## Additional file 3: CROSS-SECTIONAL STUDIES reporting factors that are associated with back pain.

Back pain, sample characteristics and associations between back pain and temporal precursor variables. Cross-sectional studies

Reference			Back	pair	1		teristics y sample	Significant positive (+) or negative (-) associations with back pain								
Reference, (year of pub), country, pop size	МВР	LBP	Mix	?	Clear definition of BP (x/4) (Additional file 5)	Age range (mean)	Sex	Female	Male	Age	Pubertal status	Family history BP	Socioeconomic factors	Height	Significant estimates (95% CI)	
[38] Aggarwal, (2013) India, 160		Х			3/4	17-25	Both	(NT)	(NT)	(NT)	(NT)	+	(NT)	(NT)	Family history: OR 2.6 (1.4-5.9)	
[39] Andersen, (2006), Denmark, 9413			Х		2/4	(17)	Both	+		(NT)	(NT)	(NT)	(NT)	(NT)	Female: OR 1.7 (1.5-2.0)	
[40] Balague', (1994), Switzerland, 1755		Х			1/4	8-16	Both	(NT)	(NT)	(NT)	(NT)	+	(NT)	(NT)	Family history: OR 2.1	
[41] Bejia, (2005), Tunisia, 622		Х			4/4	11-19	Both	0	0	0	(NT)	+	(NT)	0	Family history: OR 3.8 (2.9-5.9)	
[42] Cakmak, (2004), Turkey, 1527		X			3/4	17-26	Both	+		+	(NT)	(NT)	(NT)	(NT)	Female OR: 1.3 (1.4-3.3) Age: 17 yr: OR 1 (index) 21 yr OR: 2.2 ( 1.2-4.2) 23 yr OR: 3.2 (1.7-6.2) 24 yr OR: 2.8 (1.5-5.3) 25+ yr OR: 3.1 (1.4-6.7)	
[43] Dianat, (2017), Iran, 1611		Х			3/4	11-14	Both	+		0	(NT)	+	(NT)	(NT)	Female: OR 1.5 (1.2–1.8) Family History: OR 1.8 (1.4–2.4)	
[44] Dianat, (2014), Iran, 586		Х			3/4	12-14	Both	+		0	(NT)	(NT)	(NT)	(NT)	Female: OR 2.2 (1.4-3.3)	

Reference			Back	pair	1		cteristics y sample	Significant positive (+) or negative (-) associations with back pain								
Reference, (year of pub), country, pop size	МВР	LBP	Mix	?	Clear definition of BP (x/4) (Additional file 5)	Age range (mean)	Sex	Female	Male	Age	Pubertal status	Family history BP	Socioeconomic factors	Height	Significant estimates (95% CI)	
[45] Diepenmaat, (2006), Netherlands, 3485		Х			2/4	12-16	Both	+		(NT)	(NT)	(NT)	(NT)	(NT)	Female: OR 1.5 (1.1-1.9)	
[46] Erne, (2011), Switzerland, 189		Х			2/4	10-13	Both	0	0	+	(NT)	0	(NT)	(NT)	Younger age: OR 0.2 (0.1-0.6)	
[47] Fernandes, (2015), Brazil, 1461		Х			3/4	10-14	Both	+		+	(NT)	(NT)	(NT)	(NT)	Female: OR 1.5 (1.1-1.9) Age: 10-11 yr: OR 1 (index) 12-14 yr: OR 1.1 (1.1-1.3)	
[48] Ganesan, (2017), India, 1355		Х			1/4	20-29	Both	0	0	0	(NT)	+	(NT)	(NT)	Family history: Standard error 0.2 (1.4, 2.7) (OR 1.5 (1.1-1.9) calculated)	
[49] Ghandour, (2004), USA, 8350				Х	2/4	11-19	Both	NR	NR	NR	(NT)	(NT)	0	(NT)		
[50] Gilkey, (2010), USA, 963				Х	1/4	18-22	Both	-		0	(NT)	(NT)	0	0	Female: OR 0.6 (0.4-0.8)	
[51] Graup, (2014), Brazil, 1455		Х			2/4	10-17	Both	+		+	(NT)	(NT)	0	(NT)	Female: OR 2.4 (1.7-3.3) Older age: OR 1.1 (1.1-1.2)	
[52] Gunzburg, (1999), Belgium, 392		Х			2/4	8-11	Both	0	0	(NT)	(NT)	(NT)	(NT)	(NT)		

