Additional file 1

Table 1: Antibodies conjugated fluorophores, clone and supplier

Antibodies conjugated fluorophores	Clone	Supplier
CD45 Fluorescein isothiocyanate / CD14 Phycoerytrin (Simultest)	СD45: 2D1 / CD14: МФР9	Becton Dickinson (San Jose, CA, USA)
CD3 Allophycocyanin	SK7	Becton Dickinson (San Jose, CA, USA)
CD4 Fluorescein isothiocyanate	SK3	Becton Dickinson (San Jose, CA, USA)
CD25 Phycoerytrin-Cy5	M-A 251	Becton Dickinson (San Jose, CA, USA)
FoxP3 Phycoerytrin	236A/E7	eBioscience, Inc. (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Sweden)

Figure 1: FACS gating strategy



1.1 *General gating strategy*. Populations were defined in a stepwise manner using the logical operators found in the CellQuest software. In the example above, panel B shows CD₃⁺ events within the lymphocyte gate. Panel C shows CD₄⁺ events within the CD₃ gate (logical operators: CD₃⁺ *AND* lymphocyte gate); and panel D shows CD₂⁺ events within the CD₄ gate (logical operators: CD₄⁺ *AND* CD₃⁺ *AND* lymphocyte gate).



1.2 *Example plots of controls used to confirm quadrant placement and specificity of antibody expression within respective cell population.* As shown above, unstained cells, isotype stained cells, single staining of parent cells and single stained cells – all within the lymphocyte gate – were used when setting the quadrants for (in this example) the CD25⁺ population.



1.3 Example plots for the FoxP3⁺ population. A) CD4⁺ cells within the CD3 gate. B) CD4⁺ CD25⁺ cells within the CD4 gate. Box indicating the CD25^{bright} population. Panels C and D) Comparison plots, CD25 vs FoxP3: CD4⁺ CD25⁺ FoxP3⁺ within CD4 cells; and CD4⁺ CD25^{bright} FoxP3⁺ within CD25^{bright} cells respectively. Panels E and F) Comparison of CD4⁺ CD25⁺ and CD4⁺ CD25^{bright} FoxP3⁺, same axis as panel B. Panels F, G and H) Different pattern of FoxP3 expression in relation to CD25^{bright} in different subjects.

Table 2: Flow cytometry analysis of activated and regulatory T cells in BAL fluid, given in percent

Part 1: Characterizing the inflammation				
	COPD	Ever-smokers with normal LF	Non-smokers with normal LF	р
	n = 18	n = 13	n = 15	
Activated T helper cells	2.0 (1.4-3.6)	1.4 (1.2-3.0)	1.4 (1.0-2.4)	NS
FoxP3 ⁺ regulatory T cells	73 (60-82)	78 (55-86)	73 (61-79)	NS

Data are given as median with IQR. Percentage calculated out of gated cells, see main article Table 2. Statistical comparisons between the three groups were made using Kruskal Wallis test and a p-value < 0.05 was considered significant. *NS*: Not significant.

Part 2: Separating the effect of smoking from that of COPD					
	COPD current smokers n = 10	COPD ex-smokers n = 8	Ex-smokers with normal LF n = 11	р	
Activated T helper cells	2.1 (1.6-4.6)	1.4 (1.05-3.0)	1.3 (1.1-1.5)	NS	
FoxP3 ⁺ regulatory T cells	75 (63-81)	70 (48-90)	78 (63-87)	NS	

Data are given as median with IQR. Percentage calculated out of gated cells, see main article Table 2. Statistical comparisons between the three groups were made using Kruskal Wallis test and a p-value < 0.05 was considered significant. *NS*: Not significant.

Part 3: COPD and a rapid/non-rapid decline in lung function					
	COPD rapid decline in lung function	COPD non-rapid decline in lung function	p		
	n = 11	$\mathbf{n} = 7$			
Activated T helper cells	1.9 (1.2-2.2)	2.9 (1.4-4.8)	NS		
FoxP3 ⁺ regulatory T cells	64 (58-80)	86 (67-91)	p = 0.019		

Data are given as median with IQR. Statistical comparisons between the two groups were made using the Mann-Whitney U-test and a p-value < 0.05 was considered significant. *NS*: Not significant.

Table 3: Flow cytometry analysis of activated and regulatory T cells in BAL fluid, given in cells/ml x 10²

Part 1: Characterizing the inflammation					
	COPD	Ever-smokers with normal LF	Non-smokers with normal LF	р	
	n = 18	n = 13	n = 15		
Activated T helper cells	1.6 (0.81-2.7)	1.3 (1.0-2.9)	2.2 (1.5-3.5)	NS	
FoxP3 ⁺ regulatory T cells	1.1 (0.49-2.2)	1.1 (0.66-2.2)	1.5 (0.84-2.2)	NS	

Data are given as median with IQR. Percentage calculated out of gated cells, see main article Table 2. Statistical comparisons between the three groups were made using Kruskal Wallis test and a p-value < 0.05 was considered significant. *NS*: Not significant.

