Study [reference]	Study design	Randomisation	Allocation concealment	Blinding	Loss to follow-up for efficacy assessment
Naing, 1988 [36]	RCT	Unclear: 'Patients were randomised [] depending on clinical severity and parasite density.'	Unclear	Unclear	Unclear
Harinasuta, 1990 [37]*	RCT	INA	INA	INA	0/82 (Q) 0 85 (MQ)
Nosten, 1993 [23]	RCT	Yes, but the method is not specified.	Unclear	At least patients were blinded by using identical placebo.	11/43 were dropped out.
Sowunmi, 1998 [38]	RCT	Yes, but the method is not specified.	Unclear	Unclear	Unclear
Bounyasong, 2001 [39]	RCT	Yes, but the method is not specified.	Unclear	Unclear	1/30 (Q) 2/30 (ASSP)
McGready, 2000 [40]	RCT	Block randomisation	Unclear	Open-label	0/66 (ASMQ) 0/42 (Q)
McGready, 2001a [41]	RCT	Block randomisation	Unclear	Open-label	19/65 (QC) 17/64 (AS)
McGready, 2005 [42]	RCT	Computer-generated block randomisation	Sealed envelope	Open-label	0/39 (AAP) 0/42 (Q)
Adam, 2004a [43]	RCT	Yes, but the method is not specified.	Unclear	Unclear	7/25 (20mg/kg/day) 2/26 (30mg/kg/day)
Kalilani, 2007 [44]	RCT	Block randomisation	Sealed envelope	Open-label Lab technicians were blinded.	8/47 (ASSP) 7/47 (SP) 5/47 (SP + azithromycin)
McGready, 2008 [45]	RCT	Block randomisation	Sealed envelope	Open-label Lab technicians and patient examiners were blinded.	5/125 (AL) 3/125 (AS)
Mutabingwa, 2009 [46]	RCT	Block randomisation	Sealed envelope	Open-label Microscopists were blinded.	8/83 (ASAQ) 8/80 (AQSP) 4/81 (CD) 2/28 (SP)
Kaye, 2008 [47]	RCT	Computer-generated random number	Sealed envelope	Open-label	5/57 (AL) 5/57 (CD)
Piola, 2010 [48]	RCT	Computer-generated permuted block of eight	Sealed envelope	Open-label Microscopists and clinical investigators were blinded.	10/152 (Q) 6/152 (AL)
Carmona-Fonseca, 2013 [49]	RCT	Ballot system	Yes	Unclear	0/15 (AL) 0/15 (ASMQ)
D'Alessandro, 2016 [50, 51]	5 RCT	Block randomisation	Sealed envelope	Open-label Lab technicians (interpretation of PCR) were blinded.	50/880 (AL) 51/842 (ASAQ) 94/853 (DP) 77/848 (ASMQ)
Osarfo, 2017 [52]	RCT	Computer-generated random number	Sealed envelope	Open-label. Microscopists were blinded.	24/206 (ASAQ) 18/212 (DP)
Onyamboko, 2015 [53]*	RCT	Yes, but the method is not specified.	INA	Open-label	INA
Ukah, 2015 [54]	RCT	Computer-generated	Only pharmacy had access to the allocation sequence.	Double blind	3/75 (AL) 7/75 (ASAQ)

Additional file 4 continued.

Study [reference]	Study design	Randomisation	Allocation concealment	Blinding	Loss to follow-up for efficacy assessment
Iribhogbe, 2017a [55]	RCT	Balloting	Unclear	Open-label	2/40 (AL) 3/40 (ASAQ)
CTRI/2009/091/001055 [56]*	RCT	Yes, but the method is not specified.	INA	INA	INA
NCT01054248 [57]*	RCT	Computer generated permuted block of 15	sealed envelope	Open-label Microscopists were blinded.	INA
McGready, 2003a [58]	PK study	Not applicable	Not applicable	Unclear	8/24
Adam, 2012 [59]	PK study	Not applicable	Not applicable	Unclear	0/12
Onyamboko, 2011 [60]	PK study	Not applicable	Not applicable	Unclear	0/26
McGready, 2012 [61]	PK study	No: assigned alternatively	Not applicable	Unclear	0/20
Rijken, 2011 [62]	PK study	Not applicable	Not applicable	Unclear	2/24 (delivery)
Valea, 2014 [63]	PK study	Not applicable	Not applicable	Unclear	1/25
Juma, 2014 [64]	PK study	Not applicable	Not applicable	Open-label	INA
Mosha, 2014 [65]	PK study	Not applicable	Not applicable	Unclear	0/33
Nyunt, 2016 [66]	PK study	Not applicable	Not applicable	No	
Mutagonda, 2017 [67, 68]	PK study	Not applicable	Not applicable	Unclear	10/92
Adam, 2004b [69]	Single-arm	Not applicable	Not applicable	Unclear	0/26
Adam, 2004c [70]	Single-arm	Not applicable	Not applicable	Unclear	0/28
Adegnika, 2005 [71]	Single-arm	Not applicable	Not applicable	Unclear	0/50 (day 28) 9/50 (day 56)
Adam, 2006 [72]	Single-arm	Not applicable	Not applicable	Unclear	0/36
Ndiaye, 2011 [73]*	Single-arm	Not applicable	Not applicable	Open-label	0/28
Iribhogbe, 2017b [74]	Single-arm	Not applicable	Not applicable	Open-label	8/50
McGready, 1998a [75]	Observational cohort	Not applicable	Not applicable	Unclear	5/83 (all)
McGready, 1998b [76]	Observational cohort	Not applicable	Not applicable	Unclear	Unclear
McGready, 2001b [77]	Observational cohort	Not applicable	Not applicable	Unclear	Unclear
Laochan, 2015 [78]	Collation of observational cohorts and RCTs	refer to each study	refer to each study	refer to each study	refer to each study
	[40-42, 45, 58, 61, 61, 80, 82				
McGready, 2002 [79]	Observational cohort	Not applicable	Not applicable	Unclear	Unclear
McGready, 2003b [80]	Observational cohort	Not applicable	Not applicable	Unclear	6/27
Villegas, 2005 [81]*	Observational cohort	Not applicable	Not applicable	INA	INA
Rijken, 2008 [82]	Observational cohort	Not applicable	Not applicable	Unclear	5/50 (delivery)
Rulisa, 2012 [83]	Observational cohort	Not applicable	Not applicable	Unclear	Unclear
Kalilani, 2013 [84, 85]	Observational cohort	Not applicable	Not applicable	Unclear	INA

AAP: artesunate-atovaquone-proguanil, AL: artemether-lumefantrine, AQ: amodiaquine, AS: artesunate, CD: chlorproguanil-Dapsone, DP: dihydroartemisinin-piperaquine, INA: information not available (conference abstract or registered trial). MQ: mefloquine, PK: pharmacokinetic, Q: quinine, QC: quinine-clindamycin, RCT: randomised controlled trial, SP: sulfadoxine-pyrimethamine. * conference abstract or registered trial.