Reference			Back	pair	1		cteristics y sample	Significant positive (+) or negative (-) associations with back pain								
Reference, (year of pub), country, pop size	МВР	LBP	Mix	?	Clear definition of BP (x/4) (Additional file 5)	Age range (mean)	Sex	Female	Male	Age	Pubertal status	Family history BP	Socioeconomic factors	Height	Significant estimates (95% CI)	
[53] Haag, (2016), New Zealand, 1110			х		3/4	15-19	Both	+		+	(NT)	(NT)	(NT)	(NT)	Female: OR 1.5 (1.0-2.1) Age 15 yr: OR 1 (index) 16/17 yr OR 1.7 (1.2-2.3) 18/19 yr: OR 18 (1.2-2.8)	
[54] Harreby, (1999), Denmark, 1389		Х			4/4	13-16	Both	+		+	(NT)	(NT)	(NT)	(NT)	Female: OR 2.1 (1.6-2.9) Older age 14 to 15 yr: 6.4%	
[55] Hestbaek, (2008), Denmark, 4771		Х			3/4	12-18	Both	(NT)	(NT)	(NT)	(NT)	(NT)	+	(NT)	Higher social class: OR 0.9 (0.8-0.9)	
[56] Hulsegge, (2011), Netherlands, 2638				Х	1/4	10-12	Both	(NT)	(NT)	(NT)	0	(NT)	0	0		
[57] Jones, (2004), England, 1326		Х			2/4	12-15	Both	(NT)	(NT)	(NT)	(NT)	0	(NT)	(NT)		
[58] Kaspiris, (2010), Greece, 153		Х			3/4	7-14	Both	+		+	(NT)	+	(NT)	+	Female: OR 1.4 (1.0-2.1) (calculated) Older age: OR 1.2 Family history: OR 1.7	
[59] Kovacs, (2003), Spain, 7048		Х			3/4	13-15	Both	+		(NT)	(NT)	(NT)	(NT)	(NT)	Female: OR 1.1 (1.1-1.2)	
[60] Kristensen, (2001), Norway, 190		Х			3/4	(15)	Both	(NT)	(NT)	(NT)	(NT)	0	(NT)	(NT)		

Reference			Back	pair	1		cteristics y sample	Significant positive (+) or negative (-) associations with back pain								
Reference, (year of pub), country, pop size	МВР	LBP	Mix	?	Clear definition of BP (x/4) (Additional file 5)	Age range (mean)	range	Female	Male	Age	Pubertal status	Family history BP	Socioeconomic factors	Height	Significant estimates (95% CI)	
[61] Kristjansdottir, (2002), Iceland, 2173				Х	0/4	11-16	Both	0	0	+	(NT)	(NT)	0	(NT)	Older age: r 0.2	
[62] Leboeuf- Yde, (2002), Denmark, 806			Х		3/4	8-16	Both	(NT)	(NT)	(NT)	(NT)	(NT)	+ 0	(NT)	Children parental low level of education: OR 1.8 (1.1-2.0) (no significant association in adolescence)	
[63] LeResche, (2005), USA, 3101				Х	1/4	11-17	Both	0	0	(NT)	+	(NT)	(NT)	(NT)	Later pubertal status: OR 2.0 (girls) OR 1.9 (boys)	
[64] Masiero, (2008), Italy, 7542		Х			3/4	13-16	Both	+		(NT)	(NT)	+	(NT)	(NT)	Female: OR 1.9 (1.7-2.2) Family history: OR 1.8 (1.5-2.0)	
[65] Mattila, (2008), Finland, 7040		Х			2/4	18-29	Males	(NT)	(NT)	+	(NT)	(NT)	(NT)	(NT)	Age 17/18 yr: OR: 1 (index) 21+ yr: OR 1.6 (1.2-2.1)	
[66] Minghelli, (2014), Portugal, 966		Х			3/4	10-16	Both	+		+	(NT)	(NT)	(NT)	(NT)	Females: OR 2.1 (1.6-2.7) Age 10-12 yr: OR 1 (index) 13-16 yr: 1.5 (1.2-2.0)	
[67] Mohseni- Bandpei, (2007), Iran, 4813		Х			2/4	11-14	Both	0	0	-	(NT)	(NT)	(NT)	(NT)	Age: OR 0.5 (0.4-0.6)	
[68] Ng, (2014), Australia, 265		X			2/4	14-16	Both		+	(NT)	(NT)	(NT)	(NT)	(NT)	Prevalence percentage Male: Lifetime 94%, point 65% Female: Lifetime 78%, point 53% (Females: Lifetime: OR 0.2 (0.1-0.5), point: OR 0.3 (0.2-0.5) calculated)	

