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**Table S1. Summary of promontory stimulation parameters, N=22.**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Feature\*** |  |
|  |  |
| *First Session* |  |
| 100Hz |  |
|  Minimum threshold | 40 (24-68) |
|  First discomfort level | 98 (67-180) |
|  80% discomfort level | 85 (60-144) |
| 800Hz (*N=21*) |  |
|  Minimum threshold | 130 (105-173) |
|  First discomfort level | 240 (166-390) |
|  80% discomfort level | 192 (133-312) |
| 1600Hz |  |
|  Minimum threshold | 213 (155-280) |
|  First discomfort level | 354 (240-490) |
|  80% discomfort level | 286 (192-392) |
|  |  |
| *Second Session* (*N=21*) |  |
| 100Hz |  |
|  Minimum threshold | 40 (25-78) |
|  First discomfort level | 115 (100-160) |
|  80% discomfort level | 92 (80-128) |
| 800Hz |  |
|  Minimum threshold | 160 (142-180) |
|  First discomfort level | 262 (220-376) |
|  80% discomfort level | 210 (170-301) |
| 1600Hz |  |
|  Minimum threshold | 240 (225-260) |
|  First discomfort level | 350 (275-588) |
|  80% discomfort level | 280 (220-470) |
|  |  |
| *Third Session* (*N=21*) |  |
| 100Hz |  |
|  Minimum threshold | 44 (22-90) |
|  First discomfort level | 115 (86-184) |
|  80% discomfort level | 92 (69-147) |
| 800Hz |  |
|  Minimum threshold | 150 (110-215) |
|  First discomfort level | 310 (220-460) |
|  80% discomfort level | 248 (176-368) |
| 1600Hz |  |
|  Minimum threshold | 270 (190-315) |
|  First discomfort level | 416 (310-650) |
|  80% discomfort level | 333 (248-520) |
|  |  |
| \*Summarized with median (IQR). Units of stimulation are in µA.  |

**Text S1. Supplementary methods of tinnitus survey information and reporting schedule and statistical power.**

***Tinnitus Survey Information and Reporting Schedule***

The Tinnitus Handicap Inventory (THI) was developed in 1996 and has been widely validated in multiple languages.1-7 For the purposes of the current investigation, a score cutoff of ≥56/100 is used to designate severe tinnitus handicap for study inclusion, and a change of ≥7 points is considered clinically significant. The Tinnitus Functional Index (TFI) was developed by Meikle, et al. in 2012.8 For the purposes of the current investigation, a score cutoff of ≥52/100 is used to designate severe tinnitus for study inclusion, and a change of ≥13 points is considered clinically significant. The Tinnitus Visual Analog Scale-A (VAS-A) and Tinnitus VAS-L are self-reported psychometric measuring instruments designed to determine severity of tinnitus annoyance and severity of tinnitus loudness, respectively. The VAS-A and VAS-L instruments were developed by Adamchic et al. in 2012.9 For the purposes of the current investigation, the VAS-A was used, and inclusion criteria required subjects score ≥5 out of 10. A change in score of ≥2 points are considered clinically significant. All surveys are self-reported by the patient. For inclusion, patients had to meet the severity level in at least one of the three instruments. In the current study, 10/22 subjects met criteria in all three, and the remaining met criteria in 2 of 3.

Following baseline surveying, THI, TFI, and Tinnitus VAS questionnaires were administered immediately prior to each stimulation session, during the last 10 minutes of promontory stimulation, 10 minutes following promontory stimulation, 1 hour after treatment, 24 hours after treatment, 48 hours after treatment, and 1 week after treatment. During each week post-treatment, subjects also recorded survey data at a point where they felt their tinnitus was maximally suppressed. At the 3-month follow up visit, these questionnaires were completed once again.

***Statistical Power***

Assuming a statistical power of 80% and a one-sided significance level of 0.05, a sample size calculation was performed to determine the number of subjects needed to detect a clinically significant change in tinnitus based on the THI. The calculation suggested that if the observed mean change in THI was 15 points with a standard deviation of 15, a change of ≥7 points could be detected with 24 subjects.

**Table S2. Safety data from behavioral audiometric testing across study duration, N=22.**

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Hz (dB HL)** | **Pre-stim 1\*** | **Most Recent\*** | **Difference\*†** | **95% CI for Difference** |
| 250 | 8 (12) | 6 (12) | −2 (8) | −5 to 2 |
| 500 | 18 (12) | 16 (11) | −2 (9) | −6 to 2 |
| 1000 | 12 (15) | 11 (11) | −1 (9) | −5 to 3 |
|  1500‡ | 24 (14) | 21 (11) | −3 (5) | −7 to 0 |
| 2000 | 24 (14) | 23 (15) | −1 (6) | −4 to 1 |
| 3000 | 32 (18) | 30 (18) | −2 (5) | −4 to 0 |
| 4000 | 34 (20) | 32 (22) | −2 (5) | −4 to 0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| **WRS (%)‡** | 96 (8) | 95 (7) | −1 (6) | −4 to 2 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| **Tympanometry§** |  |  |  |  |
| A | 17 (77) | 17 (77) |  |  |
| As | 3 (14) | 2 (9) |  |  |
| Ad | 2 (9) | 1 (5) |  |  |
| B | 0 | 2 (9) |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| \*Summarized with mean (SD) or n (%). †Defined as most recent minus pre-stim 1.‡N=11 for 1500 Hz; N=18 for WRS.§16 subjects remained A, 2 remained As, 1 remained Ad, 1 switched from A to B, 1 switched from Ad to B, and 1 switched from As to A. No subjects had persistent perforations in the tympanic membrane at 3 months.  |
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