## Additional file 3

Table 3.1. Percent agreement, Gwet's coefficient of groups of stakeholders for both types of medical devices in both rounds of the Web-Delphi process, and strength of agreement according to Landis and Koch and to Gwet's proposed benchmarking method.

| Groups of stakeholders | Round 1 |  |  |  | Round 2 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Percent agreement | Gwet's AC2 (95\% CI) | Landis and Koch's strength of agreement | Gwet's strength of agreement ${ }^{1}$ | Percent agreement | $\begin{gathered} \text { Gwet's AC2 } \\ (95 \% \mathrm{Cl}) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Landis and Koch's strength of agreement | Gwet's strength of agreement ${ }^{1}$ |
| 1MPLANTABLE MEDICAL DEVICES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Academics | 0.88 | $\begin{gathered} 0.68 \\ (0.62-0.74) \end{gathered}$ | Substantial | Substantial | 0.90 | $\begin{gathered} 0.74 \\ (0.69-0.79) \end{gathered}$ | Substantial | Substantial |
| Buyers and policymakers | 0.88 | $\begin{gathered} 0.65 \\ (0.57-0.73) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Substantial | Moderate | 0.91 | $\begin{gathered} 0.76 \\ (0.69-0.83) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Substantial | Substantial |
| Healthcare professionals | 0.90 | $\begin{gathered} 0.73 \\ (0.70-0.76) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Substantial | Substantial | 0.91 | $\begin{gathered} 0.77 \\ (0.75-0.80) \end{gathered}$ | Substantial | Substantial |
| Industry | 0.89 | $\begin{gathered} 0.70 \\ (0.63-0.76) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Substantial | Substantial | 0.90 | $\begin{gathered} 0.73 \\ (0.67-0.79) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Substantial | Substantial |
| Patients and citizens | 0.89 | $\begin{gathered} 0.70 \\ (0.67-0.74) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Substantial | Substantial | 0.92 | $\begin{gathered} 0.79 \\ (0.75-0.82) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Substantial | Substantial |
| IN VITRO TESTS BASED ON BIOMARKERS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Academics | 0.88 | $\begin{gathered} 0.65 \\ (0.60-0.71) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Substantial | Substantial | 0.91 | $\begin{gathered} 0.75 \\ (0.71-0.79) \end{gathered}$ | Substantial | Substantial |
| Buyers and policymakers | 0.85 | $\begin{gathered} 0.55 \\ (0.41-0.69) \end{gathered}$ | Moderate | Moderate | 0.88 | $\begin{gathered} 0.67 \\ (0.56-0.78) \end{gathered}$ | Substantial | Moderate |
| Healthcare professionals | 0.89 | $\begin{gathered} 0.69 \\ (0.66-0.73) \end{gathered}$ | Substantial | Substantial | 0.90 | $\begin{gathered} 0.74 \\ (0.70-0.77) \end{gathered}$ | Substantial | Substantial |
| Industry | 0.84 | $\begin{gathered} 0.52 \\ (0.42-0.62) \end{gathered}$ | Moderate | Moderate | 0.85 | $\begin{gathered} 0.56 \\ (0.47-0.65) \end{gathered}$ | Moderate | Moderate |
| Patients and citizens | 0.87 | $\begin{gathered} 0.63 \\ (0.59-0.66) \end{gathered}$ | Substantial | Substantial | 0.89 | $\begin{gathered} 0.70 \\ (0.66-0.74) \end{gathered}$ | Substantial | Substantial |

${ }^{1}$ The strength of agreement was established following Gwet's proposed benchmarking method, with the Landis and Koch benchmark scale and the $95 \%$ cut-off point for the cumulative probabilities.

