsterdam, Netherlands

⁴ Neonatal Intensive Care Unit, Emma Children's Hospital, Amsterdam UMC, University of Amsterdam, Amsterdam, Netherlands

⁵ Follow Me Programme and Emma Neuroscience Group, Department of Pediatrics, Emma Children's Hospital, Amsterdam UMC, University of Amsterdam; and Amsterdam Reproduction and Development, Amsterdam, Netherlands

Corresponding author

Véronique Bos Department of Public and Occupational Health Amsterdam Medical Centre, Amsterdam UMC University of Amsterdam Meibergdreef 9 1105 AZ Amsterdam Netherlands Email: v.l.bos@amsterdamumc.nl

Additional file 4 – Functions generating data and their aspects

Table 1: Work-as-Imagined Follow Me programme - functions generating follow-up data

Function	Aspects (Input, Output, Precondition, Resource, Control, and Time)
2) to collect	I: Invite to questionnaire(s)
PROMs	O: PROMs collected
	P: Questionnaires accessible in KLIK software or 'Mijn Dossier' (patient portal in electronic health
	record), patient access to KLIK or 'Mijn Dossier' (all preconditions modelled as accessible
	questionnaires)
	R: KLIK, 'Mijn Dossier' (modelled as EHR), patient (from age 8 years) or parents (parent proxy
	measurements)
	C: none observed
	T: 2 weeks before appointment at outpatient standardized follow-up programme
3) to conduct	I: schedule
outpatient follow-	O: standardized multidisciplinary follow-up patient data collected, new initiatives, referrals further
up	individual treatment, knowledge about long term outcomes of individual patients treated
	P: financing, commitment involved care professionals, support hospital, support IT, workspace
	R: EHR (EPIC questionnaires, report of the day, 'Smart text'),
	C: completeness of data, multidisciplinary team (present in multidisciplinary meeting), protocol per
	department and condition/disease/treatment infant/child, potential complaints, ad hoc
	interventions needed by project team, expertise centrums, follow-up %, patient satisfaction, word
	of mouth patient families
	T: last follow-up 17 years of age, standardized times to be comparable (both modelled as protocol)
4) to adjust	I: referral from follow Me
treatment or	O: Quality of life outcomes after adjusted treatment due to follow-up
guidance	P: none observed
	R: none observed
	C: none observed
	T: preventive/ timely (asap) proactive due to follow up

Table 2: Work-as-Done functions of the neonatal ICU, location Meibergdreef, generating follow-up

data

Function	Aspects (Input, Output, Precondition, Resource, Control, and Time)
2) to collect PROMs	I: invite
	O: PROMs
	P: none observed
	R: none observed
	C: reminder about two weeks in advance
	T: none observed
3) to conduct follow-up	I: patient at outpatient clinic
programme (clinician)	O: consent form for research purposes
	O: informed patient/family about motor development, growth development, general
	wellbeing and potential medical complaints
	O: patient data registered on motor development, growth development, general wellbeing
	and medical complaints
	O: handover to psychologist
	O: referral to other medical treatment when necessary
	P: schedule
	R: pre-scripted questions in EHR on general wellbeing, movement assessment battery for
	children (OBS1), Movement ABC (OBS2), scale and measuring tape, EHR, trained medical
	doctors specialized in neonatal check ups
	C: none observed
	T: takes about 1.5 hours (patient (child) asks parents how much longer it will take)

4) to conduct follow-up	I: patient at outpatient clinic
programme	O: patient data on cognitive development, referral when necessary
(psychologist)	P: schedule
	R: trained psychologist, PROMs, informed psychologist on clinical follow up, BSID-III-NL (24 months of age), WPPSI-II-NL (5,5 years of age), WISC-V-NL (8 years of age)
	C: none observed
	T: duration 1.5-2 hours

Table 3 Work-as-Done functions neonatal ICU, location Boelelaan, generating follow-up data

