1. Group details												
1.1 grd	oup ID:		-									
1.2 da	te:		_									
1.3 duration:		from o'cloc	k until	_ o'clock								
1.4 mc	oderator:		_									
1.5 the	eme:											
2. Participation details												
				If no or partially, were there known								
No.:	Participant's II	D Participation:		reasons?								
2.1			o □ partially									
2.2		🗆 yes 🗆 no	o □ partially									
2.3		🗆 yes 🗆 no	o 🛘 partially									
2.4		🗆 yes 🗆 no	o □ partially									
2.5		🗆 yes 🗆 no	o 🛘 partially									
3. Assessment of the session												
3.1 Te	echnical asses	sment:										
3.1.1	Was the voice	e quality good?										
0.1.1	□ yes	o quanty good.										
	•	> please explain:										
3.1.2	Were there a	any disconnections?										
	□ no											
	□ yes →	> please explain:										
3.1.3	Were there d	delays or pauses due to t	technical defects	?								
	□ no											
	□ yes →	→ please explain:										
3.1.4	Other?											

15.03.2023 page | 1

3.2 Assessment of the process and the contents								
3.2.1 Could the planned formal procedure be adhered to?								
	□ yes							
	\square no \rightarrow please explain:							
3.2.2	Topics addressed by the group participants in the discussion part							
3.2.3	From the moderator's point of view, was the topic of interest to all group participants?							
	. □ yes							
	☐ no → please explain:							
3.2.4	From the moderator's point of view, were the contents conveyed?							
3.2.4								
	□ yes							
	□ no → please explain:							
3.2.5	Were there any questions in the round that remained unanswered or could not be addressed further?							
	□ no							
	□ yes→ Which?							

15.03.2023 page | 2

4.	Assessment of the group											
4.1	Were there any conspicuous features in the group dynamics? (For example, were there particularly influential or withdrawn participants? Were there particular dynamics around specific themes or issues?)											
	□ no □ yes	→ in r	elation to) :								
4.2	Were there any problems for individual participants to get involved?											
	\square yes \rightarrow	please	explain:									
4.3	Group atmosph	ere										
a)	restless	1	2	3	4	(5)	6	7	calm			
b)	objective	1	2	3	4	(5)	6	7	affective			
c)	sad	1	2	3	4	(5)	6	7	hopeful			
d)	suspicious	1	2	3	4	(5)	6	7	trustful			
e)	deprecating	1	2	3	4	(5)	6	7	solidary			
f)	anxious	1	2	3	4	(5)	6	7	relaxed			
g)	open	1)	2	3	4	(5)	6	7	closed			
5. Self	-reflective as	sessm	ent of t	the mo	derato	r						
5.1 Were there any problems for the facilitator in running the group? If so, which ones? (e.g., in conveying the contents, in the group dynamics) 5.2 Other/comments for the next session (e.g., adjustments to the process and content, what should be addressed as a single the group of the process.												
be addressed again in the next session)												

15.03.2023 page | 3