Part 2: Separating the effect of smoking from that of COPD					
	COPD current smokers n = 10	COPD ex-smokers n = 8	Ex-smokers with normal LF n = 11	р	
Activated T helper cells	1.6 (0.79-3.8)	1.6 (0.80-2.4)	1.3 (0.95-2.7)	NS	
FoxP3 ⁺ regulatory T cells	1.1 (0.47-3.1)	1.0 (0.50-1.9)	0.90 (0.55-1.7)	NS	

Data are given as median with IQR. Percentage calculated out of gated cells, see main article Table 2. Statistical comparisons between the three groups were made using Kruskal Wallis test and a p-value < 0.05 was considered significant. *NS*: Not significant.

Part 3: COPD and a rapid/non-rapid decline in lung function				
	COPD rapid decline in lung function	COPD non-rapid decline in lung function	р	
	n = 11	n = 7		
Activated T helper cells	1.6 (1.1-2.4)	1.7 (0.58-4.2)	NS	
FoxP3 ⁺ regulatory T cells	1.1 (0.66-1.4)	1.5 (0.39-3.6)	NS	

Data are given as median with IQR. Statistical comparisons between the two groups were made using the Mann-Whitney U-test and a p-value < 0.05 was considered significant. *NS*: Not significant.

Table 4 Differential cell	counts of leukocytes	of in BAL fluid,	given in number	r of cells/ml x104
			0	

Part 1: Characterizing the inflammation					
	COPD	Ever-smokers with normal LF	Non-smokers with normal LF	р	
	n = 19	n = 15	n = 15		
Macrophages	17 (11-27)	14 (9.3-31)	11 (8.6-16)	NS	
Neutrophils	0.18 (0.088-0.81)	0.11 (0.049-0.23)	0.1 (0.044-0.17)	NS	
Lymphocytes	1.8(0.78-2.6)	1.6(1.3-3.6)	2.1(1.4-3.8)	NS	
Eosinophils	0.077 (0-0.37)	0.022 (0-0.2)	0.027 (0-0.044)	NS	
Mast cells	0.029 (0-0.11)	0.0043 (0-0.049)	0.017 (0.0056-0.02)	NS	

Data are given as median with IQR. Statistical comparisons between the three groups were made using Kruskal Wallis test and a p-value < 0.05 was considered significant. *NS*: Not significant.

Part 2: Separating the effect of smoking from that of COPD					
	COPD current smokers (CCuS) n = 10	COPD ex-smokers (CExS) n = 9	Ex-smokers with normal LF (ExS) n = 12	p	
Macrophages	22 (19-34)	11 (8.4-15)	13 (8.7-17)	p = 0.003 CCuS vs CExS	
Neutrophils	0.17 (0.081-0.72)	0.18 (0.065-1.5)	0.11 (0.053-0.22)	NS	
Lymphocytes	1.8 (0.92-2.7)	1.8 (0.75-2.6)	1.9 (0.83-3.4)	NS	
Eosinophils	0.11 (0-0.24)	0.068 (0.013-0.44)	0.02 (0-0.032)	NS	
Mast cells	0.093 (0.022-0.13)	0.0051 (0-0.029)	0.0014 (0-0.059)	NS	

Data are given as median with IQR. Statistical comparisons between the three groups were made using Kruskal Wallis test and a p-value < 0.05 was considered significant. If the Kruskal Wallis test indicated significance, the Mann-Whitney U-test was used for post hoc analysis for comparison of CExS vs CCuS and CExS vs ExS. A p-value < 0.05 was considered significant. *NS*: Not significant.

Part 3: COPD and a rapid/non-rapid decline in lung function				
	COPD rapid decline in lung function n = 11	COPD non-rapid decline in lung function n = 8	p	
Macrophages	21 (13-27)	13 (8.3-26)	NS	
Neutrophils	0.18 (0.094-0.81)	0.13 (0.038-0.88)	NS	
Lymphocytes	1.9 (1-3.2)	1.6 (0.71-2.1)	NS	
Eosinophils	0.068 (0-0.13)	0.12 (0.0063-0.52)	NS	
Mast cells	0.065 (0.022-0.11)	0.0049 (0-0.095)	NS	

Data are given as median with IQR. Statistical comparisons between the two groups were made using the Mann-Whitney U-test and a p-value < 0.05 was considered significant. *NS*: Not significant.