

	Mean (SD) / Patients	Mean (SD) / Patients	MD (95% CI)
HA vs DHS			
Davison et al. 2001	73.6 (10.1) / 187	70.6 (9.9) / 93	3.00 (0.53 ; 5.47)
Davison et al. 2001	73.1 (12.7) / 187	71.8 (6.7) / 93	1.30 (-0.98 ; 3.58)
Mouzopoulos et al. 2008	79.5 (6.5) / 34	73.6 (6.7) / 38	5.90 (2.13 ; 9.67)
Davison et al. 2001	72.7 (5.4) / 187	70.0 (5.9) / 93	2.70 (1.27 ; 4.13)
Fixed effects model	73.5 (9.8) / 595	71.1 (7.6) / 317	2.70 (1.66 ; 3.75)
Random effects model	73.5 (9.8) / 595	71.1 (7.6) / 317	2.77 (1.43 ; 4.12)
<i>Heterogeneity: I² = 47 %, t² = 1.1 , X² (3) = 5.67 , p = 0.129</i>			
THA vs DHS			
Mouzopoulos et al. 2008	83.7 (6.5) / 37	73.6 (6.7) / 38	10.10 (6.48 ; 13.72)
THA vs HA			
Cadossi et al. 2013	71.3 (6.0) / 47	78.7 (10.1) / 49	-7.40 (-10.70 ; -4.10)
Ukaj et al. 2019	92.5 (6.0) / 47	88.3 (7.7) / 49	4.20 (1.44 ; 6.96)
Hedbeck et al. 2011	89.0 (8.1) / 60	75.2 (15.4) / 60	13.80 (9.40 ; 18.20)
Mouzopoulos et al. 2008	83.7 (6.5) / 37	79.5 (6.5) / 34	4.20 (0.49 ; 7.91)
van den Bekerom et al. 2010	75.2 (5.9) / 115	71.9 (5.4) / 137	3.30 (1.89 ; 4.71)
Fixed effects model	81.0 (10.1) / 306	76.7 (10.7) / 329	3.00 (1.91 ; 4.08)
Random effects model	81.0 (10.1) / 306	76.7 (10.7) / 329	3.49 (-1.50 ; 8.48)
<i>Heterogeneity: I² = 94 %, t² = 27.5 , X² (4) = 62.69 , p < 0.001</i>			
NETWORK META-ANALYSIS			
Fixed effects model			
DHS	71.1 (7.6) / 355		-6.35 (-7.75 ; -4.96)
HA	74.5 (10.3) / 924		-3.34 (-4.38 ; -2.29)
THA	81.0 (10.1) / 343		0.00 (Reference)
Random effects model			
DHS	71.1 (7.6) / 355		-7.19 (-11.95 ; -2.44)
HA	74.5 (10.3) / 924		-3.75 (-7.23 ; -0.27)
THA	81.0 (10.1) / 343		0.00 (Reference)
<i>Heterogeneity: I² = 90 %, t² = 14.1 , X² (5) = 63.34 , p < 0.001</i>			
<i>Consistency: X² (2) = 8.25 , p = 0.016</i>			

