Initial assessment for PARIHS citation analysis

* Required

	sment question	Answer option(s)		
1.	First author? *	Free text		
2.	Year of publication? *	1998		
		1999		
		2000		
		2001		
		2002		
		2003		
		2004		
		2005		
		2006		
		2007		
		2008		
		2009		
		2010		
		2011		
		2012		
		2013		
		2013		
		2014		
		2015		
		2017		
	Full City of an investment in the Conference	2018		
	Full title of reviewed publication? * Does the reviewed publication cite <i>Kitson (1998), Enabling the</i>	Free text		
4.	implementation of evidence-based practice: a conceptual framework? *	Yes No		
5.	Does the reviewed publication cite <i>Kitson</i> (2008), <i>Evaluating the</i> successful implementation of evidence into practice using the PARiHS framework: theoretical and practical challenges? *	Yes No		
6.	Does the reviewed publication cite Rycroft-Malone (2002), Ingredients for change: revisiting a conceptual framework? *	Yes No		
7.	Does the reviewed publication cite Rycroft-Malone (2004) An exploration of the factors that influence the implementation of evidence into practice? *	Yes No		
8.	Is the publication a peer-reviewed scientific article? *	Yes No		
9.	Does the article do more than cite the PARIHS framework? *	Yes No		
	This question determines if the article will be used for full data extraction, i.e. PARIHS used in planning, analyzing and/or evaluating a study (or theoretical article).			

Data extraction for PARIHS citation analysis

Please read the instruction to all the items and always leave comment when answering Yes to the open-ended questions. Copy-paste from the article when referring and add information on what page of the article that the information was captured from. It is key that we do not 'value the quality' of the study at this stage but that the assessment is made based on what is written in the article.

^{*} Required

Asses	sment question	Answe	er optio	on(s)
	Who are you? *	Alison	•	•
		Ankie		
		Anna E	3	
		Anna E	Ξ	
		Gill		
		lan		
		Jo		
		Lars		
2.	Article ID? *	Free te	ext	
3.	What type of article is it? *		cal stu	dv
		· •		iew study
		•		retical paper
		Protoc		ισιισαι μαμ σ ι
		Other:		
1	Was the DARINS used in planning and delivering an			NI/A
4.	Was the PARIHS used in planning and delivering an intervention? *	Yes	No	N/A
	NB: Intervention/implementation informed by PARIHS. The option N/A applies when there is no intervention/implementation. 'Intervention' should be seen as both including research intervention and 'practice development/improvement'. NB: Choose 'Yes' for Protocol where PARIHS is stated to be used in planning for an intervention and provide information in Q5.			
5.	If YES to the above, how?	Free te	ext	
	Was the PARIHS used in the analysis? * e.g. making sense of data. Framing the data according to PARIHS. Also including theoretical articles. NB: Choose 'Yes' for Protocol where PARIHS is stated to be used in the analysis and provide information in Q7.	Yes	No	Protocol, PARIHS planned to be used in analysis
7.	If YES to the above, how?	Free te	ext	
	Was the PARIHS used in the discussion of the study findings? *	Yes	No	N/A
	NB: Understanding/interpretation/discussion. Also including theoretical articles. NB. The N/A option applies only to Protocols.			
9.	If YES to the above, how?	Free te	ext	
	Make a distinction if PARIHS is just cited in the discussion or if PARIHS is used to evaluate the findings.			
10.	Was the PARIHS used in any other way than 4, 6, 8?	Yes	No	

e.g. mentions that PARIHS framed the study but provides no or little details on how. NB: Choose 'Yes' for Protocol where PARIHS is mentioned to be used in any other way than Q4 and Q6 and provide information in Q11.	
11. If YES to the above, how?	Free text
e.g.: Providing rationale for the study, Guiding framework for the study, Informing the framework that guided the study, Informing tool development/data collection, Informing understanding of PARIHS elements, Influencing the authors thinking on study design.	
12. Is the article testing/validating or providing some commentary on the validity of the PARIHS?	Yes No
NB: 'Yes' applies even if only one/two of the constructs of PARIHS are studied/validated.	
13. If YES to the above, how?	Free text
NB: State if the paper is explicitly testing or validating the framework or if the findings provide comments on the validity of parts of or the whole PARIHS.	
14. Countrie(s) - only if applicable	Free text
NB: The question is primarily asking about where the work was carried out (rather than where authors come from). If several countries please separate by comma (this also applies to reviews where the included studies might derive from several countries). If not reported please write: Not reported	
15. Does the article involve nursing?	Yes No
NB: Midwifery is NOT considered nursing in this case. Whether nurses were involved should be explicitly stated in the paper and not assumed, if 'Yes' should be ticked off	
16. If other/more than nursing (15) - which disciplines and roles are involved?	Free text
17. What setting does the publication derive from?	Hospital setting
NB: It is possible to tick several options. Please note that Hospital includes primary, secondary and tertiary hospitals. Nursing homes should be included under 'Community/Social care', If other settings make a note under Q19. Some publications might not fit under these options - please use 'Not reported' and make a comment at Q19.	Primary health care setting Home-based care Community/Social care setting Multiple settings Not reported
18. What do authors report as the strengths and limitations of the PARIHS?	Free text
NB: Leave empty if strengths/limitations are not mentioned.	
19. Additional comments	Free text
NB1: Especially think about things that might give some flesh to the bone to discussing our findings. NB2: For opinion/theoretical papers and reviews note if the paper is supportive, critical (pointing at deficiencies) or expanding on PARIHS.	