Function	Aspects (Input, Output, Precondition, Resource, Control, and Time)
3) to collect PROMs	l: invite
	O: paper based PROMs
	P: none observed
	R: paper based guestionnaires ('Lexilijst Nederlands', behavioural guestionnaire for children
	1.5 until 5 years of age)
	C: none observed
	T: none observed
6) to conduct follow-up	I: patient in waiting room (digital cue in EHR scheduling module, modelled as EHR)
(psychologist)	O: patient data on cognitive development on paper, refer to desk to check in for further follow
()	un
	P: schedule
	R: CBCL/(lexiliisten' natient summary naner checklist FHR trained medical nsychologist in
	neonatal follow-up. Bayley scales of infant development
	C: none observed
	T: none observed
9) to weigh and	I: nations at outnations clinic
measure nationt	O: bandover from doctor's assistant nationt data on growth development in EHR
(doctor's assistant)	D: none observed
	P: none observed P: scale measuring tane EHP trained dector's assistant nationt general questionnaire in EHP
	(modelled as EHD)
	(induction as critic)
	C. hole observed
10) to conduct fallow	1. Holle observed
10) to conduct follow-	i: patient in waiting room (digital cue in EHK scheduling module) and handover from doctor s
up (neonatologist)	assistant
	O: patient data registered on growth development (when doctor's assistant is absent),
	general wellbeing and medical complaints
	P: schedule
	R: EHR, trained specialized in neonatal care, scale and measuring tape, patient summary
	C: none observed
	I: none observed
11) to conduct follow-	I: coordination doctor's assistant
up (physiotherapist)	O: patient data registered on motor development on paper, feedback patient on motor
	development
	P: schedule
	R: trained physiotherapist specialized in neonatal follow-up, patient summary, TOP results
	(physiotherapy primary care), Alberta infant motor scale
	C: none observed
	T: none observed

Table 4 Work-as-Done functions paediatric ICU generating follow-up data

Function	Aspects (Input, Output, Precondition, Resource, Control, and Time)
2) to collect PROMs	I: invite (to KLIK system)
	O: PROMs in KLIK system
	P: none observed
	R: KLIK system containing questionnaires
	C: none observed
	T: none observed

3) to weigh and	I: patient at outpatient clinic
measure patient	O: patient data on weight, blood pressure and measurement in electronic health record
(doctor's assistant)	(EHR)
	P: none observed
	R: scale, measuring tape, EHR, trained doctors assistant, patient, blood pressure measurement
	instrument
	C: call from doctor in the case of no show, to offer standardized follow-up programme
	(clinician) check on measurements
	T: none observed
5) to conduct follow-up	I: patient in waiting room
(paediatric intensivist)	O: patient data registered on general wellbeing, medical complaints, cognitive and motor
	development
	O: check on weight and measure patient
	O: referral
	P: schedule
	R: EHR
	C: none observed
	T: approx. 30 min per consult per patient (2.5 hours for neuropsychological consult)
7) to conduct follow-up	I: hand-over from clinician
(psychologist)	O: referral, EHR free text
	P: none observed
	R: PROMs, trained medical psychologist
	C: none observed
	T: none observed

Table 5 Work-as-Done functions paediatric surgery generating follow-up data

Function	Aspects
2) to collect PROMs	I: invite to KLIK system, invite to 'Mijn dossier' questionnaire
	O: PROMs on psychosocial indicators in KLIK system, PROMs on medical indicators in EHR
	P: none observed
	R: KLIK programme, EHR patient portal access
	C: none observed
	T: none observed
3) to weigh and	I: patient at outpatient clinic
measure patient	O: patient data on weight and measurement in EHR
(doctor's assistant)	P: schedule
	R: scale, measuring tape, EHR, trained doctor's assistant, patient
	C: none observed
	T: none observed
4) to conduct follow-up	I: schedule
(specialized nurse)	O: patient data on general wellbeing
	P: patient present in waiting room
	R: patient data on weight and measurement in EHR, interpreter phone, template general
	wellbeing questions, trained nurse
	C: none observed
	T: none observed
5) to conduct follow-up	I: schedule
(paediatric surgeon)	O: patient data on medical indicators in EHR
	P: patient present in waiting room
	R: patient, HER
	C: none observed
	T: none observed
6) to conduct follow-up	I: schedule
(paediatric	O: patient data on gastrointestinal development in EHR (modelled as patient data on medical
gastroenterologist;	indicators)
when indicated)	P: patient present in waiting room
	R: interpreter phone, EHR, trained paediatric gastroenterologist
	C: none observed
	T: none observed

7) to conduct follow-up	I: schedule
(paediatric	O: patient data on pulmonological development in EHR (modelled as patient data on medical
pulmonologist; when	indicators)
indicated)	P: patient present in waiting room
	R: interpreter phone, EHR, trained paediatric pulmonologist
	C: none observed
	T: none observed
8) to conduct follow-up	I: schedule
(physiotherapist)	O: patient data on motor development in EHR
	P: patient in waiting room
	R: Alberta infant motor scales (AIMS), Bayley Scale of Infant Development (BSID), EHR,
	physiotherapist
	C: none observed
	T: none observed
9) to conduct follow-up	I: schedule
(medical psychologist)	O: none observed
	P: patient in waiting room
	R: PROMs, KLIK system, EHR
	C: none observed
	T: none observed