Reference			Back	pair	1		cteristics y sample	Significant positive (+) or negative (-) associations with back pain								
Reference, (year of pub), country, pop size	МВР	LBP	Mix	?	Clear definition of BP (x/4) (Additional file 5)	Age range (mean)	Sex	Female	Male	Age	Pubertal status	Family history BP	Socioeconomic factors	Height	Significant estimates (95% CI)	
[69] Noll, (2016), Brazil, 1597				Х	2/4	11-16	Both	+		0	(NT)	+	0	(NT)	Female: PR 1.1 (1.1-1.2) Family history: PR 1.2 (1.2-1.3)	
[70] Noll, (2016), Brazil, 361				Х	2/4	14-20	Both	+		0	(NT)	+	+	(NT)	Female: PR 1.2 (1.1-1.3) Family history: PR 1.2 (1.1-1.3) Ethnicity: White: PR: 1 Asian: PR 1.2 (1.1-1.4) Indigenous: PR 1.4 (1.3-1.5)	
[71] Onofrio, (2012), Brazil, 1233		X			3/4	13-19	Both	0	0	0	(NT)	(NT)	+	(NT)	Non-white: PR 1.4 (1.0-1.9)	
[72] Pasanen, (2016), Finland, 401		Х			3/4	13-17	Both	0	0	+	(NT)	+	(NT)	(NT)	Age (per year): OR 1.2 (1.1-1.4) Family history: OR 2.0 (1.2-3.3)	
[73] Prista, (2004), Mozambique, 204		Х			3/4	11-16	Both	0	0	0	(NT)	(NT)	+	(NT)	Living in peripheral centre: OR 1 Living in wealthier urban centre OR: 3.1	
[74] Rodrigues- Oviedo, (2012), Spain, 1403				Х	1/4	12-17	Both	+		+	(NT)	(NT)	(NT)	(NT)	Female: OR 1.6 (1.3-2.1) Older age: OR 1.2 (1.1-1.3)	
[75] Scarabottolo, (2017), Brazil, 1011		Х			3/4	10-17	Both	0	0	+	(NT)	(NT)	0	(NT)	Older age: older 25.1%, younger adolescents 12.4%	
[76] Shehab, (2004), Kuwait, 400		X			2/4	10-18	Both	+		(NT)	(NT)	(NT)	(NT)	(NT)	Female: prevalence percentage 64.7% males: prevalence percentage 50.8% (Females: OR: 1.8 (1.2-2.7) calculated)	

