



Study or Subgroup	Small Bowel			Gastric			Weight	Mean Difference IV, Random, 95% CI	Year
	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total			
Montecalvo	61	17	19	46.9	25.9	19	9.7%	14.10 [0.17, 28.03]	1992
Kearns	69	7	21	47	7	23	19.7%	22.00 [17.86, 26.14]	2000
Montejo	80	28	50	75	30	51	12.0%	5.00 [-6.31, 16.31]	2002
Hsu	95	5	59	83	6	62	21.3%	12.00 [10.04, 13.96]	2009
Acosta-Escribano	92	7	50	84	15	54	19.4%	8.00 [3.55, 12.45]	2010
Davies 2012	72	21	91	71	19	89	17.9%	1.00 [-4.85, 6.85]	2012
Total (95% CI)			290			298	100.0%	10.59 [4.76, 16.41]	



Heterogeneity: $\tau^2 = 40.41$; $\chi^2 = 40.66$, $df = 5$ ($P < 0.00001$); $I^2 = 88\%$
 Test for overall effect: $Z = 3.56$ ($P = 0.0004$)

Study or Subgroup	Small Bowel			Gastric			Weight	Mean Difference IV, Random, 95% CI	Year
	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total			
Kortbeek	34	7.1	37	43.8	22.6	43	26.9%	-9.80 [-16.93, -2.67]	1999
Neumann	43	24.1	30	28.8	15.9	30	23.4%	14.20 [3.87, 24.53]	2002
Davies 2002	23.2	3.9	31	23	3.4	35	30.8%	0.20 [-1.58, 1.98]	2002
Hsu	32.4	27.1	59	54.5	51.4	62	18.8%	-22.10 [-36.64, -7.56]	2009
Total (95% CI)			157			170	100.0%	-3.41 [-13.45, 6.62]	



Heterogeneity: $\tau^2 = 84.16$; $\chi^2 = 23.32$, $df = 3$ ($P < 0.0001$); $I^2 = 87\%$
 Test for overall effect: $Z = 0.67$ ($P = 0.51$)