

Study	Restrictive		Routine		Total	Weight	Mean Difference [95% CI]
	Mean	SD	Mean	SD			
<b>Primary analysis: trials</b>							
Krivopal et al, 2003	16.45	11.87	19.34	10.65	43	81.6%	-2.89 [-7.44, 1.66]
Clec'h et al, 2008	39.5	29.4	40.3	33.3	84	18.4%	-0.80 [-10.38, 8.78]
<b>Patients</b>			<b>132</b>		<b>127</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>-2.50 [-6.62, 1.61]</b>
<b>Overall effect: p=0.23; heterogeneity: I<sup>2</sup>=0%</b>							

#### Sensitivity analysis: trials and observational studies

Rao et al, 1997	5.9	1.5	6.1	1.6	100	39.0%	-0.20 [-0.63, 0.23]
Price et al, 1999	12.1	2.8	11.3	3.1	1839	40.9%	0.80 [0.60, 1.00]
Leong et al, 2000	7.6	6.6	11.1	10.3	100	13.8%	-3.50 [-5.90, -1.10]
Krivopal et al, 2003	16.45	11.87	19.34	10.65	43	5.0%	-2.89 [-7.44, 1.66]
Clec'h et al, 2008	39.5	29.4	40.3	33.3	84	1.3%	-0.80 [-10.38, 8.78]
<b>Patients</b>			<b>1920</b>		<b>2166</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>-0.39 [-1.48, 0.70]</b>
<b>Overall effect: p=0.48; heterogeneity: I<sup>2</sup>=87%</b>							

