

	Mean (SD) / Patients	Mean (SD) / Patients	MD (95% CI)
HA vs CS			
Frihagen et al. 2007	70.6 (19.1) / 110	67.3 (15.5) / 112	3.30 (-1.28 ; 7.88)
Steon et al. 2014	70.0 (19.1) / 110	67.0 (15.5) / 112	3.00 (-1.58 ; 7.58)
Fixed effects model	70.3 (19.1) / 220	67.1 (15.5) / 224	3.15 (-0.09 ; 6.39)
Random effects model	70.3 (19.1) / 220	67.1 (15.5) / 224	3.15 (-0.09 ; 6.39)
<i>Heterogeneity: I² = 0 %, t² = 0.0 , X² (1) = 0.01 , p = 0.928</i>			
HA vs DHS			
Davison et al. 2001	74.3 (16.8) / 187	70.7 (16.6) / 93	3.60 (-0.54 ; 7.74)
THA vs HA			
Cadossi et al. 2013	71.9 (13.1) / 47	75.0 (16.8) / 49	-3.10 (-9.11 ; 2.91)
Chammout et al. 2019	76.0 (15.0) / 60	74.0 (14.0) / 60	2.00 (-3.19 ; 7.19)
Hedbeck et al. 2011	87.2 (10.1) / 60	77.9 (12.5) / 60	9.30 (5.23 ; 13.37)
Macaulay et al. 2008	84.0 (12.2) / 17	81.1 (11.7) / 23	2.90 (-4.62 ; 10.42)
Sonaje et al. 2018	88.0 (5.8) / 21	83.9 (6.6) / 21	4.10 (0.34 ; 7.86)
Fixed effects model	80.2 (13.8) / 205	77.1 (13.8) / 213	4.17 (2.01 ; 6.34)
Random effects model	80.2 (13.8) / 205	77.1 (13.8) / 213	3.41 (-0.60 ; 7.41)
<i>Heterogeneity: I² = 68 %, t² = 13.7 , X² (4) = 12.52 , p = 0.014</i>			
NETWORK META-ANALYSIS			
Fixed effects model			
CS	67.1 (15.5) / 224		-7.32 (-11.22 ; -3.43)
DHS	70.7 (16.6) / 93		-7.77 (-12.45 ; -3.10)
HA	73.8 (16.9) / 620		-4.17 (-6.34 ; -2.01)
THA	80.2 (13.8) / 205		0.00 (Reference)
Random effects model			
CS	67.1 (15.5) / 224		-6.66 (-13.06 ; -0.25)
DHS	70.7 (16.6) / 93		-7.11 (-15.21 ; 1.00)
HA	73.8 (16.9) / 620		-3.51 (-7.05 ; 0.04)
THA	80.2 (13.8) / 205		0.00 (Reference)
<i>Heterogeneity: I² = 60 %, t² = 9.4 , X² (5) = 12.53 , p = 0.028</i>			
<i>Consistency: X² (0) = 0.00 , p = NA</i>			

