

Study	Telemedicine		Control		Weight	Risk Ratio [95% CI]
	Events	Total	Events	Total		
<b>Higher quality</b>						
Rosenfeld et al., 2000	3	201	29	427	2.7%	0.22 [0.07, 0.71]
Marcin et al., 2004	1	47	5	249	0.9%	1.06 [0.13, 8.87]
Thomas et al., 2009	165	2108	188	2034	24.7%	0.85 [0.69, 1.03]
McCambridge et al., 2010	110	959	151	954	23.0%	0.72 [0.58, 0.91]
Morrison et al., 2010	208	2717	91	1371	22.4%	1.15 [0.91, 1.46]
Lilly et al., 2011	410	4761	164	1529	26.4%	0.80 [0.68, 0.95]
<b>Total</b>	<b>897</b>	<b>10793</b>	<b>628</b>	<b>6564</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>0.83 [0.68, 1.02]</b>

**Overall effect: p=0.08; heterogeneity:  $I^2=64%$**

<b>Lower quality</b>						
Breslow et al., 2004	47	744	120	1396	35.2%	0.73 [0.53, 1.02]
Kohl et al., 2007	81	2622	16	189	29.7%	0.36 [0.22, 0.61]
Vespa et al., 2007	70	640	58	578	35.1%	1.09 [0.78, 1.52]
<b>Total</b>	<b>198</b>	<b>4006</b>	<b>194</b>	<b>2163</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>0.69 [0.40, 1.19]</b>

**Overall effect: p=0.18; heterogeneity:  $I^2=84%$**