Reference			Back	pair	1		cteristics y sample		Significant positive (+) or negative (-) associations with back pain								
Reference, (year of pub), country, pop size	МВР	LBP	Mix	?	Clear definition of BP (x/4) (Additional file 5)	Age range (mean)	Sex	Female	Male	Age	Pubertal status	Family history BP	Socioeconomic factors	Height	Significant estimates (95% CI)		
[77] Sheir-Neiss (2003), USA, 1126				Х	2/4	12-18	Both	+		(NT)	(NT)	(NT)	(NT)	(NT)	Female: OR 2.2 (1.6-2.9)		
[78] Shipp, (2007), USA, 2536				Х	3/4	14-18	Both	+		(NT)	(NT)	(NT)	(NT)	(NT)	Female: OR 4.6 (1.8-11.7)		
[79] Silva, (2016), Brazil, 961			Х		3/4	14-19	Both	+		0	(NT)	(NT)	(NT)	(NT)	Female: OR 2.4 (1.9-3.2)		
[80] Silva, (2014), Brazil, 343		Х			3/4	12-15	Both	0	0	+	(NT)	(NT)	0	(NT)	Age 12 yr: OR 1 (index) 14 yr: OR 1.3 (1.1-1.7)		
[81] Skaggs, (2006), USA, 1540				х	1/4	10-15	Both	+		-	(NT)	(NT)	(NT)	(NT)	Female: 43%, Male: 32% (Female: OR: 1.6 (1.3-2.0) calculated) Younger age: OR 1.5(male), OR 1.4(female)		
[82] Turk, (2011), Slovenia, 190		Х			2/4	11-15	Both	(NT)	(NT)	0	(NT)	(NT)	(NT)	0			
[83] Van Gent, (2003), Netherlands, 745				Х		12-14	Both	+		0	(NT)	(NT)	+	(NT)	Female: 54%, Males 39% (females: OR: 1.8 (1.3-2.4) calculated) Residence: 52% (city), 43% (village)		
[84] Viry, (1999), France 123				Х	2/4	13-15	Both	+		(NT)	(NT)	(NT)	(NT)	(NT)	Female: OR 2.7 (1.2-6.1)		

Reference			Back	pair	1		teristics y sample	Significant positive (+) or negative (-) associations with back pain								
Reference, (year of pub), country, pop size	МВР	LBP	Mix		Clear definition of BP (x/4) (Additional file 5)	Age range (mean)	Sex	Female	Male	Age	Pubertal status	Family history BP	Socioeconomic factors	Height	Significant estimates (95% CI)	
[85] Watson, (2003), Great Britain, 1446		Х			3/4	11-14	Both	+		+	(NT)	(NT)	(NT)	(NT)	Female: 28%, Males 19% Girls 11 yr: 18%, 14 yr: 34% Boys 11 yr: 14%, 14 yr: 25%	
[86] Wedderkopp, (2005), Denmark, 254	Х	Х	Х		3/4	8-16	Both	(NT)	(NT)	(NT)	+	(NT)	(NT)	(NT)	Later pubertal status: Stage 1: OR 1 (index) Stage 4: OR 2.0 (1.3-3.5) Stage 5: OR 2.1 (1.1-4.1)	
[87] Wedderkopp, (2001), Denmark, 806	Х	Х	Х		3/4	8-16	Both	0	0	(NT)	(NT)	(NT)	(NT)	(NT)		
[88] Wirth, (2015), Switzerland, 412	Х	Х	Х		3/4	10-16	Both	0	0	+	(NT)	0	(NT)	(NT)	Older age: OR 1.3 (1.1-1.7)	
[89] Wirth, (2013), Switzerland, 434	Х	Х	Х		3/4	6-16	Both	+		+	(NT)	+	(NT)	(NT)	Female: OR 1.9 (1.3-3.0) Older age: OR 1.3 (1.2-1.4) Family history: 2.3 (1.2-4.7)	
[90] Yao, (2012), China, 1214		Х			3/4	13-17	Both	(NT)	(NT)	0	(NT)	+	(NT)	0	Family history: 2.6 (1.9-3.6)	

(NT): Not tested, (NR): Included in study but not reported, \*+ significant positive association, \*- significant negative association, 0: tested but non-significant estimate, OR: odds ratio, SE: standard error, PR: prevalence ratio, RR: relative risk