S2 Table. The Cochrane Collaboration's tool for assessing quality and risk of bias

Allocation concealment.	Describe the method used to generate the allocation sequence in sufficient detail to allow an assessment of whether it should produce comparable groups. Describe the method used to conceal the allocation sequence in sufficient detail to	was the allocation sequence adequately generated? Was allocation
Allocation concealment.	allocation sequence in sufficient detail to allow an assessment of whether it should produce comparable groups. Describe the method used to conceal the	sequence adequately generated?
Allocation concealment.	allow an assessment of whether it should produce comparable groups. Describe the method used to conceal the	generated?
Allocation concealment.	produce comparable groups. Describe the method used to conceal the	
Allocation concealment.	Describe the method used to conceal the	Was allocation
		Was allocation
a	allocation sequence in sufficient detail to	i
l "	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	adequately concealed?
d	determine whether intervention allocations	
c	could have been foreseen in advance of, or	
d	during, enrolment.	
Blinding of participants,	Describe all measures used, if any, to blind	Was knowledge of the
personnel and outcome s	study participants and personnel from	allocated intervention
assessors Assessments k	knowledge of which intervention a	adequately prevented
should be made for each p	participant received. Provide any	during the study?
main outcome (or class of in	information relating to whether the intended	
outcomes).	blinding was effective.	
Incomplete outcome data	Describe the completeness of outcome data	Were incomplete
Assessments should be for	for each main outcome, including attrition	outcome data
made for each main a	and exclusions from the analysis. State	adequately addressed?
outcome (or class of v	whether attrition and exclusions were	
outcomes).	reported, the numbers in each intervention	
g	group (compared with total randomized	
p	participants), reasons for attrition/exclusions	
v	where reported, and any re-inclusions in	
a	analyses performed by the review authors.	
Selective outcome S	State how the possibility of selective	Are reports of the
reporting.	outcome reporting was examined by the	study free of
r	review authors, and what was found.	suggestion of selective
		outcome reporting?
Other sources of bias.	State any important concerns about bias not	Was the study
a	addressed in the other domains in the tool.	apparently free of
I	If particular questions/entries were	other problems that
	pre-specified in the review's protocol,	could put it at a high
*	responses should be provided for each	risk of bias?
	question/entry.	