

	Mean (SD) / Patients	Mean (SD) / Patients	MD (95% CI)
HA vs CS			
Frihagen et al. 2007	67.7 (15.8) / 110	59.6 (19.5) / 112	8.10 (3.44 ; 12.76)
Steon et al. 2014	67.0 (15.8) / 110	59.0 (19.5) / 112	8.00 (3.34 ; 12.66)
Fixed effects model	67.3 (15.8) / 220	59.3 (19.5) / 224	8.05 (4.75 ; 11.35)
Random effects model	67.3 (15.8) / 220	59.3 (19.5) / 224	8.05 (4.75 ; 11.35)
<i>Heterogeneity: I² = 0 %, t² = 0.0 , X² (1) = 0.00 , p = 0.976</i>			
HA vs DHS			
Mouzopoulos et al. 2008	58.3 (6.2) / 34	58.1 (5.3) / 38	0.20 (-3.07 ; 3.47)
THA vs DHS			
Mouzopoulos et al. 2008	59.4 (5.8) / 37	58.1 (5.3) / 38	1.30 (-1.64 ; 4.24)
THA vs HA			
Cadossi et al. 2013	74.0 (14.6) / 60	72.3 (16.2) / 60	1.70 (-3.81 ; 7.21)
Chammout et al. 2019	70.0 (13.0) / 60	69.0 (14.0) / 60	1.00 (-3.83 ; 5.83)
Mouzopoulos et al. 2008	59.4 (5.8) / 37	58.3 (6.2) / 34	1.10 (-2.53 ; 4.73)
Ukaj et al. 2019	88.0 (5.7) / 47	86.8 (6.5) / 49	1.14 (-1.29 ; 3.57)
Blomfeldt et al. 2007	82.5 (11.5) / 60	77.5 (15.7) / 60	5.00 (0.08 ; 9.92)
Hedbeck et al. 2011	82.5 (11.5) / 60	77.5 (12.4) / 60	5.00 (0.72 ; 9.28)
Macaulay et al. 2007	76.1 (26.5) / 17	77.1 (12.0) / 23	-1.00 (-14.52 ; 12.52)
Ukaj et al. 2019	90.9 (6.9) / 47	87.3 (8.7) / 49	3.60 (0.47 ; 6.73)
Fixed effects model	78.4 (15.0) / 388	76.1 (14.9) / 395	2.32 (0.94 ; 3.70)
Random effects model	78.4 (15.0) / 388	76.1 (14.9) / 395	2.32 (0.94 ; 3.70)
<i>Heterogeneity: I² = 0 %, t² = 0.0 , X² (7) = 5.46 , p = 0.603</i>			
NETWORK META-ANALYSIS			
Fixed effects model			
CS	59.3 (19.5) / 224		-10.26 (-13.81 ; -6.71)
DHS	58.1 (5.3) / 76		-1.80 (-4.06 ; 0.47)
HA	73.0 (15.8) / 649		-2.21 (-3.53 ; -0.89)
THA	78.4 (15.0) / 425		0.00 (Reference)
Random effects model			
CS	59.3 (19.5) / 224		-10.26 (-13.81 ; -6.71)
DHS	58.1 (5.3) / 76		-1.80 (-4.06 ; 0.47)
HA	73.0 (15.8) / 649		-2.21 (-3.53 ; -0.89)
THA	78.4 (15.0) / 425		0.00 (Reference)
<i>Heterogeneity: I² = 0 %, t² = 0.0 , X² (7) = 4.69 , p = 0.698</i>			
<i>Consistency: X² (1) = 0.78 , p = 0.378</i>			

