

	Mean (SD) / Patients	Mean (SD) / Patients	MD (95% CI)
DHS vs CS Mjorud et al. 2006	40.0 ( 22.0 ) / 58	40.0 ( 19.0 ) / 40	0.00 ( -8.17 ; 8.17 )
HA vs CS Frihagen et al. 2007	76.0 ( 19.0 ) / 110	26.0 ( 20.2 ) / 112	50.00 ( 44.84 ; 55.16 )
Parker et al. 2002	46.4 ( 1.8 ) / 229	24.2 ( 9.2 ) / 226	22.20 ( 20.98 ; 23.42 )
Roden et al. 2003	58.0 ( 20.3 ) / 47	18.0 ( 15.8 ) / 53	40.00 ( 32.79 ; 47.21 )
<b>Fixed effects model</b>	<b>56.2 ( 18.0 ) / 386</b>	<b>23.9 ( 14.3 ) / 391</b>	<b>24.11 ( 22.94 ; 25.28 )</b>
<b>Random effects model</b>	<b>56.2 ( 18.0 ) / 386</b>	<b>23.9 ( 14.3 ) / 391</b>	<b>37.27 ( 17.47 ; 57.08 )</b>
<i>Heterogeneity: I<sup>2</sup> = 98 %, t<sup>2</sup> = 299.5 , X<sup>2</sup> ( 2 ) = 124.85 , p &lt; 0.001</i>			
THA vs CS Tidermark et al. 2002	102.0 ( 28.8 ) / 49	20.0 ( 15.8 ) / 53	82.00 ( 72.89 ; 91.11 )
HA vs DHS van Vugt et al. 1993	80.2 ( 19.0 ) / 22	65.5 ( 14.0 ) / 21	14.70 ( 4.76 ; 24.64 )
THA vs HA Avery et al. 2011	93.0 ( 28.8 ) / 40	78.0 ( 20.3 ) / 41	15.00 ( 4.12 ; 25.88 )
Blomfeldt et al. 2007	102.0 ( 28.8 ) / 60	78.0 ( 20.3 ) / 60	24.00 ( 15.08 ; 32.92 )
Cadossi et al. 2013	75.4 ( 28.8 ) / 47	81.0 ( 20.3 ) / 49	-5.60 ( -15.61 ; 4.41 )
Chammout et al. 2019	99.0 ( 25.0 ) / 60	77.0 ( 19.0 ) / 60	22.00 ( 14.05 ; 29.95 )
Iorio et al. 2019	59.0 ( 28.8 ) / 30	48.0 ( 20.3 ) / 30	11.00 ( -1.61 ; 23.61 )
Macaulay et al. 2007	89.1 ( 35.8 ) / 17	82.0 ( 35.1 ) / 23	7.10 ( -15.16 ; 29.36 )
Parker et al. 2019	83.9 ( 28.8 ) / 52	52.0 ( 20.3 ) / 53	31.90 ( 22.35 ; 41.45 )
Schleicher et al. 2003	84.0 ( 28.8 ) / 54	72.0 ( 20.3 ) / 52	12.00 ( 2.54 ; 21.46 )
Sharma et al. 2016	45.0 ( 28.8 ) / 40	35.0 ( 20.3 ) / 40	10.00 ( -0.92 ; 20.92 )
Sonaje et al. 2018	119.1 ( 16.8 ) / 21	51.8 ( 8.7 ) / 21	67.30 ( 59.23 ; 75.37 )
Ukaj et al. 2019	63.7 ( 5.3 ) / 47	57.8 ( 5.0 ) / 49	5.95 ( 3.90 ; 8.00 )
<b>Fixed effects model</b>	<b>82.9 ( 32.4 ) / 468</b>	<b>66.1 ( 24.6 ) / 478</b>	<b>11.27 ( 9.56 ; 12.99 )</b>
<b>Random effects model</b>	<b>82.9 ( 32.4 ) / 468</b>	<b>66.1 ( 24.6 ) / 478</b>	<b>18.53 ( 6.57 ; 30.50 )</b>
<i>Heterogeneity: I<sup>2</sup> = 96 %, t<sup>2</sup> = 379.0 , X<sup>2</sup> ( 10 ) = 255.24 , p &lt; 0.001</i>			
<b>NETWORK META-ANALYSIS</b>			
<b>Fixed effects model</b>			
CS	24.8 ( 15.6 ) / 484		-37.61 ( -39.64 ; -35.59 )
DHS	46.8 ( 23.1 ) / 79		-33.56 ( -40.13 ; -26.99 )
HA	62.2 ( 22.5 ) / 886		-12.85 ( -14.54 ; -11.16 )
THA	84.7 ( 32.5 ) / 517		0.00 ( Reference )
<b>Random effects model</b>			
CS	24.8 ( 15.6 ) / 484		-60.61 ( -80.98 ; -40.24 )
DHS	46.8 ( 23.1 ) / 79		-48.05 ( -79.02 ; -17.08 )
HA	62.2 ( 22.5 ) / 886		-20.52 ( -32.06 ; -8.98 )
THA	84.7 ( 32.5 ) / 517		0.00 ( Reference )
<i>Heterogeneity: I<sup>2</sup> = 97 %, t<sup>2</sup> = 378.5 , X<sup>2</sup> ( 12 ) = 380.09 , p &lt; 0.001</i>			
<i>Consistency: X<sup>2</sup> ( 2 ) = 97.89 , p &lt; 0.001</i>			

